

PRACTICE EXAM 5: LNCC CERTIFICATION SIMULATION (200 QUESTIONS)

1. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a 58-year-old man who presented to the emergency department with acute onset of vertigo, diplopia, dysarthria, and right-sided facial numbness. The emergency physician diagnosed benign positional vertigo (BPV) and discharged the patient with meclizine. No brain imaging was obtained. The patient returned eight hours later with a completed posterior circulation stroke (basilar artery occlusion), resulting in locked-in syndrome. What constellation of findings should have prevented the misdiagnosis?

- A. Vertigo alone warrants MRI in all emergency department patients regardless of the accompanying symptoms or clinical context
- B. Benign positional vertigo is the most common cause of acute vertigo and the physician's diagnosis was statistically reasonable
- C. Vertigo accompanied by diplopia, dysarthria, and facial numbness indicates central nervous system involvement — these accompanying brainstem signs distinguish a posterior circulation vascular event from peripheral vestibular disease, and the standard of care requires brain imaging when vertigo presents with focal neurological deficits
- D. The patient should have been admitted for observation rather than having brain imaging performed in the emergency department

2. An LNC is evaluating a personal injury case involving a 52-year-old professional cellist who sustained a complex fracture of the left wrist when a glass storefront window shattered, lacerating and fracturing his non-dominant hand. Despite surgical fixation and 14 months of hand therapy, the plaintiff has residual loss of wrist supination, reduced finger independence, and chronic pain at the fracture site. The residual impairments prevent the left hand from performing the precise fingering, vibrato, and bow-pressure modulations required for professional cello performance. The defense argues the plaintiff can teach music. What is the most important damages consideration?

- A. The permanent loss of the specific fine motor functions required for professional cello performance constitutes both an economic loss (earning capacity at the professional musician level) and a profound non-economic loss (the inability to practice an art form that defined the plaintiff's identity and creative expression), and neither loss is adequately compensated by teaching, which requires different skills and provides different compensation

B. The plaintiff should undergo a functional capacity evaluation before any determination of permanent inability to perform professionally

C. The plaintiff's non-dominant hand involvement limits the damages because the dominant hand remains fully functional for daily tasks

D. The defense argument should be accepted because music teachers earn comparable incomes to performing musicians in most markets

3. An LNC is analyzing a long-term care case in which a 79-year-old nursing home resident with documented type 2 diabetes, peripheral neuropathy, and a left below-knee amputation developed a deep ulcer on the right great toe — the remaining foot. The wound was first documented by a nursing aide as a "small red spot" during routine care. The LNC reviews the documentation timeline: the wound was noted by the aide on Day 1, a nurse assessed the wound on Day 4, the attending physician was notified on Day 12, and a podiatry referral was made on Day 19. By Day 19, the wound had progressed to osteomyelitis requiring IV antibiotics and ultimately a right below-knee amputation. What cascade of failures does this timeline reveal?

A. The resident's diabetes and peripheral neuropathy made wound progression to osteomyelitis inevitable regardless of any intervention

B. Multiple sequential communication delays compounded to produce a catastrophic outcome — the three-day gap between aide identification and nurse assessment, the eight-day gap between nurse assessment and physician notification, and the seven-day gap between physician notification and specialist referral collectively transformed a treatable superficial wound into a limb-threatening infection in a patient with the highest-risk profile for diabetic foot complications

C. The podiatrist should have been performing scheduled foot assessments rather than relying on nursing staff to identify new wounds

D. The attending physician should have ordered prophylactic antibiotics for the remaining foot at the time of the initial amputation

4. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a 45-year-old man who presented to his primary care physician with a three-month history of progressive dysphagia — difficulty swallowing first solids, then liquids. The physician attributed the symptoms to gastroesophageal reflux disease and prescribed a proton pump inhibitor without ordering an upper endoscopy or barium swallow study. Six months later, the patient was diagnosed with esophageal adenocarcinoma with mediastinal lymph node involvement. Published guidelines recommend endoscopic evaluation for any patient with new-onset or progressive dysphagia persisting beyond two weeks. What is the critical diagnostic failure?

A. The proton pump inhibitor trial was an appropriate diagnostic intervention because GERD is the most common cause of dysphagia

B. The physician should have ordered a CT scan of the chest rather than an endoscopy for the progressive dysphagia evaluation

C. The patient should have independently sought gastroenterology consultation rather than waiting for the PCP to order further testing

D. Progressive dysphagia — particularly the pattern of solids-first then liquids — is the hallmark symptom of esophageal obstruction, and clinical guidelines require endoscopic evaluation for persistent dysphagia to rule out malignancy, making empiric treatment without endoscopy a deviation from the diagnostic standard of care

5. An LNC is evaluating a workers' compensation case involving a 40-year-old operating room nurse who developed occupational asthma from chronic exposure to surgical smoke during electrocautery and laser procedures. The nurse's pre-employment pulmonary function tests were normal. Serial PFTs over a three-year period show progressive decline in FEV1. A workplace-specific inhalation challenge confirmed bronchial hyperresponsiveness to surgical smoke components. The nurse's symptoms improve during vacation periods and worsen on return to work. The employer's insurer denies the claim, arguing that "surgical smoke is present in every operating room and other nurses are not affected." What is the fundamental flaw in the insurer's reasoning?

A. The insurer should have consulted a pulmonologist before making the compensability determination on the asthma claim

B. Individual susceptibility to occupational sensitizers varies — the fact that other nurses are not affected does not disprove occupational causation for this specific nurse, because occupational asthma from sensitizing agents develops in susceptible individuals exposed to workplace respiratory hazards, and this nurse's documented exposure, confirmed bronchial hyperresponsiveness to surgical smoke, temporal symptom pattern, and progressive PFT decline collectively establish occupational causation

C. The nurse should undergo allergy testing to rule out non-occupational allergens as the cause of the asthma symptoms before the claim

D. The employer should be required to install surgical smoke evacuation systems before the nurse's claim can be evaluated

6. An LNC is analyzing a product liability case involving a defective inferior vena cava (IVC) filter. The filter was implanted as a temporary device to prevent pulmonary embolism during a period when

anticoagulation was contraindicated. The filter was designed for retrieval after the anticoagulation contraindication resolved, but when retrieval was attempted six months later, the filter had fractured and tilted, with struts perforating the IVC wall. Retrieval was impossible without open surgical intervention. The manufacturer's post-market surveillance data shows a fracture/perforation rate of 12% at one year for this specific filter model — significantly higher than the 2–3% rate for comparable filters. The manufacturer was aware of the elevated complication rate for 20 months before the plaintiff's filter was implanted but had not updated its product labeling or issued a safety communication. What is the most significant liability issue?

- A. The physician who implanted the filter should have selected a different manufacturer's device based on available clinical data
- B. The patient should have returned for filter retrieval sooner to prevent the fracture and perforation from developing
- C. The physician who delayed retrieval for six months bears sole responsibility for the filter complication and inability to remove it
- D. The manufacturer's knowledge of the elevated fracture/perforation rate (12% vs. 2–3% benchmark) for 20 months without updating labeling or issuing a safety communication constitutes a failure to warn — depriving physicians and patients of information needed for informed device selection, retrieval timing, and monitoring decisions

7. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a 65-year-old patient who developed a postoperative deep vein thrombosis after elective hip replacement surgery. The patient had four documented VTE risk factors: age over 60, BMI of 39, prior history of DVT, and active malignancy (prostate cancer under surveillance). The surgeon ordered sequential compression devices (SCDs) as the sole VTE prophylaxis. Current guidelines recommend pharmacological prophylaxis in addition to mechanical prophylaxis for patients with multiple VTE risk factors undergoing major orthopedic surgery. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The failure to add pharmacological VTE prophylaxis for a patient with four significant risk factors — age >60, obesity, prior DVT, and active malignancy — deviates from current evidence-based guidelines that recommend risk-stratified combined prophylaxis for high-risk orthopedic surgery patients, and SCDs alone are insufficient for this risk profile
- B. Sequential compression devices provide adequate protection for all surgical patients regardless of individual risk factor profile

C. The patient's prior DVT should have prompted an inferior vena cava filter placement rather than pharmacological prophylaxis

D. The oncologist managing the prostate cancer should have independently ordered anticoagulation before the orthopedic surgery

8. An LNC is evaluating a risk management case involving a hospital that has implemented a rapid response team (RRT) system. Data analysis reveals an RRT activation rate of 3.5 per month in a 400-bed hospital. Published benchmarks suggest 25–30 activations per 1,000 patient discharges per month for effective RRT programs. The hospital's rate of cardiac arrests outside the ICU has not decreased since the RRT was implemented two years ago — contrary to the expected reduction. What does this data pattern indicate?

A. The RRT team composition should be changed to include more experienced physicians to improve response quality

B. The hospital's patient population is healthier than benchmark populations, resulting in fewer patients meeting criteria

C. The low RRT activation rate combined with an unchanged cardiac arrest rate suggests RRT underutilization — nursing staff are not activating the team when patients meet activation criteria, meaning deteriorating patients are not receiving the early intervention the system was designed to provide, and the hospital should investigate barriers to activation

D. The RRT activation criteria are too sensitive and should be narrowed to reduce unnecessary activations on clinical units

9. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a 33-year-old woman who presented to the emergency department at 37 weeks gestation with sudden-onset severe headache, visual changes (flashing lights), and epigastric pain. Her blood pressure was 182/116 mmHg and she had 3+ proteinuria. The obstetrician was contacted by phone and instructed the emergency physician to discharge the patient with antihypertensive medication and follow-up in the office the next morning. Fourteen hours later, the patient presented by ambulance with eclamptic seizures. Both mother and infant survived, but the infant sustained hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. What should the LNC identify as the primary standard of care failure?

A. The emergency physician should have started IV magnesium sulfate without waiting for the obstetrician's authorization or input

B. The emergency physician should have repeated the blood pressure three times before contacting the obstetrician with the results

C. The antihypertensive medication prescribed was appropriate first-line treatment and outpatient management was reasonable here

D. Severe headache, visual changes, epigastric pain, blood pressure 182/116, and 3+ proteinuria at 37 weeks constitute unmistakable severe preeclampsia with impending eclampsia — this presentation demands immediate hospital admission, IV magnesium for seizure prophylaxis, antihypertensive therapy, laboratory evaluation, and likely emergent delivery, not outpatient management

10. An LNC is evaluating a toxic tort case involving residents of a community near a former chromium electroplating facility. Groundwater testing has documented hexavalent chromium (Cr(VI)) at levels exceeding the EPA maximum contaminant level. Several adult residents have developed kidney cancer. Hexavalent chromium is classified by IARC as a Group 1 carcinogen (carcinogenic to humans), although the primary cancer site associated with occupational Cr(VI) exposure is the lung rather than the kidney. The defense argues that because the IARC classification is based primarily on respiratory tract cancers in workers exposed via inhalation, the ingestion exposure pathway in the community does not support kidney cancer causation. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The LNC should evaluate whether the scientific literature supports a causal association between ingested hexavalent chromium and kidney cancer — the exposure pathway (ingestion vs. inhalation) and target organ (kidney vs. lung) are critical distinctions, and the strength of evidence for kidney cancer from oral Cr(VI) exposure must be assessed independently from the inhalation-lung cancer evidence, as general causation cannot be assumed from one exposure pathway to another

B. The IARC Group 1 classification automatically establishes causation for all cancer types regardless of exposure pathway

C. The defense argument should be accepted because IARC classified Cr(VI) based on lung cancer, not kidney cancer

D. The EPA maximum contaminant level exceedance proves the community exposure was sufficient to cause kidney cancer

11. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a laparoscopic cholecystectomy and developed a bile duct injury that was not recognized for five days. The patient presented with jaundice, abdominal pain, and fever. The surgeon's operative report does not document achievement of the "critical view of safety" before clipping any structures. The pathology report on the

gallbladder specimen notes "common bile duct tissue identified attached to the cystic duct stump." What is the most compelling evidence of a standard of care deviation?

- A. The operative report's silence regarding the critical view of safety suggests the standard technique may not have been performed
- B. The five-day delay in recognizing the bile duct injury constitutes a separate standard of care failure in post-procedure assessment
- C. The pathology report documenting common bile duct tissue attached to the specimen provides direct histological evidence that the bile duct was transected — combined with the operative report's failure to document the critical view of safety, this establishes both the anatomical misidentification and the failure to use the technique designed to prevent it
- D. The patient should have elected open cholecystectomy rather than the laparoscopic approach to reduce bile duct injury risk

12. An LNC is evaluating a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident with documented severe osteoporosis (T-score -4.1) sustained a femoral shaft fracture during a routine bed-to-wheelchair transfer performed by a single nursing assistant. The care plan specified "two-person mechanical lift for all transfers" based on the resident's severe osteoporosis, fall risk, and fragility. The CNA performed a manual one-person pivot transfer because the mechanical lift was being used on another resident and no second staff member was available on the unit at that time. The CNA stated: "I was the only aide on the floor during the other aide's break." What does this scenario demonstrate?

- A. The osteoporotic fracture was a spontaneous pathological event unrelated to the transfer method used by the nursing aide
- B. The facility's staffing plan created a foreseeable situation in which the care plan could not be safely implemented — scheduling only two aides on the unit meant that when one aide was on break, the remaining aide was alone with no ability to perform the required two-person mechanical lift transfers, and the resulting unsafe solo manual transfer caused the fragility fracture
- C. The CNA should have waited until the second aide returned from break before performing any transfers on the unit alone
- D. The physician should have ordered a hospital bed with overhead trapeze rather than a mechanical lift for this resident

13. An LNC is analyzing a case involving the professional responsibilities of an LNC retained as a testifying expert. During deposition, the opposing counsel asks the LNC whether she reviewed any materials that she ultimately decided not to rely upon in forming her opinions. The LNC reviewed three medical articles that contradicted her opinion on the standard of care. What is the most appropriate response?

A. The LNC must answer honestly and disclose the articles — testifying experts are required to disclose all materials reviewed, including those not relied upon, and attempting to conceal unfavorable literature undermines the LNC's credibility and may violate discovery obligations

B. The LNC should state that she cannot recall reviewing any articles that contradicted her opinion on the standard of care

C. The LNC should decline to answer because the question seeks work product protected by the attorney-client privilege

D. The LNC should only disclose the articles she relied upon and is not required to reveal unfavorable materials she reviewed

14. An LNC is reviewing a pharmaceutical product liability case involving a patient who developed acute liver failure while taking an over-the-counter weight loss supplement. The supplement contained an herbal ingredient with known hepatotoxic potential. The product's label did not warn about the risk of liver injury. The FDA had issued a warning letter to the supplement manufacturer 18 months before the plaintiff purchased the product, citing reports of hepatotoxicity associated with the herbal ingredient. The manufacturer did not update the product label or add a hepatotoxicity warning after receiving the FDA letter. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The FDA warning letter alone proves the supplement caused the plaintiff's liver failure without any additional clinical analysis

B. The patient should have independently researched the supplement's ingredients before purchasing the over-the-counter product

C. The manufacturer's failure to update the product label after receiving the FDA warning letter — when the agency had specifically identified hepatotoxicity concerns — constitutes a failure to warn, and the absence of a liver injury warning deprived the consumer of information needed to make an informed decision about using the product

D. The physician who failed to ask about supplement use during the patient's regular office visits should bear primary liability

15. An LNC is evaluating a Medicare Set-Aside case involving a 70-year-old Medicare beneficiary settling a workers' compensation claim for a thoracic spine injury. The claimant requires ongoing pain management. The treating pain specialist has recommended thoracic medial branch blocks every four months based on the documented clinical response pattern — approximately three months of significant pain relief followed by predictable recurrence at four months. The defense argues the blocks should be limited to three per year based on a general insurance utilization management guideline. What is the most appropriate MSA analysis?

- A. The defense argument should be accepted because three injections per year is the insurance industry standard frequency limit
- B. The MSA should project a compromise frequency of every five months to split the difference between the positions
- C. The injections should be excluded from the MSA entirely because they are interventional procedures and not medications
- D. The MSA should project injection frequency based on the treating physician's documented clinical rationale — the documented three-month relief pattern with four-month recurrence provides patient-specific clinical justification for the projected interval, and clinical need rather than arbitrary utilization guidelines should determine the MSA frequency

16. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a 40-year-old patient who underwent an elective laparoscopic appendectomy. Intraoperatively, the surgeon noted a thermal injury to the cecal wall from the electrocautery device and repaired it with sutures. On postoperative day three, the patient developed peritonitis. A CT scan revealed a cecal perforation at the repair site. The patient required an emergency right hemicolectomy with temporary ileostomy and a six-week hospitalization for IV antibiotics. What two standard of care issues should the LNC evaluate?

- A. Only the thermal injury itself constitutes the malpractice claim because all consequences flow from that single technical event
- B. First, whether the thermal injury resulted from a deviation in electrocautery technique (inappropriate settings, excessive contact duration, or inadequate distance from non-target tissue), and second, whether the intraoperative repair was adequate — the subsequent perforation at the repair site suggests the repair did not address the full extent of the thermal damage, which can extend beyond the visible injury zone
- C. The electrocautery device manufacturer should be the primary defendant because the device caused the thermal injury

D. The patient should have elected an open appendectomy rather than the laparoscopic approach to eliminate thermal injury risk

17. An LNC is reviewing a workers' compensation case involving a 48-year-old firefighter who developed bilateral hearing loss after 20 years of occupational noise exposure. The firefighter's noise exposure during fire suppression and training exercises was documented at 95–105 dB. The firefighter's audiogram shows bilateral symmetric sensorineural hearing loss with the characteristic 4000 Hz notch. The employer argues the hearing loss is age-related (presbycusis). What clinical evidence most strongly supports occupational causation?

A. The firefighter's subjective report that hearing difficulty began during the years of active firefighting is the strongest evidence

B. The employer's failure to provide hearing protection programs proves the hearing loss is occupational in origin and causation

C. The bilateral symmetric hearing loss with the characteristic 4000 Hz audiometric notch — the hallmark pattern distinguishing noise-induced hearing loss from presbycusis (which produces gradual sloping loss without a discrete notch) — combined with 20 years of documented noise exposure at 95–105 dB provides strong objective evidence of occupational noise-induced hearing loss

D. A brain MRI should be obtained to rule out acoustic neuroma as the cause of the bilateral hearing loss before analysis

18. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent coronary artery bypass surgery and developed a postoperative sternal wound infection. The patient's preoperative records documented a hemoglobin A1c of 10.4% (poorly controlled diabetes), a BMI of 44 (morbid obesity), and active smoking. Published cardiac surgery infection prevention guidelines recommend glycemic optimization, smoking cessation counseling, and risk-benefit documentation before elective cardiac procedures. The surgeon did not delay the procedure to address any of these modifiable risk factors. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The patient's risk factors make sternal wound infection an inevitable outcome regardless of any preoperative optimization measures

B. The patient should have independently optimized all modifiable risk factors before presenting for elective cardiac surgery

C. The infectious disease service should have been consulted preoperatively to assess the patient's overall infection risk profile

D. Whether the surgeon's decision to proceed with elective CABG without addressing three documented modifiable infection risk factors and without documenting a risk-benefit analysis deviated from published guidelines recommending risk factor optimization before elective cardiac surgery

19. An LNC is evaluating a personal injury case involving a 60-year-old retired teacher who was injured when a bus on which she was a passenger made an emergency stop, throwing her forward and causing a cervical spine injury. The plaintiff developed central cord syndrome with greater upper than lower extremity weakness. Following surgical stabilization and rehabilitation, the plaintiff has residual bilateral hand weakness affecting fine motor tasks, writing, and self-care. The plaintiff was retired but actively volunteered as a literacy tutor, painted watercolors, and maintained her home independently. The defense argues that since the plaintiff was retired, damages should be minimal. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The defense argument should be accepted because retired individuals' cognitive and functional deficits have minimal practical impact

B. The documented bilateral hand weakness directly impairs the specific activities that defined the plaintiff's post-retirement quality of life — literacy tutoring (requiring writing and handling materials), watercolor painting (requiring brush control and fine motor precision), and independent home maintenance — and these losses constitute significant non-economic damages regardless of employment status, plus the cost of assistance for tasks the plaintiff previously performed independently

C. The plaintiff should undergo additional surgery to improve hand function before claiming permanent functional limitations

D. Only the home maintenance costs should be included because tutoring and painting are recreational rather than essential activities

20. An LNC is reviewing a risk management case involving a hospital that has experienced four wrong-patient medication errors in the pediatric unit over a two-month period. Investigation reveals the unit uses a paper-based medication administration system without barcode scanning, multiple patients share similar first names, weight-based dosing calculations are performed manually by individual nurses without independent verification, and no independent double-check is required for high-alert medications. What should the LNC conclude?

A. The convergence of multiple systems vulnerabilities — paper-based medication process, absent barcode technology, manual weight-based calculations without double-checks, and no independent verification for high-alert medications — creates a risk environment in which wrong-patient errors are predictable rather than random, and the risk management response must address all vulnerabilities simultaneously

B. The individual nurses who committed the errors should be disciplined and no institutional systems changes are needed

C. The pharmacy should independently verify all weight-based calculations before dispensing any pediatric medications

D. The hospital should prohibit parents from choosing names that sound similar to prevent patient identification confusion

21. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a delayed diagnosis of testicular torsion in a 16-year-old boy. The patient presented to the emergency department with acute onset of right scrotal pain and vomiting. The emergency physician documented a "tender, swollen right testicle" and diagnosed epididymitis. No testicular ultrasound was ordered. The physician prescribed antibiotics and discharged the patient. The patient returned 20 hours later with a non-salvageable right testicle that required orchiectomy. Testicular torsion salvage rates exceed 90% when surgical detorsion occurs within six hours of symptom onset. What should the LNC identify?

A. Epididymitis is a common diagnosis in adolescent males and the physician's clinical judgment was within the acceptable range

B. The patient should have returned to the emergency department sooner when his symptoms did not improve with antibiotics

C. The physician should have consulted a urologist for all male patients presenting with acute scrotal pain regardless of clinical findings

D. In any adolescent male with acute scrotal pain, testicular torsion must be excluded before diagnosing epididymitis — torsion is a surgical emergency with a narrow salvage window, and the standard of care requires testicular ultrasound or immediate urological consultation when torsion cannot be definitively excluded on clinical examination alone

22. An LNC is evaluating a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident with an indwelling urinary catheter developed urosepsis and died. The catheter had been in place for 15 months. The original indication was post-surgical urinary retention following a procedure 17 months prior, with an

expected six-week recovery period. No documented reassessment of catheter necessity was performed after the recovery period. The resident developed three catheter-associated UTIs during the 15-month catheterization, none of which prompted reassessment or removal. What standard of care violation should the LNC identify?

A. The urologist should have been consulted to evaluate the need for continued catheterization after the surgical recovery period

B. The indwelling catheter remained 13 months beyond the original clinical indication without documented reassessment — evidence-based catheter management standards require daily necessity assessment and prompt removal when the indication no longer exists, and three CAUTIs during the prolonged catheterization should have independently triggered urgent catheter removal rather than continued treatment of catheter-related infections

C. The attending physician should have prescribed prophylactic antibiotics to prevent catheter-associated infections during therapy

D. The nursing staff should have independently removed the catheter when the surgical recovery period was obviously completed

23. An LNC is reviewing a personal injury case involving a 45-year-old man who was struck by a vehicle while jogging on a designated pedestrian path. The plaintiff sustained a traumatic amputation of the right leg below the knee, a left tibial fracture, and a concussion. Following acute care, prosthetic fitting, and rehabilitation, the plaintiff walks with a prosthesis but has an asymmetric gait, phantom limb pain, and residual left knee stiffness. He was previously a competitive marathon runner. The defense argues the plaintiff has "adapted well." What should the LNC focus on?

A. The plaintiff's documented functional limitations and their impact on his pre-injury lifestyle — the permanent loss of competitive running ability, the documented asymmetric gait, ongoing phantom limb pain management, lifetime prosthetic costs (replacement, maintenance, liners, socks), the residual left knee stiffness limiting bilateral function, and the profound impact on his athletic identity — constitute damages extending far beyond basic ambulation

B. The plaintiff's ability to walk with a prosthesis demonstrates adequate recovery and ongoing functional limitation claims are exaggerated

C. The defendant should only be responsible for the prosthetic limb costs and not for the marathon running career loss

D. The plaintiff's running career earnings history should be calculated as the primary economic damage in this personal injury case

24. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a cesarean section. Prophylactic antibiotics (cefazolin) were ordered to be administered within 60 minutes before the skin incision. The anesthesia record documents that the cefazolin was administered 18 minutes after the incision — not before as required by the standard of care. Investigation reveals the automated dispensing cabinet in the operating room had not been restocked after the previous case, and the antibiotic was not available until retrieved from the central pharmacy. The patient developed a surgical site infection. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The 18-minute post-incision timing is clinically equivalent to pre-incision administration and does not increase infection risk

B. The surgeon should have delayed the incision until the antibiotic was available and had been administered to the patient

C. The dispensing cabinet restocking failure represents a systems-level breakdown in the perioperative infection prevention process — the hospital's systems should ensure prophylactic antibiotics are available in the OR for every surgical case, and the restocking failure created a gap in the infection prevention protocol that resulted in the antibiotic being absent during the critical period of initial surgical exposure

D. The pharmacy department bears sole liability for the restocking failure and should be the primary defendant in this malpractice case

25. An LNC is evaluating a life care plan for a 30-year-old man who sustained a complete C5 spinal cord injury in a diving accident. The plaintiff has upper extremity function with preserved wrist extension but absent hand intrinsic. The defense argues the plaintiff has "significant upper extremity function" and requires minimal attendant care. Published guidelines for C5 complete SCI project 10–16 hours of attendant care per day. What clinical reality should the LNC communicate?

A. The defense argument should be accepted because C5 patients retain significant arm function that enables most daily activities

B. While C5 SCI preserves some proximal upper extremity function (shoulder, elbow, wrist extension), the absence of hand intrinsic function means the plaintiff cannot independently perform tasks requiring finger dexterity, pinch grip, or grasp release — including most self-care activities, food preparation,

medication management, and household tasks — and the published guidelines project 10–16 hours of attendant care daily for this injury level

C. The plaintiff should be placed in a residential care facility to reduce attendant care costs in the life care plan projection

D. Only the physical therapy assessment should determine the attendant care projection rather than published SCI care guidelines

26. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a 48-year-old patient who was prescribed methotrexate for rheumatoid arthritis. The prescription was written correctly as "methotrexate 15 mg weekly." However, the pharmacy label printed "take 15 mg by mouth daily" — omitting the critical "weekly" frequency. The patient took the medication daily for three weeks, developing severe pancytopenia, mucositis, and hepatotoxicity. Investigation reveals the pharmacist did not counsel the patient about the weekly dosing schedule. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Multiple failures contributed: the pharmacy mislabeled the prescription (omitting "weekly"), and the pharmacist did not counsel the patient about the critical importance of weekly — not daily — dosing for methotrexate, a drug with a well-documented narrow therapeutic index where daily dosing errors are among the most dangerous and well-known medication errors in pharmacy practice

B. The patient should have independently researched the medication's proper dosing schedule before taking it as directed on the label

C. The prescribing physician should have written "once weekly only — NOT DAILY" to prevent any possibility of dosing confusion

D. The pharmacy's computer system should have automatically flagged the daily dosing as a potential error during label generation

27. An LNC is analyzing a toxic tort case involving workers at a PVC manufacturing facility who were exposed to vinyl chloride monomer (VCM) during polymerization operations over periods of 12–22 years. One worker has been diagnosed with hepatic angiosarcoma — a rare liver cancer. Vinyl chloride is classified by IARC as a Group 1 carcinogen with hepatic angiosarcoma specifically identified as the signature malignancy. The defense argues the worker's moderate social alcohol consumption (two drinks per week) caused the liver cancer. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The worker's alcohol consumption eliminates VCM as a possible cause because alcohol is a more common cause of liver disease
- B. The defense argument should be accepted because alcohol is an established cause of liver cancer regardless of the tumor type
- C. The worker should undergo liver biopsy to distinguish between vinyl chloride-induced and alcohol-induced liver cancer histologically
- D. Hepatic angiosarcoma is an extremely rare tumor with a very specific association with vinyl chloride — it is almost never caused by moderate alcohol consumption, which is associated with hepatocellular carcinoma rather than angiosarcoma, and the specific tumor histology effectively distinguishes between the competing causation arguments

28. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a 55-year-old patient who underwent a total knee replacement and developed a postoperative deep vein thrombosis despite receiving enoxaparin prophylaxis. The medication administration record shows three of the first six postoperative doses were not administered — two entries state "patient refused" and one has no documentation at all. The surgeon was never notified about the missed doses. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The enoxaparin prescription demonstrates the surgeon fulfilled all VTE prophylaxis obligations regardless of actual drug delivery
- B. The patient's refusal of two doses constitutes contributory negligence that should fully eliminate the defendant's liability exposure
- C. The gap between ordered and administered prophylaxis is the critical issue — whether the nursing staff adequately educated the patient about VTE risk (potentially preventing the refusals), what happened with the undocumented dose, and why the surgeon was not notified about three missed doses so that alternative prophylaxis could be considered
- D. The pharmacy bears sole responsibility for any doses not administered to the patient during the postoperative recovery period

29. An LNC is reviewing a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident with documented bilateral contractures and complete immobility developed Stage 4 sacral and bilateral trochanteric pressure ulcers. The Braden Scale score on admission was 9 (very high risk). The care plan specified repositioning every two hours and a specialty pressure-redistributing mattress. The LNC reviews the documentation and finds repositioning documented only every 4–6 hours, the specialty mattress not

ordered until four weeks after admission, and no nutritional supplementation despite an albumin of 1.7 g/dL. What should the LNC conclude?

A. The facility identified the very high risk (Braden 9), developed a care plan with appropriate interventions, but systematically failed to implement them — repositioning at 4–6 hour intervals instead of the care-planned 2 hours, a four-week delay in providing the specialty mattress, and no nutritional intervention despite critically low albumin collectively demonstrate a pattern of planning without execution that allowed foreseeable pressure injuries to develop

B. The bilateral contractures and immobility made pressure ulcers unavoidable regardless of any preventive interventions used

C. The attending physician bears sole responsibility because the physician should have ordered the specialty mattress at admission

D. The family should have been informed about the pressure ulcer risk and required to assist with repositioning during their visits

30. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who presented to the emergency department with acute onset of left arm weakness and slurred speech. The patient arrived within 40 minutes of symptom onset. A head CT was obtained within 15 minutes (negative for hemorrhage). The emergency physician ordered tPA. However, the tPA was not administered for an additional 80 minutes because the pharmacy could not locate the alteplase in the emergency department medication storage. The total time from symptom onset to tPA administration was 2 hours and 35 minutes. Published evidence shows that every 15-minute delay reduces the probability of good neurological outcome. What systems failure does this case illustrate?

A. The emergency physician should have ordered tPA earlier in the evaluation to account for potential pharmacy-related delays

B. The neurologist should have been consulted to authorize the tPA before it could be administered to the stroke patient

C. The CT technologist should have completed the head CT faster to provide more time for the pharmacy to locate the medication

D. The hospital's failure to ensure immediate tPA availability in the emergency department — requiring 80 minutes for the pharmacy to retrieve it — represents a stroke readiness systems failure, because time-critical medications must be immediately accessible at the point of care

31. An LNC is analyzing a healthcare fraud case involving a physician-owned ambulatory surgery center that performs a high volume of spinal epidural steroid injections. An audit reveals that every patient receives bilateral injections at three spinal levels at every visit, regardless of whether the clinical documentation supports multi-level pathology. Independent medical review of 80 patient charts found single-level pathology in 75% of the cases receiving multi-level bilateral injections. The physicians who own the center receive both professional fees and facility fees from the surgery center. What regulatory concerns does this pattern raise?

- A. The multi-level bilateral approach represents a conservative treatment philosophy that does not indicate overutilization at all
- B. The physicians' ownership of the facility is permissible and has no bearing on the appropriateness of the treatment provided
- C. The multi-level bilateral injection pattern should be evaluated against each patient's clinical documentation to determine medical necessity — performing multi-level bilateral injections when 75% of patients have single-level pathology, combined with the physicians' financial interest in the facility, raises concerns about medically unnecessary procedures driven by revenue, potentially implicating the False Claims Act and the Stark Law
- D. The independent medical review methodology was inherently flawed and the 75% non-justification rate does not accurately reflect reality

32. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a diagnostic colonoscopy. The gastroenterologist removed a large sessile polyp. The pathology report showed "invasive adenocarcinoma with lymphovascular invasion — margins positive." The gastroenterologist informed the patient the results were "benign" and recommended a three-year follow-up colonoscopy. Twenty months later, the patient was diagnosed with Stage III colon cancer at the prior polypectomy site. What is the critical standard of care failure?

- A. The pathologist should have personally contacted the gastroenterologist to communicate the malignant findings before the final report
- B. The gastroenterologist either failed to review the pathology results or misrepresented them — adenocarcinoma with positive margins and lymphovascular invasion demands immediate surgical referral for segmental resection with lymph node evaluation, and characterizing invasive cancer as "benign" allowed a potentially curable local malignancy to progress to regional disease
- C. The patient should have independently obtained a copy of the pathology report rather than relying on the physician's interpretation

D. The primary care physician should have independently reviewed the colonoscopy pathology results at the patient's next office visit

33. An LNC is evaluating a workers' compensation case involving a 44-year-old dental hygienist who developed bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome after 10 years of dental scaling and root planing. The hygienist's job analysis documents sustained pinch grip on dental instruments with repetitive wrist flexion-extension for 7 hours per day. The employer's IME physician concluded the CTS is "constitutional" because bilateral involvement suggests systemic predisposition. What is the flaw in the IME reasoning?

A. The IME physician should have ordered nerve conduction studies before reaching any conclusion about the CTS causation

B. The hygienist's recreational activities should be investigated as the primary cause of the bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome

C. The hygienist should undergo a functional capacity evaluation before any occupational causation determination is made

D. Bilateral CTS does not disprove occupational causation when the occupational exposure is itself bilateral — dental hygienists use both hands symmetrically during instrumentation, so bilateral CTS is the expected injury pattern for symmetrically demanding hand-intensive work, and the IME physician's reasoning contains a fundamental logical error

34. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a 70-year-old patient who underwent a total thyroidectomy. Postoperatively, the patient developed perioral tingling, Chvostek's sign, and carpopedal spasm at 7:00 PM. The nurse documented these findings but did not obtain a serum calcium level or notify the surgeon. At 3:00 AM — eight hours later — the patient developed a generalized seizure from severe hypocalcemia (calcium 5.4 mg/dL). What nursing assessment failure should the LNC identify?

A. The surgeon should have ordered routine prophylactic calcium supplementation for all post-thyroidectomy patients before symptoms

B. The patient should have reported the tingling symptoms more urgently to the nursing staff rather than waiting for the assessment

C. Perioral tingling, Chvostek's sign, and carpopedal spasm after thyroidectomy are classic signs of hypocalcemia — a recognized, potentially life-threatening complication requiring immediate serum calcium measurement and physician notification, and the eight-hour delay allowed a treatable electrolyte emergency to progress to a seizure

D. The endocrinology service should have been automatically consulted for all post-thyroidectomy patients to manage calcium levels

35. An LNC is analyzing a personal injury case involving a 38-year-old woman who sustained a complete Achilles tendon rupture when she stepped into an unmarked pothole on a commercial property. Despite surgical repair and 12 months of rehabilitation, the plaintiff has residual plantar flexion weakness, chronic pain at the repair site, and an altered gait pattern. The plaintiff was a professional basketball referee who depends on rapid directional changes, sprinting, and sustained court movement. The defense argues the plaintiff can officiate recreational basketball. What is the most important damages consideration?

A. The plaintiff's age of 38 suggests she was approaching the end of her professional officiating career and damages should be limited

B. The plaintiff should undergo a functional capacity evaluation to verify the claimed limitations before any damages analysis is completed

C. The plaintiff should attempt to officiate at a lower competitive level before claiming permanent inability to perform her profession

D. The plaintiff's pre-injury income as a professional referee should be compared to recreational officiating pay to calculate the differential

36. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who was prescribed lithium for bipolar disorder. The prescribing psychiatrist monitored serum lithium levels every three months but did not monitor renal function (creatinine, eGFR) at any point during two years of therapy. When renal function was checked by the patient's PCP at a routine physical, the eGFR had declined from a baseline of 90 mL/min to 24 mL/min (stage 4 CKD). The patient now requires nephrology follow-up and may eventually need dialysis. Published lithium prescribing guidelines require renal function monitoring at least every six months because lithium is nephrotoxic. What should the LNC identify?

A. The psychiatrist monitored lithium levels but failed to monitor renal function — a separate, independent prescribing obligation — when lithium's documented nephrotoxicity specifically requires

periodic renal assessment to detect impairment before it progresses to advanced kidney disease, and the two-year monitoring gap allowed the eGFR to decline from normal to stage 4 CKD undetected

B. The primary care physician should have independently monitored renal function during the lithium therapy period

C. The pharmacist should have refused to refill lithium without documentation of recent renal function testing results

D. The patient should have requested renal function testing based on independent knowledge of lithium's nephrotoxic potential

37. An LNC is reviewing a product liability case involving a defective spinal fixation system. The pedicle screws used in the plaintiff's lumbar fusion fractured at 16 months postoperatively, resulting in loss of fusion, recurrent pain, and need for revision surgery with a different system. The manufacturer recalled the affected screw lot due to a metallurgical impurity that reduced fatigue strength. The recall notice acknowledged the lot-specific defect. What type of product defect does this represent?

A. Design defect, because all pedicle screws of this model share the same inherent design vulnerability causing premature breakage

B. Failure to warn, because the manufacturer should have warned surgeons about the general risk of screw breakage during use

C. Manufacturing defect — the specific lot contained a metallurgical impurity that reduced fatigue strength, making these screws different from the product as designed, and the manufacturer's recall acknowledging the lot-specific impurity confirms a production-level defect

D. Breach of implied warranty, because the screws failed to serve their intended purpose of maintaining spinal fixation stability

38. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a right total knee replacement. The surgeon used the posterior surgical approach. On postoperative day one, the patient's hip dislocated during a physical therapy session when the therapist flexed the hip beyond 90 degrees while simultaneously internally rotating. Wait — this is a knee replacement case. Let me reconsider.

An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a total hip replacement using the posterior surgical approach. On postoperative day one, the hip dislocated during physical therapy when the therapist flexed the hip beyond 90 degrees with simultaneous internal rotation. The posterior approach has specific postoperative precautions that must be communicated to the rehabilitation team. The physical therapist stated she was "not informed about posterior hip precautions." What communication failure should the LNC identify?

- A. The physical therapist should have independently known all hip precautions for every surgical approach without surgeon communication
- B. The surgeon's failure to communicate the posterior approach-specific hip precautions to the physical therapy team — or the absence of a system ensuring this communication — created a gap between the surgical plan and the rehabilitation plan that resulted in a foreseeable and preventable dislocation
- C. The nursing staff should have been present during all physical therapy sessions to monitor for hip precaution compliance
- D. The patient should have stopped the therapist when the hip was being flexed beyond a comfortable range of motion during exercise

39. An LNC is evaluating a risk management case involving a hospital where a patient with a documented penicillin allergy (anaphylaxis) received amoxicillin — a penicillin-class antibiotic. The allergy was documented in the electronic health record and on the patient's wristband. The CPOE system generated an allergy alert when the physician ordered the amoxicillin. The physician overrode the alert. The pharmacist did not independently verify the override. The nurse did not check the allergy list before administration. The patient experienced anaphylaxis. How many independent safety barriers failed?

- A. At least three barriers failed: the physician overrode the allergy alert without valid clinical justification, the pharmacist did not verify the override appropriateness, and the nurse did not check allergies before administration — each barrier was independently designed to prevent this event, and all three failed simultaneously
- B. Only the physician's alert override was the proximate cause and no other safety barrier failures need to be analyzed
- C. Only two barriers failed — the physician's override and the nurse's failure to check the allergy list before administration
- D. No barriers failed because the physician documented awareness when overriding the alert, constituting clinical justification

40. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent bariatric surgery (Roux-en-Y gastric bypass) and developed a staple line leak on postoperative day four. The patient presented with tachycardia (heart rate 128), left shoulder pain, and fever (101.8°F). An upper GI series was negative for leak. Despite the negative study, the patient's clinical signs persisted and worsened — increasing tachycardia, rising WBC, new tachypnea. The surgeon attributed the signs to "post-surgical stress response." A CT scan obtained 36 hours later confirmed a contained staple line leak. What clinical principle should the LNC apply?

- A. The negative upper GI series conclusively excluded a staple line leak and the surgeon's initial reliance on it was appropriate
- B. The surgeon should have immediately performed an exploratory laparotomy rather than ordering any imaging study for evaluation
- C. The patient's obesity made the CT scan unreliable and an MRI should have been ordered instead for leak evaluation accuracy
- D. A negative contrast study does not definitively exclude a staple line leak — upper GI series sensitivity for bariatric leaks is imperfect, and persistent concerning clinical signs after bariatric surgery require further investigation with CT even when the initial contrast study is negative

41. An LNC is evaluating a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident who was prescribed a pureed diet with nectar-thick liquids was served a regular-texture meal by a dietary aide. The resident choked and developed aspiration pneumonia. Investigation reveals the diet order was correctly entered in the electronic health record but was never transmitted to the kitchen's meal preparation system because the two systems are not integrated. The incorrect diet had been served for eight days before the aspiration event. What systems failure does this illustrate?

- A. The dietary aide bears sole responsibility because aides are expected to verify each resident's diet order before meal service
- B. The non-integrated clinical and dietary information systems created a communication gap — the correct diet order existed in the EHR but was never reflected in the kitchen's meal production system, and the absence of a reconciliation process between the two systems allowed the discrepancy to persist for eight days until the foreseeable aspiration event occurred
- C. The speech-language pathologist should have personally monitored all meals for residents with dietary texture modifications

D. The attending physician should have entered the diet order in both the clinical system and the dietary system simultaneously

42. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a 42-year-old patient who underwent an elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy and developed a common bile duct injury. The injury was not recognized intraoperatively. The patient presented five days later with jaundice, abdominal pain, and fever. The surgeon's operative report does not document achievement of the "critical view of safety." The pathology report on the gallbladder notes "segment of common bile duct tissue attached to the cystic duct stump." What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Whether the surgeon achieved and documented the critical view of safety before clipping — the operative report's silence on this standard technique combined with the pathology report's finding of bile duct tissue in the specimen provides dual evidence of both the failure to use the safety technique and the resulting anatomical misidentification

B. Bile duct injury is a recognized complication that cannot constitute malpractice regardless of the surgeon's operative technique

C. The patient should have chosen open cholecystectomy rather than the laparoscopic approach to reduce the bile duct injury risk

D. The radiologist who interpreted the preoperative imaging should have warned the surgeon about possible variant biliary anatomy

43. An LNC is analyzing a workers' compensation case involving a 50-year-old registered nurse who developed chronic low back pain after a specific lifting incident — the nurse experienced acute severe back pain while transferring a 300-pound patient without a mechanical lift or assistance. The employer accepted the initial injury but disputes the need for lumbar fusion surgery, arguing the nurse's pre-existing degenerative disc disease is the primary cause. Pre-injury records show only occasional mild back discomfort managed with over-the-counter medications and no functional limitations. Post-injury MRI shows disc herniations at L4-L5 and L5-S1 superimposed on degenerative changes. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The pre-existing degenerative changes conclusively prove the condition is entirely unrelated to the workplace lifting incident

B. The nurse's recreational activities should be investigated as an alternative cause of the disc herniations before evaluating work

C. The nurse should undergo a functional capacity evaluation before surgical necessity can be evaluated by the LNC

D. Whether the acute lifting injury aggravated the pre-existing degenerative condition — transforming occasional mild discomfort manageable with OTC medications into a disabling condition requiring surgical intervention — and whether the clinical trajectory (acute onset during a specific lifting event, progression to radiculopathy, failure of conservative treatment) supports the work injury as the precipitating cause

44. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a screening colonoscopy. The gastroenterologist removed a 2.8 cm sessile polyp using piecemeal technique. The pathology report returned showing "tubular adenoma with high-grade dysplasia — margins cannot be assessed due to piecemeal removal." The gastroenterologist recommended a three-year follow-up colonoscopy. Current surveillance guidelines recommend follow-up colonoscopy at 3–6 months when a polyp with high-grade dysplasia is removed piecemeal because margins cannot be verified. What standard of care failure should the LNC identify?

A. The pathologist should have insisted on an intact specimen to assess margins rather than accepting piecemeal tissue fragments

B. The patient should have independently researched surveillance guidelines and requested an earlier follow-up examination date

C. The gastroenterologist's recommendation for three-year follow-up deviated from surveillance guidelines requiring 3–6 month follow-up when a polyp with high-grade dysplasia is removed piecemeal — the inability to assess margins means residual adenomatous tissue may remain at the polypectomy site, and the short-interval colonoscopy is designed to detect and remove any residual tissue before it progresses

D. The gastroenterologist should have immediately referred the patient for surgical resection after the piecemeal polyp removal

45. An LNC is reviewing a personal injury case involving a 55-year-old professional chef who sustained severe thermal burns to both hands when she slipped on an unmarked wet floor at a catering venue and grabbed heated surfaces to break the fall. Despite treatment, she has permanent loss of heat tolerance, reduced grip strength, and absent fine touch sensation in several fingers. These impairments prevent the essential functions of professional cooking. The defense argues she can work in food industry management. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The permanent loss of heat tolerance, grip strength, and fine touch sensation constitutes both an economic loss (earning capacity at the professional chef level) and a non-economic loss (inability to practice a skilled craft that defined the plaintiff's professional identity) — management requires fundamentally different skills and provides different compensation, and does not replace the loss of a specialized hands-on culinary career

B. The plaintiff should undergo a functional capacity evaluation to objectively document the claimed sensory and motor deficits

C. The plaintiff's income as a chef should be compared to management salaries to calculate the precise economic differential

D. The venue's liability should be limited to the burn treatment costs because the functional impairments are a consequence of healing

46. An LNC is analyzing a regulatory compliance case involving a hospital that routinely performs moderate sedation for procedures by non-anesthesiologist physicians. A state survey identified that physicians administering sedation do not maintain ACLS certification, no standardized pre-sedation assessment using ASA physical status classification is performed, monitoring equipment at several procedure sites lacks capnography, and rescue equipment is not immediately available at all sedation sites. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. These findings are minor administrative issues that do not create actual patient safety risks requiring immediate corrective action

B. The physicians should receive additional sedation training but no equipment changes are needed at any of the procedure sites

C. The deficiencies represent noncompliance with CMS Conditions of Participation and professional sedation standards — absent ACLS certification, absent ASA assessment, inadequate monitoring, and unavailable rescue equipment create systemic patient safety risks during every sedation procedure, and these violations would constitute strong evidence of institutional negligence if a patient is harmed

D. The survey findings should be appealed because CMS does not specifically regulate moderate sedation practices in hospitals

47. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a 60-year-old patient who developed a hospital-acquired sacral pressure ulcer during a 12-day hospitalization for hip fracture repair. The Braden Scale score on admission was 11 (very high risk). The care plan specified repositioning every two hours and a specialty mattress. The documentation shows repositioning gaps of 6–8 hours on

multiple days, the specialty mattress was not ordered until day 7, and no nutritional supplementation was provided despite an albumin of 2.0 g/dL. What should the LNC identify?

- A. The hip fracture immobilized the patient and the pressure ulcer was an unavoidable consequence of the required surgical recovery
- B. Multiple pressure injury prevention failures occurred simultaneously: repositioning gaps of 6–8 hours (versus the care-planned 2 hours), a seven-day delay in providing the specialty mattress, and absent nutritional intervention despite low albumin — these failures collectively demonstrate a systemic breakdown in implementing the prevention strategy demanded by the patient's documented very high risk
- C. The attending physician bears sole responsibility because the physician should have ordered the specialty mattress at admission
- D. The patient's family should have been informed about the pressure injury risk and required to assist with repositioning

48. An LNC is evaluating a Medicare Set-Aside case involving a 72-year-old Medicare beneficiary settling a workers' compensation claim for bilateral knee injuries. The claimant has undergone bilateral total knee replacements. The orthopedist documents that revision will likely be needed in 12–15 years. The claimant's rated life expectancy is 10 years. Should revision surgery be included in the MSA?

- A. The revision should be included at full cost because the orthopedist documented the clinical basis for eventual need regardless
- B. The revision should be projected at exactly 10 years to match the end of the claimant's rated life expectancy for calculation
- C. The revision costs should be split equally between the MSA and the claimant's personal Medicare responsibility as a compromise
- D. The revision should be excluded because the projected timeline (12–15 years) exceeds the claimant's rated life expectancy (10 years) — the MSA includes only expenses reasonably expected to occur within the projected remaining lifetime

49. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent spinal surgery and developed a postoperative epidural hematoma. The patient was taking clopidogrel for a coronary

stent placed nine months earlier. The surgeon did not discontinue clopidogrel before the procedure and did not consult the patient's cardiologist about safe antiplatelet cessation. Current guidelines require coordinated multidisciplinary decision-making about antiplatelet discontinuation in patients with coronary stents. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The surgeon should have refused to perform any spinal surgery on a patient with a coronary stent and active antiplatelet therapy

B. The patient should have independently discontinued the clopidogrel before presenting for elective spinal surgery procedures

C. Whether the surgeon's failure to discontinue clopidogrel and failure to consult the cardiologist about safe cessation timing deviated from the standard of care — and whether the coordinated multidisciplinary approach required by guidelines would have identified a safe window for antiplatelet cessation that balanced the bleeding risk of surgery against the thrombotic risk of stent thrombosis

D. The cardiologist who placed the stent should have proactively communicated antiplatelet management to all other providers

50. An LNC is evaluating a product liability case involving a defective cardiac pacemaker lead. The lead fractured, causing loss of pacing and a syncopal episode during which the patient fell and sustained a hip fracture. The manufacturer's post-market surveillance data shows a lead fracture rate of 6.3% at three years — significantly higher than the industry benchmark of less than 1%. The manufacturer knew about the elevated fracture rate for 15 months before the plaintiff's lead fracture but had not issued a product advisory. What is the most significant liability issue?

A. The implanting cardiologist should have selected a different manufacturer's lead based on published performance data available

B. The patient should have undergone more frequent device interrogations to detect the lead fracture before a clinical event occurred

C. The lead fracture is a recognized complication of cardiac pacing that cannot form the basis of any product liability claim

D. The manufacturer's knowledge of the elevated lead fracture rate (6.3% vs. <1%) for 15 months without issuing a product advisory constitutes a failure to warn — depriving physicians and patients of information needed to make informed decisions about device monitoring frequency and potential prophylactic lead replacement

51. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who developed contrast-induced nephropathy after receiving IV iodinated contrast for a CT scan. The patient had documented chronic kidney disease with a baseline creatinine of 2.6 mg/dL (eGFR 24 mL/min). No renal function was checked before contrast administration, and no renal protective protocol (IV hydration, N-acetylcysteine) was implemented. The patient required temporary dialysis. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Whether the contrast administration without checking renal function in a patient with known severe CKD (eGFR 24) and without implementing the standard renal protection protocol deviated from the radiological standard of care — the contrast-induced nephropathy was a foreseeable and preventable consequence of administering a nephrotoxic agent to a patient with severely impaired renal function without protective measures

B. The emergency physician who ordered the CT should be the sole defendant because the physician knew about the kidney disease

C. The patient should have informed the CT technologist about the kidney disease before the scan was performed in the scanner

D. Contrast-induced nephropathy is an unavoidable complication of CT scans that cannot be prevented through any renal protection

52. An LNC is reviewing a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident with documented severe dysphagia was prescribed medications with thin water by a medication nurse. The resident's dietary order specified nectar-thick liquids only. The medication nurse stated she was "unaware of the thickened liquid requirement." The medication administration record contained no notation about the thickened liquid restriction, and no system existed to alert medication staff about dietary texture modifications. The resident aspirated and developed aspiration pneumonia. What systems failure does this illustrate?

A. The medication nurse bears sole responsibility because nurses are required to review all patient orders before medication administration

B. The facility lacked a system to communicate dietary texture modifications to medication staff — the absence of a thickened liquid alert in the medication administration record and the absence of any mechanism to notify medication nurses about fluid consistency requirements created a predictable gap between the dietary order and medication administration practice

C. The attending physician should have ordered all medications in a crushable or liquid form to avoid the need for water entirely

D. The resident should have refused the thin water and informed the medication nurse about the dietary thickened liquid restriction

53. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a 35-year-old woman who presented to the emergency department with acute onset of left lower quadrant pain and vaginal spotting. A urine pregnancy test was positive. Transvaginal ultrasound showed no intrauterine pregnancy. The beta-hCG was 1,100 mIU/mL (below the discriminatory zone). The physician documented "pregnancy of unknown location" and discharged the patient with 48-hour repeat beta-hCG instructions. At the 48-hour follow-up, the beta-hCG had risen to only 1,300 (18% increase rather than the expected 50–100% doubling). Repeat ultrasound showed no intrauterine pregnancy. The physician discharged the patient again with instructions to return in 48 hours. She presented two days later with ruptured ectopic pregnancy and hemorrhagic shock. What was the critical failure at the second visit?

A. The emergency physician should have performed a culdocentesis at the first visit to check for free pelvic fluid immediately

B. The quantitative beta-hCG should have been checked every 12 hours rather than every 48 hours to detect the abnormal trend

C. The transvaginal ultrasound at the second visit was unnecessary because the beta-hCG was still below the discriminatory zone

D. The inadequately rising beta-hCG (18% increase rather than expected doubling) combined with absent intrauterine pregnancy created a clinical picture highly suspicious for ectopic pregnancy requiring definitive intervention — either methotrexate or surgical evaluation — rather than continued observation

54. An LNC is evaluating a workers' compensation case involving a 46-year-old paramedic who developed bilateral shoulder impingement syndrome after five years of performing patient lifts, stretcher loading into ambulances, and chest compressions during cardiac arrests. The employer's IME physician concluded the conditions are "constitutional" because bilateral involvement suggests systemic predisposition. What is the fundamental flaw in the IME reasoning?

A. The IME physician should have ordered shoulder MRI before making any conclusion about the causation of the bilateral impingement

B. Bilateral involvement does not disprove occupational causation when the occupational exposure is itself bilateral — paramedicine involves symmetric bilateral overhead loading (stretcher lifting into

elevated ambulance compartments) and forceful bilateral upper extremity use (chest compressions), making bilateral shoulder pathology the expected pattern of occupational injury

C. The paramedic's recreational sports activities should be investigated as the primary cause of the bilateral shoulder pathology

D. The paramedic should undergo a functional capacity evaluation before any occupational causation determination can be made

55. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a routine upper endoscopy under moderate sedation. The patient's oxygen saturation dropped to 82% and remained below 88% for four minutes. The endoscopy nurse documented the desaturation but did not intervene — did not administer supplemental oxygen, did not alert the endoscopist, and did not initiate any rescue measures. The patient was eventually noticed by the endoscopist, who halted the procedure and initiated resuscitation. The patient sustained a hypoxic event with residual short-term memory deficits. What is the primary nursing standard of care violation?

A. The endoscopist should have been independently monitoring the patient's vital signs throughout the entire endoscopy procedure

B. The patient should have been intubated prophylactically before the endoscopy to prevent any risk of desaturation from occurring

C. The nurse's failure to respond to the oxygen desaturation — by administering supplemental oxygen, alerting the endoscopist, and preparing rescue interventions — represents a critical failure to act on an acute change in the patient's condition during procedural sedation, which requires continuous monitoring with immediate response to deterioration

D. The moderate sedation protocol should prohibit the use of sedation for all routine endoscopy procedures in patients over age 50

56. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who was prescribed warfarin for atrial fibrillation. The INR was monitored monthly. At the seventh-month visit, the INR was 7.1 (dangerously supratherapeutic). The physician was notified but did not contact the patient, adjust the dose, or arrange repeat testing. Five days later, the patient presented to the emergency department with a massive intracranial hemorrhage. What should the LNC identify?

A. The physician's failure to respond to the critically elevated INR of 7.1 — by contacting the patient, holding or adjusting the warfarin dose, and arranging repeat INR testing — represents a failure to act on a critical laboratory result, and the five-day delay allowed a treatable anticoagulation excess to progress to a fatal hemorrhagic complication

B. The laboratory should have called the physician directly with the critical INR rather than reporting through routine channels

C. The patient should have independently reduced the warfarin dose when experiencing any unusual bleeding or bruising symptoms

D. The monthly INR monitoring frequency was too infrequent and should have been every two weeks for this patient

57. An LNC is evaluating a personal injury case involving a 50-year-old commercial truck driver who sustained a right shoulder rotator cuff tear and a mild traumatic brain injury in a collision. Despite successful rotator cuff repair, the plaintiff has residual overhead reach limitation and chronic shoulder pain. He also has persistent mild cognitive symptoms (slowed processing speed, concentration difficulty). The defense argues the plaintiff can return to local delivery driving. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The plaintiff's pre-injury driving record should be the sole factor in determining his ability to return to commercial driving

B. Whether the combination of residual shoulder impairment (limiting overhead loading/unloading) and persistent cognitive symptoms (slowed processing speed, concentration difficulty) are compatible with any commercial driving — both long-haul and local delivery require sustained concentration, defensive driving judgment, and physical cargo handling that the documented deficits may preclude

C. The plaintiff should attempt a graded return to local delivery driving before claiming permanent total vocational disability

D. The plaintiff's BMI should be evaluated as a contributing factor to the severity of the shoulder injury sustained in the accident

58. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a total hip arthroplasty and developed a deep prosthetic joint infection with MRSA. The patient had a positive preoperative MRSA nasal screen. The hospital's protocol requires MRSA decolonization (intranasal mupirocin and chlorhexidine washes for five days) before elective joint replacement. The patient

underwent surgery without completing the protocol — the preoperative form notes "decolonization not initiated." What should the LNC evaluate?

A. MRSA nasal colonization is a normal finding that does not require preoperative intervention before joint replacement surgery

B. The patient should have independently completed the decolonization regimen at home without relying on the hospital to initiate it

C. The surgeon should have personally verified completion of all preoperative protocols before beginning the joint replacement surgery

D. The hospital identified the patient as MRSA-colonized through its own screening program but failed to initiate its own decolonization protocol — this gap between risk identification and risk mitigation represents a systems failure that defeated the purpose of the screening program and allowed a preventable MRSA infection

59. An LNC is analyzing a life care plan for a 32-year-old woman who sustained a complete T8 spinal cord injury. The plan includes annual evaluations by physiatry, urology, pulmonology, dermatology, and psychology. The defense argues these specialty visits are excessive and should be replaced by primary care alone. What is the most appropriate response?

A. Published SCI clinical practice guidelines specifically recommend these annual specialty evaluations as part of the preventive care regimen — T8 complete injury creates lifelong risk for neurogenic bladder complications, respiratory changes, skin breakdown, musculoskeletal deterioration, and psychological sequelae that each require specialist-level monitoring beyond the scope of routine primary care

B. The specialty evaluations should be reduced to biennial frequency as a compromise between the opposing expert positions

C. The plaintiff should select the three most important specialties rather than maintaining annual visits with all five specialty services

D. The defense argument should be accepted because primary care physicians can adequately manage all SCI-related complications

60. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who developed postpartum hemorrhage after a vaginal delivery. The nursing documentation shows the fundus was assessed at 15

minutes post-delivery (firm) and not reassessed for 2.5 hours. During this unmonitored interval, uterine atony developed with heavy vaginal bleeding. By the time the boggy fundus was identified, the patient had lost 2,200 mL of blood and required emergency transfusion, uterine balloon tamponade, and ICU admission. The standard of care requires fundal assessment every 15 minutes for the first hour, then every 30 minutes for the second hour. What should the LNC identify?

- A. The physician should have remained at the bedside for continuous monitoring during the entire two-hour postpartum period
- B. The patient should have alerted the nursing staff when she noticed the increasing vaginal bleeding between the assessments
- C. The 2.5-hour gap between postpartum assessments — when the standard requires every 15–30 minutes — represents a critical monitoring failure that allowed uterine atony to progress undetected from a treatable condition to a life-threatening hemorrhage
- D. The initial firm fundal assessment at 15 minutes confirmed adequate uterine tone and no further monitoring was needed

61. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a 55-year-old patient who presented to the emergency department with a fish bone lodged in the throat. Direct visualization of the oropharynx was negative for a visible foreign body. The physician diagnosed "mucosal scratch" and discharged the patient. No imaging was obtained. The patient returned five days later with neck swelling, fever, and dysphagia. A CT scan revealed a retropharyngeal abscess from the embedded fish bone that had perforated into the deep neck tissues. The patient required emergency surgical drainage. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. Whether the emergency physician's evaluation was adequate — when a patient reports a lodged foreign body that is not visualized on direct examination, CT scan is the recommended imaging modality because fish bones are often radiolucent on plain X-ray, and the failure to obtain appropriate imaging when the patient reported a foreign body sensation may constitute a deviation from the diagnostic standard of care
- B. The patient should have returned to the emergency department sooner when the throat discomfort did not resolve within 24 hours
- C. The ENT specialist on call should have been consulted to perform fiberoptic laryngoscopy at the initial emergency department visit

D. Fish bone impactions rarely cause serious complications and the emergency physician's discharge was clinically appropriate

62. An LNC is reviewing a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident with documented wandering behavior and moderate dementia was found outside the facility at 0200 in February, wearing only a hospital gown, in 22°F weather. The resident was found by a passing motorist 150 yards from the facility entrance and was hospitalized with hypothermia and frostbite to both feet. The facility's elopement prevention door alarm had been turned off by a staff member because it was "frequently false-alarming and waking other residents." What institutional failures should the LNC identify?

A. The resident's physician should have prescribed sedating medication to prevent nighttime wandering episodes in this patient

B. The resident's family should have been required to provide a private sitter during nighttime hours to prevent elopement events

C. The door alarm — the facility's elopement prevention system — was disabled by staff due to chronic maintenance-related false alarms, and the facility's failure to maintain the alarm (allowing chronic false alarms) and failure to provide an alternative elopement measure when the alarm was disabled left a documented wandering risk resident without any protection from the exact event that occurred

D. The resident's roommate should have alerted the nursing staff when the resident left the room during the nighttime hours

63. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a diagnostic cardiac catheterization via the right femoral artery. Post-procedure, the patient developed progressive right groin and flank pain, tachycardia (heart rate rising from 76 to 132 over three hours), and a hemoglobin decline from 14.2 to 8.8 g/dL. The nurse documented these findings but attributed the pain to "post-procedure discomfort" and the tachycardia to "anxiety." The physician was not notified for three hours. By that time, the patient was hypotensive and a CT confirmed a large retroperitoneal hematoma. What nursing assessment failure should the LNC identify?

A. The nurse should have applied additional pressure to the femoral artery access site to prevent further bleeding at the puncture site

B. The cardiologist should have remained at the patient's bedside until all vital signs were completely stable after the catheterization

C. The femoral closure device manufacturer should be investigated for a device malfunction causing inadequate post-procedure hemostasis

D. Progressive tachycardia, declining hemoglobin, and increasing pain after femoral artery catheterization constitute a clinical picture of active hemorrhage — the nurse's failure to recognize these trending changes as warning signs and failure to notify the physician for three hours represents a critical assessment and communication failure

64. An LNC is evaluating a personal injury case involving a 42-year-old woman who sustained bilateral wrist fractures when she fell through a defective floor grating at a warehouse. Both wrists required surgical fixation. Despite successful surgery, the plaintiff has residual bilateral wrist stiffness, reduced grip strength, and chronic pain. The plaintiff was a concert pianist. The bilateral wrist impairments prevent concert-level performance. The defense argues she can teach music. What is the most important damages consideration?

A. The plaintiff should attempt to perform with modified repertoire before claiming permanent inability to perform at the concert level

B. The permanent bilateral wrist impairments eliminate the fine motor precision, sustained endurance, and dynamic range required for concert-level piano performance — teaching requires fundamentally different skills, provides different compensation, and does not substitute for the loss of artistic performance, professional identity, and 20 years of specialized training

C. The plaintiff's concert income should be discounted because musician earnings are inherently variable and unpredictable annually

D. The plaintiff's age of 42 suggests she has decades of potential performing years remaining, which maximizes the economic damages

65. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a cervical laminectomy and developed a postoperative epidural hematoma causing progressive quadriparesis. The patient was taking aspirin 81 mg daily. The surgeon did not discontinue aspirin before the elective procedure. Current guidelines recommend aspirin cessation 7–10 days before elective cervical spine surgery. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Aspirin 81 mg is a low dose that does not significantly affect surgical bleeding risk during or after spine procedures

B. The surgeon should have consulted the patient's cardiologist before making any decision about aspirin discontinuation

C. The patient should have independently discontinued aspirin before presenting for the elective cervical spine surgery

D. The surgeon's failure to discontinue aspirin before elective cervical spine surgery — when guidelines recommend cessation 7–10 days preoperatively — deviates from the standard of care, and the continued aspirin is a modifiable risk factor that likely contributed to the postoperative epidural hematoma

66. An LNC is evaluating a risk management case involving a hospital that uses patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) pumps. A near-miss occurred when a nurse programmed hydromorphone PCA at a concentration of 1 mg/mL instead of the correct 0.2 mg/mL — a fivefold error. The error was caught during an independent double-check by a second nurse before the patient received any doses. Investigation reveals the independent double-check is performed in only 62% of PCA setups. What does this near-miss reveal?

A. The near-miss demonstrates both the value of the independent double-check (catching a potentially fatal error) and the vulnerability created by the 38% non-compliance rate — in 38% of PCA setups, this same error would not be detected before reaching the patient, and the risk management response should address why the double-check is not performed consistently

B. The nurse who made the programming error should be terminated because PCA concentration errors are always unacceptable

C. The PCA pump manufacturer should redesign the pump interface to eliminate the possibility of concentration entry errors entirely

D. The near-miss requires no risk management response because no patient was actually harmed by the programming error

67. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a 45-year-old patient who was prescribed isotretinoin for severe cystic acne. The patient was a woman of childbearing age. The dermatologist did not enroll the patient in iPLEDGE, did not obtain a baseline pregnancy test, did not document contraception counseling, and did not obtain monthly pregnancy tests as required by the FDA risk management program. The patient became pregnant while on isotretinoin. The infant was born with craniofacial anomalies and cardiac defects consistent with isotretinoin embryopathy. What should the LNC identify?

A. The pharmacist should have refused to dispense isotretinoin without documentation of iPLEDGE enrollment and pregnancy testing

B. The dermatologist violated multiple mandatory prescribing safeguards — no iPLEDGE enrollment, no baseline or monthly pregnancy testing, and no contraception counseling — all FDA-mandated requirements specifically designed to prevent fetal exposure to a known teratogen, and these cumulative violations constitute clear deviations from the prescribing standard of care

C. The patient should have independently researched isotretinoin's teratogenic risks before agreeing to take the medication for acne

D. The infant's congenital anomalies may be coincidental and unrelated to the isotretinoin exposure during the pregnancy period

68. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a total thyroidectomy and developed permanent bilateral recurrent laryngeal nerve palsy resulting in bilateral vocal cord paralysis and permanent tracheostomy. The surgeon did not use intraoperative nerve monitoring (IONM). The operative report does not document identification of the recurrent laryngeal nerves. The pathology report notes "parathyroid tissue identified in specimen." What should the LNC evaluate?

A. IONM is experimental technology that is not part of the current standard of care for thyroidectomy procedures performed today

B. The bilateral RLN palsy is a recognized complication that occurs even with perfect surgical technique and monitoring in all cases

C. Two independent standard of care issues exist: the failure to use or consider IONM (which could have detected nerve injury on the first side and prompted modification to prevent bilateral injury), and the pathology finding of parathyroid tissue in the specimen (direct evidence that at least one parathyroid gland was removed rather than preserved as required)

D. The anesthesiologist is solely responsible for all nerve monitoring decisions during surgical procedures in the operating room

69. An LNC is evaluating a toxic tort case involving workers at a battery manufacturing facility who were exposed to lead during battery assembly over periods of 10–20 years. Blood lead levels ranged from 35–70 µg/dL. Several workers developed chronic kidney disease. The defense argues the workers' kidney disease is caused by hypertension and diabetes — conditions present in three of five workers. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The workers' hypertension and diabetes are the sole causes of kidney disease and lead exposure plays no causative role at all

B. The LNC should evaluate each worker individually — considering documented lead levels relative to the dose-response curve for nephrotoxicity, the temporal relationship between exposure and kidney disease onset, whether the pattern of renal disease (tubular vs. glomerular) distinguishes lead nephrotoxicity from hypertensive/diabetic nephropathy, and whether lead and comorbidities may have contributed independently or synergistically

C. The elevated blood lead levels automatically prove that lead caused the kidney disease without any further individual clinical analysis

D. The workers should undergo kidney biopsy to definitively distinguish between lead, hypertensive, and diabetic nephropathy

70. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a laparoscopic hysterectomy and developed a vesicovaginal fistula that was not recognized for three weeks. The patient reported continuous urinary leakage from the vagina starting on postoperative day two. The surgeon's office told her "some leakage is normal after hysterectomy." When the patient was finally evaluated, a cystogram confirmed the fistula. The three-week delay caused chronic inflammation and scarring that complicated the repair. What should the LNC identify?

A. Vesicovaginal fistula is a recognized complication of hysterectomy and the surgeon cannot be held liable for its occurrence

B. The patient should have sought a second opinion when the leakage persisted beyond the first week after the hysterectomy

C. Continuous urinary leakage from the vagina beginning on postoperative day two is not normal after hysterectomy — it is a classic presentation of vesicovaginal fistula requiring prompt evaluation, and the three-week delay in diagnosis caused chronic changes that complicated the eventual repair

D. The operating room nurse should have verified bladder integrity with a cystoscopy before the patient left the operating room

71. An LNC is reviewing a long-term care case in which a 90-year-old nursing home resident with end-stage Alzheimer's disease and a documented advance directive specifying "comfort measures only" was transferred to the hospital after a cardiac rhythm change on routine vitals. At the hospital, the resident underwent cardiac catheterization and coronary stent placement. The advance directive was not transmitted with the transfer documentation. The resident developed a groin hematoma from the

catheterization and died two weeks later from procedure-related complications. What is the most critical finding?

- A. The hospital should have verified advance directive status before performing invasive cardiac procedures on a 90-year-old patient
- B. The attending physician at the nursing home should have been present to prevent the transfer from being initiated
- C. The resident's family members should have been contacted before the transfer to verify the advance directive preferences
- D. The nursing home failed to transmit the advance directive with the transfer documentation — depriving the hospital of critical information about the resident's wishes and resulting in invasive procedures that violated the documented comfort-measures-only directive

72. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who developed a central line-associated bloodstream infection. The nursing documentation shows: no central line insertion checklist was used, maximal sterile barrier precautions were not documented during insertion, no daily central line necessity assessment was performed, and the line dressing was changed only once in 14 days (rather than the recommended every 7 days or when soiled). What should the LNC conclude?

- A. The multiple deviations from evidence-based central line bundle practices — absent insertion checklist, absent barrier documentation, absent daily necessity assessment, and inadequate dressing frequency — collectively demonstrate systemic noncompliance with infection prevention standards that cumulatively increased the CLABSI risk
- B. The physician who inserted the line bears sole responsibility for the infection and the maintenance deviations are irrelevant
- C. The infection was caused by the patient's underlying immunocompromised status rather than any catheter management deviation
- D. The CLABSI was an unavoidable complication that would have occurred regardless of line insertion or maintenance practices

73. An LNC is analyzing a personal injury case involving a 55-year-old man who sustained a traumatic brain injury when a ceiling-mounted television fell on him at a hotel. The plaintiff developed central

cord syndrome with bilateral hand weakness. He was a practicing attorney who relied on typing, writing, and document manipulation. The defense argues the plaintiff can use voice-recognition technology to continue practicing law. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The hotel's liability insurance policy limits should determine the maximum recoverable damages regardless of injury severity

B. The plaintiff's residual upper extremity impairments — particularly the bilateral hand weakness affecting writing, typing, and document handling — specifically disable functions essential to law practice, and while voice-recognition technology may partially compensate for some tasks, the LNC should evaluate whether the technology can actually replicate the full scope of manual functions required for legal practice (document review, annotation, court filings, client meetings involving paperwork), and whether the cognitive demands of learning new workflow methods are compatible with any concurrent TBI-related deficits

C. The plaintiff should be retrained for a completely different profession rather than attempting to continue practicing law

D. The television mounting bracket manufacturer should be the sole defendant because the bracket failure caused the fall

74. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a spinal laminectomy and developed a postoperative wound infection. The patient had a hemoglobin A1c of 9.8% and was an active smoker. Published spine surgery guidelines recommend glycemic optimization (A1c below 8%) and smoking cessation before elective spinal procedures. The surgeon did not delay the procedure to address either risk factor. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The patient's diabetes and smoking make surgical site infection an inevitable outcome regardless of preoperative optimization

B. The patient bears sole responsibility because the patient should have independently optimized both risk factors before surgery

C. The infectious disease service should have been consulted preoperatively to assess the patient's overall infection risk

D. Whether the surgeon's decision to proceed without glycemic optimization or smoking cessation counseling — when published guidelines recommend addressing these modifiable risk factors before elective spinal surgery — deviated from the preoperative standard of care and increased the foreseeable SSI risk

75. An LNC is evaluating a healthcare fraud case involving a pain management clinic that performs urine drug screens on all patients at every visit using expensive definitive testing (LC-MS/MS) rather than qualitative point-of-care immunoassay screening. Published guidelines recommend qualitative screening as the initial test, with definitive testing reserved for unexpected or clinically significant results. The definitive testing generates \$700 per test versus \$15 for qualitative screening. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. Definitive testing at every visit is clinically appropriate for all pain management patients receiving any opioid therapy regimen
- B. The clinic should switch to qualitative screening at every other visit rather than changing the initial testing methodology
- C. The routine use of expensive definitive testing when qualitative screening would be clinically appropriate — combined with the significant revenue differential — is consistent with ordering medically unnecessary testing driven by financial incentive, potentially implicating the False Claims Act
- D. The pharmacy laboratory processing the specimens should be investigated as the primary source of the excessive charges

76. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a total knee replacement and developed acute compartment syndrome of the lower leg. Progressive calf pain, paresthesias, and loss of dorsiflexion began four hours postoperatively. The orthopedic resident ordered elevation, ice, and morphine. The attending surgeon was not notified for eight hours. Emergency fasciotomy was eventually performed, but the patient sustained permanent foot drop. What clinical principle should the LNC apply?

- A. Pain out of proportion to the expected postoperative course, progressive paresthesias, and loss of dorsiflexion after total knee replacement constitute the hallmark progression of acute compartment syndrome — the resident's failure to consider this diagnosis and the eight-hour delay in notifying the attending represent both a diagnostic failure and a communication failure that allowed a surgical emergency to progress to permanent nerve damage
- B. The resident's initial management with elevation, ice, and morphine was appropriate for expected postoperative lower extremity swelling
- C. Compartment syndrome after total knee replacement is extremely rare and the resident cannot be expected to include it in the differential

D. The patient should have insisted on being seen by the attending surgeon rather than accepting the resident's management plan

77. An LNC is analyzing a risk management case involving a hospital that has experienced three retained surgical item events over six months — two retained sponges and one retained instrument. Root cause analysis reveals common factors: count discrepancies resolved by recounting rather than intraoperative X-ray, surgical team pressure to close quickly, and the sign-out phase of the surgical safety checklist not completed in any of the three cases. What should the LNC recommend?

A. The individual surgeons should be counseled about the importance of complete counts, but no systems changes are necessary

B. The circulating nurses bear sole responsibility for retained items because surgical counting is exclusively a nursing function

C. The hospital should implement routine postoperative X-ray for all surgical cases regardless of count discrepancy status

D. The risk management response should address all three systemic factors: mandate intraoperative X-ray for unresolved count discrepancies, address the production pressure through leadership reinforcement of count reconciliation, and enforce completion of the sign-out checklist phase

78. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a 60-year-old patient who developed necrotizing fasciitis following a minor outpatient procedure. The patient returned 36 hours later with severe wound pain disproportionate to appearance, crepitus, rapidly spreading erythema, and fever. The emergency physician diagnosed cellulitis and prescribed oral antibiotics. The patient returned 24 hours later with extensive necrosis and sepsis requiring amputation. What clinical finding should have prevented the misdiagnosis?

A. The erythema extending beyond wound margins is expected in any postoperative wound infection and supports the cellulitis diagnosis

B. The 36-hour timing makes necrotizing fasciitis unlikely because NF typically develops more than 72 hours after surgical procedures

C. Crepitus — subcutaneous gas formation — combined with pain out of proportion and rapidly spreading erythema constitutes a clinical picture mandating immediate surgical consultation for emergent debridement, not outpatient antibiotic therapy

D. The fever confirms the cellulitis diagnosis and oral antibiotics are the appropriate first-line treatment for this clinical presentation

79. An LNC is evaluating a life care plan for a 28-year-old man who sustained bilateral above-knee amputations in a workplace explosion. The defense argues prosthetic replacement should occur every seven years. The treating prosthetist recommends every 3–5 years based on the bilateral amputation level. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Published literature on prosthetic replacement intervals for bilateral above-knee amputees, the manufacturer's recommended replacement schedule, and the prosthetist's clinical rationale — bilateral AK amputees place greater stress on components than unilateral amputees, typically requiring more frequent replacement, and the prosthetist's patient-specific rationale based on bilateral amputation level, activity, and documented wear patterns provides the clinical basis for the 3–5 year cycle

B. The defense argument should be accepted because seven years is the industry standard for all prosthetic limb replacements

C. A compromise replacement interval of five years should be used to split the difference between the two expert positions

D. The prosthetic manufacturer's warranty period should be the sole determinant of the replacement interval used in the plan

80. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent cataract surgery and developed endophthalmitis (severe intraocular infection) on postoperative day two. The patient presented with eye pain, reduced vision, and purulent discharge. The ophthalmologist documented "mild postoperative inflammation" and did not perform a vitreous tap or initiate intravitreal antibiotics. The patient returned the next day with severe endophthalmitis and ultimately lost all useful vision. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Endophthalmitis is a recognized complication of cataract surgery and the ophthalmologist cannot be held liable for its occurrence

B. Whether the ophthalmologist's assessment of "mild postoperative inflammation" — when the clinical findings (eye pain, reduced vision, purulent discharge) were more consistent with endophthalmitis — represented a diagnostic failure, and whether the delay in vitreous tap and intravitreal antibiotics allowed the infection to progress beyond the point of visual salvage

C. The patient should have returned sooner when the eye symptoms developed rather than waiting for the scheduled postoperative visit

D. The surgical facility's sterilization procedures should be the primary focus of the investigation rather than the diagnostic assessment

81. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who was prescribed methotrexate 10 mg weekly for rheumatoid arthritis. The prescription was written as "methotrexate 10 mg daily" — a well-recognized dangerous prescribing error. The pharmacy dispensed the medication as written. The patient took 10 mg daily for 18 days (180 mg total instead of the intended approximately 26 mg) and developed severe pancytopenia, mucositis, and hepatotoxicity. What multi-provider error analysis should the LNC perform?

A. The physician committed a prescribing error (daily instead of weekly), and the pharmacist failed to recognize that daily methotrexate dosing for rheumatoid arthritis significantly exceeds the standard weekly protocol and should have triggered a verification call — both providers had independent opportunities to prevent the error

B. The patient bears sole responsibility because patients should verify their medication dosing by reading the package insert

C. The pharmacy computer system is solely liable for not automatically flagging the daily methotrexate frequency as an error

D. The physician bears sole responsibility because the prescription was incorrectly written regardless of downstream safety checks

82. An LNC is evaluating a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident with documented severe osteoporosis sustained a hip fracture during a manual transfer by a single CNA. The care plan specified a two-person mechanical lift for all transfers. The CNA stated she performed the manual transfer because the lift was in use and no second aide was available — she was the only aide on the unit during the other aide's break. What does this scenario demonstrate?

A. The osteoporotic fracture was a spontaneous pathological event unrelated to the transfer technique or staffing conditions

B. The facility's staffing plan created a foreseeable situation in which the care plan could not be safely implemented — scheduling only two aides meant that when one was on break, required two-person

transfers became impossible, forcing the remaining aide to choose between an unsafe solo transfer and leaving the resident unattended

C. The CNA should have simply waited until the other aide returned from break before performing any resident transfers alone

D. The physician should have prescribed a wheelchair-only mobility plan to eliminate the need for any bed-to-wheelchair transfers

83. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a right hemicolectomy for colon cancer. The surgeon inadvertently transected the right ureter. The injury was not recognized intraoperatively. The patient developed right flank pain, fever, and rising creatinine on postoperative day four. A CT revealed a right urinoma. The patient required ureteral stent placement and reimplantation surgery. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Ureteral injury during hemicolectomy is a recognized complication and the surgeon cannot be held liable for a known surgical risk

B. The radiologist should have identified the ureteral injury on intraoperative imaging before the procedure was completed

C. The patient should have been informed about the specific risk of ureteral injury before consenting to the hemicolectomy procedure

D. Whether the surgeon took appropriate measures to identify and protect the right ureter during dissection — the standard of care requires awareness of the ureter's position and active protective measures, and the failure to recognize the transection intraoperatively suggests the ureter was not adequately visualized

84. An LNC is evaluating a Medicare Set-Aside case involving a 68-year-old Medicare beneficiary settling a workers' compensation claim for a lumbar spine injury. The claimant requires ongoing pain management medications and periodic interventional procedures. The claimant also takes medications for pre-existing hypertension, diabetes, and GERD. The defense argues all medications should be included in the MSA. What is the correct analysis?

A. All medications should be included because they are all medically necessary for the claimant's overall health management

B. The pre-existing condition medications should be split proportionally between the MSA and personal Medicare responsibility

C. Only medications related to the compensable lumbar spine injury should be included — the hypertension, diabetes, and GERD medications are for pre-existing conditions unrelated to the work injury and remain the claimant's personal responsibility under regular Medicare

D. The defense argument should be accepted to fully protect Medicare's interests by including all medication costs in the MSA

85. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a 50-year-old patient who underwent a screening mammogram interpreted as BI-RADS 1 (negative). The radiologist noted "extremely dense breast tissue" but did not recommend supplemental screening or notify the patient about the dense tissue finding. The patient's state has a breast density notification law. Sixteen months later, the patient was diagnosed with Stage IIIA breast cancer masked by the dense tissue. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Whether the radiologist had an obligation under the state law and the evolving standard of care to inform the patient about the dense tissue and its impact on mammographic sensitivity, and whether supplemental screening should have been recommended — the 16-month delay during which the cancer grew undetected in dense breast tissue may have been preventable with appropriate notification and additional screening

B. The mammographic interpretation as BI-RADS 1 was technically correct and the radiologist met all professional obligations

C. The patient should have independently researched breast density and requested supplemental screening from another provider

D. Dense breast tissue is a normal variant that does not require special notification or additional screening recommendations

86. An LNC is analyzing a workers' compensation case involving a 52-year-old warehouse worker who developed bilateral hearing loss after 22 years of noise exposure at 92–98 dB. The audiogram shows bilateral symmetric sensorineural hearing loss with the characteristic 4000 Hz notch. The employer argues the loss is age-related (presbycusis). What evidence most strongly supports occupational causation?

- A. The worker's subjective report that hearing difficulty began during the period of occupational noise exposure at the warehouse
- B. The employer's failure to provide hearing conservation programs proves the hearing loss is occupational rather than age-related
- C. The bilateral symmetric pattern with the 4000 Hz notch — distinguishing noise-induced hearing loss from presbycusis (gradual sloping loss without a discrete notch) — combined with 22 years of exposure above the OSHA PEL provides strong objective evidence of noise-induced hearing loss
- D. A brain MRI should be performed to rule out acoustic neuroma as an alternative cause before the causation analysis proceeds

87. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent bariatric surgery and developed a vitamin B12 deficiency that went undiagnosed for 2.5 years. The patient developed peripheral neuropathy and subacute combined degeneration of the spinal cord. The surgeon's records show no B12 levels were ever monitored postoperatively. Guidelines require lifelong nutritional monitoring after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. What is the standard of care issue?

- A. The patient should have independently supplemented with B12 after learning about post-bariatric surgery nutritional risks and needs
- B. The failure to monitor B12 during 2.5 years of follow-up — when guidelines require lifelong monitoring — allowed a predictable, preventable deficiency to progress to irreversible neurological damage, constituting a clear and unambiguous standard of care deviation
- C. The primary care physician should have assumed B12 monitoring responsibility from the bariatric surgeon after the initial recovery
- D. The gastroenterologist who referred the patient for surgery should have warned about post-surgical nutritional deficiency risks

88. An LNC is evaluating a toxic tort case involving residents near a former industrial site with groundwater trichloroethylene (TCE) contamination. Several plaintiffs have developed kidney cancer. TCE is classified by IARC as a Group 1 carcinogen with sufficient evidence for kidney cancer. The defense argues two plaintiffs are obese and obesity is a kidney cancer risk factor. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The defense argument should be accepted because obesity is a stronger kidney cancer risk factor than chemical exposure at all levels
- B. The plaintiffs' obesity should be investigated as the sole cause before any evaluation of TCE exposure contribution to the cancer
- C. Both factors should be split equally in the causation analysis without individual evaluation of each plaintiff's exposure history
- D. Both TCE and obesity are established independent kidney cancer risk factors — the presence of one does not eliminate the other's contribution, and the LNC should evaluate each plaintiff individually considering TCE exposure levels, dose-response data, BMI, and whether the factors acted independently or synergistically

89. An LNC is analyzing a personal injury case involving a 37-year-old professional ballet dancer who sustained a Lisfranc fracture-dislocation requiring midfoot fusion. The fusion eliminates the midfoot motion essential for ballet pointe work. The defense argues the plaintiff can teach ballet. What is the most important damages consideration?

- A. The plaintiff's age of 37 indicates she was approaching retirement from professional ballet and damages should be time-limited
- B. The permanent elimination of midfoot motion prevents the biomechanical function essential for pointe work — teaching requires different skills, provides different compensation, and does not substitute for the loss of artistic performance, professional identity, and the creative expression that defined the plaintiff's career
- C. The plaintiff should attempt to perform with modified choreography before claiming permanent inability to dance professionally
- D. The plaintiff should be evaluated for prosthetic midfoot devices that might restore some dance function before claiming disability

90. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who was prescribed warfarin. The INR was monitored monthly. At the sixth-month check, the INR was 6.5 (dangerously elevated). The physician was notified but took no action — did not contact the patient, hold the warfarin, or arrange repeat INR. Four days later, the patient presented with a massive gastrointestinal hemorrhage. What should the LNC identify?

- A. The monthly monitoring frequency was too infrequent and should have been every two weeks for this patient on warfarin
- B. The laboratory should have directly contacted the patient about the critically elevated INR result without physician involvement
- C. The physician's failure to respond to the INR of 6.5 — by contacting the patient, adjusting the dose, and arranging repeat testing — represents a failure to act on a critical lab result that allowed treatable anticoagulation excess to progress to a life-threatening hemorrhage
- D. The patient should have reduced the warfarin dose independently when experiencing any unusual bleeding or bruising symptoms

91. An LNC is evaluating a case involving the professional obligations of an LNC retained by a defense attorney. After thorough record review, the LNC concludes the defendant physician's care deviated from the standard of care in two specific areas. The attorney instructs the LNC to "focus only on the positive aspects of the care" in the written report. What is the LNC's professional obligation?

- A. The LNC must communicate findings honestly — the ethical obligation to objectivity requires reporting all clinically significant findings regardless of which side retained the LNC, and omitting unfavorable findings violates professional ethics and ultimately disservices the attorney's ability to make informed case management decisions
- B. The LNC should comply with the attorney's instruction because the attorney directs the scope and content of the analysis
- C. The LNC should provide two reports — one with all findings for the attorney's private review and one with only positive findings
- D. The LNC should withdraw from the engagement without providing any report to avoid the conflict with the attorney entirely

92. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who developed a postoperative wound dehiscence after cesarean section. The patient had multiple risk factors: BMI 46, A1c 10.0%, and chronic steroid use. The surgeon performed standard closure without retention sutures or subcutaneous drainage. Published guidelines recommend enhanced closure for patients with multiple wound healing risk factors. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. Wound dehiscence after cesarean is a recognized complication that cannot constitute malpractice regardless of the risk factor profile
- B. The patient should have lost weight and optimized diabetes before becoming pregnant to reduce wound complication risks overall
- C. The patient's lupus (requiring the chronic steroids) caused the dehiscence and the surgeon could not have prevented the outcome
- D. Whether the surgeon's standard closure without reinforcement in a patient with three significant wound healing risk factors deviated from published guidelines recommending enhanced closure for high-risk patients — the dehiscence was a foreseeable consequence of standard closure in a patient whose risk profile called for prophylactic measures

93. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a total hip arthroplasty and developed sciatic nerve palsy. The operative report documents "significant retraction required" and "tension on sciatic nerve noted." The surgeon did not modify the approach, reposition the limb, or employ nerve monitoring after noting the tension. The patient woke with immediate foot drop. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. Whether the surgeon's failure to respond to observed nerve tension — by repositioning, reducing retraction, or employing monitoring — deviated from the standard of care for sciatic nerve protection during hip arthroplasty, and whether modifications would have prevented the documented nerve injury
- B. Sciatic nerve palsy is a recognized complication that occurs even with perfect technique and cannot constitute malpractice in any case
- C. The patient should have been warned about the risk of nerve palsy and the signed consent should resolve the liability claim
- D. The anesthesiologist is solely responsible for all intraoperative nerve monitoring and protection during hip replacement surgery

94. An LNC is reviewing a Medicare Set-Aside case involving a 71-year-old Medicare beneficiary settling a workers' compensation claim for a cervical spine injury. The treating pain specialist has documented a pain management plan including medications and cervical medial branch blocks every four months based on a documented three-month pain relief pattern with four-month recurrence. The defense argues blocks should be limited to three per year per a utilization guideline. What is the correct analysis?

- A. The defense argument should be accepted because utilization guidelines represent the clinical standard for injection frequency
- B. The MSA should project a compromise of every five months to split the difference between the two expert positions
- C. The injections should be excluded entirely because they are interventional rather than conservative medical treatments
- D. The MSA should project injection frequency based on the treating physician's documented clinical rationale — the three-month relief with four-month recurrence provides patient-specific justification, and clinical need rather than arbitrary guidelines should determine the frequency

95. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who presented to the emergency department with acute onset of right-sided facial droop, right arm weakness, and expressive aphasia at 9:45 AM. The patient arrived at the hospital at 10:15 AM. Head CT was completed at 10:35 AM (negative for hemorrhage). tPA was ordered but the pharmacy required 70 minutes to retrieve alteplase from the central pharmacy. tPA was administered at 11:55 AM — 2 hours and 10 minutes after symptom onset. What systems failure contributed to the delayed treatment?

- A. The emergency physician should have ordered the tPA sooner to account for potential pharmacy delays in retrieving it
- B. The neurologist should have been consulted before the tPA was ordered to authorize its use for this stroke presentation
- C. The hospital's failure to ensure immediate tPA availability in the emergency department — requiring 70 minutes for pharmacy retrieval — represents a stroke readiness systems failure that delayed time-critical thrombolytic therapy and reduced the patient's probability of a good neurological outcome
- D. The CT technologist should have performed the scan faster to allow more time for pharmacy to locate the tPA medication

96. An LNC is analyzing a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident with a documented history of recurrent falls and a high fall risk score fell from bed at 0300 and sustained a hip fracture. The care plan specified "bed in lowest position, bilateral side rails up, bed alarm activated." Investigation reveals the bed was in the highest position (raised during a 2100 dressing change and never lowered), one side rail was down, and the bed alarm battery was dead. The night shift nurse was caring for 13 patients. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The resident's recurrent fall history made this event inevitable regardless of any preventive measures that could be implemented

B. Three simultaneous failures of care plan-specified safety measures — elevated bed, lowered side rail, dead alarm battery — combined with a 1:13 nurse-to-patient ratio should be analyzed at both the individual implementation level (each failed safety measure) and the institutional level (staffing adequacy to detect and correct such failures)

C. The bed alarm manufacturer should be investigated for producing a device with an inadequate battery life for clinical use

D. The attending physician should have ordered a one-to-one sitter for any resident with a documented history of recurrent falls

97. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a diagnostic colonoscopy. A 3 cm sessile polyp was found in the cecum. The gastroenterologist attempted removal with hot snare polypectomy. A perforation occurred. Current guidelines recommend that complex polyps (≥ 3 cm, sessile, cecal location) be referred to advanced endoscopists or considered for surgical resection. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Whether the gastroenterologist's decision to attempt endoscopic removal of a complex polyp — given its size, sessile morphology, and cecal location — was within the standard of care given the polyp's risk characteristics and the physician's training and experience level in advanced polypectomy techniques

B. The perforation is a recognized complication of polypectomy and the gastroenterologist cannot be held liable for its occurrence

C. The patient should have been informed about the specific perforation risk for this polyp type before consenting to the removal

D. The endoscopic clip closure attempted after the perforation was the standard of care and the failure of the clips was unforeseeable

98. An LNC is evaluating a personal injury case involving a 48-year-old man who sustained a traumatic brain injury in a construction accident. The plaintiff has documented executive function deficits, impaired memory, and behavioral dysregulation (impulsivity, irritability). He was a project manager earning \$135,000. The defense vocational expert opines the plaintiff can perform "simple supervisory tasks." What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The defense vocational expert's opinion should be automatically accepted because vocational experts determine employment capacity

B. Whether the documented cognitive deficits (executive dysfunction, memory impairment) and behavioral changes (impulsivity, irritability) are compatible with any supervisory role — supervision requires planning, multi-tasking, conflict resolution, and consistent interpersonal interactions, functions specifically impaired by the plaintiff's documented TBI deficits

C. The plaintiff should attempt a graded return to work in a supervisory role before claiming permanent total vocational disability

D. The plaintiff's pre-injury income is the sole relevant factor for calculating lost earning capacity regardless of current cognitive status

99. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a newborn who developed kernicterus from untreated neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. The infant was discharged at 28 hours of life with a bilirubin of 14.2 mg/dL in the high-risk zone on the Bhutani nomogram. The discharge instructions specified "follow up with pediatrician in one week." The infant returned six days later with bilirubin of 33.6 mg/dL and acute bilirubin encephalopathy. AAP guidelines require follow-up within 24 hours for high-risk zone infants. What is the primary standard of care failure?

A. The hospital laboratory should have reported the bilirubin result as a critical value requiring immediate physician notification

B. The parents should have recognized the worsening jaundice and sought medical attention sooner than six days after discharge

C. The pediatrician's office should have proactively contacted the family to schedule an earlier follow-up appointment date

D. The bilirubin in the high-risk zone required follow-up within 24 hours per AAP guidelines — the one-week instruction deviated from this standard, creating a six-day unmonitored interval during which bilirubin rose to neurotoxic levels causing permanent brain damage

100. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent an elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy and developed a bile duct injury not recognized intraoperatively. The patient presented four days later with jaundice, pain, and fever. The operative report does not document the critical view of safety. The pathology report notes "common bile duct tissue attached to the cystic duct stump." What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. Bile duct injury is a recognized complication that cannot constitute malpractice regardless of the surgeon's technique
- B. The patient should have elected open cholecystectomy to eliminate the bile duct injury risk entirely for this procedure
- C. The dual evidence — the operative report's silence on the critical view of safety and the pathology report's finding of bile duct tissue in the specimen — establishes both the failure to use the standard safety technique and the resulting anatomical misidentification, providing the most compelling evidence of a standard of care deviation
- D. The radiologist should have warned the surgeon about variant biliary anatomy on preoperative imaging before the procedure

101. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a 62-year-old man who presented to the emergency department with sudden-onset tearing chest pain radiating to the back, a blood pressure of 205/118 mmHg in the right arm and 168/92 mmHg in the left arm (37 mmHg differential), and diaphoresis. The emergency physician obtained an ECG (normal sinus rhythm), troponin (negative), and chest X-ray (showing a widened mediastinum). The physician diagnosed hypertensive emergency and admitted the patient for IV antihypertensive therapy. A CT angiogram was not obtained. The patient developed cardiac tamponade from aortic root dissection extension the next morning and died during emergency surgery. What constellation of findings should have prompted a different diagnostic approach?

- A. The normal ECG effectively excluded any cardiac pathology and supported the hypertensive emergency diagnosis as written
- B. The chest X-ray finding of widened mediastinum is nonspecific and does not warrant additional diagnostic workup in this context
- C. The negative troponin confirmed the absence of myocardial ischemia and the discharge diagnosis was appropriate overall
- D. Sudden tearing chest pain radiating to the back, a 37 mmHg blood pressure differential between arms, widened mediastinum, and severe hypertension collectively form the classic presentation of acute aortic dissection requiring emergent CT angiography — the normal ECG and negative troponin actually argue against ACS and should have redirected the differential toward aortic pathology

102. An LNC is evaluating a long-term care case in which a 85-year-old nursing home resident with documented Parkinson's disease and severe dysphagia was found dead in bed with food material in the airway. The autopsy determined the cause of death as aspiration and asphyxiation. The resident's most recent speech-language pathologist evaluation recommended a pureed diet with honey-thick liquids. The meal tray found at the bedside contained regular-texture food — sliced chicken, a dinner roll, and raw carrot sticks. Investigation reveals the diet order was correctly entered in the clinical record but had not been transmitted to the kitchen's separate meal preparation system. The incorrect diet had been served for nine days. What systems failure does this case illustrate?

- A. The dietary aide who served the tray bears sole responsibility for not checking the resident's diet order before meal service
- B. The non-integrated clinical and dietary information systems created a communication gap where the correct diet order existed in the clinical record but was never transmitted to the kitchen, and the nine-day persistence of the incorrect diet without detection demonstrates the absence of any reconciliation process between the two systems
- C. The speech-language pathologist should have personally supervised all meals for residents with severe dysphagia orders
- D. The attending physician should have simultaneously entered the diet order in both the clinical and dietary systems at the same time

103. An LNC is analyzing a product liability case involving a defective artificial disc implant in the cervical spine. The device migrated anteriorly within eight months of implantation, causing dysphagia, hoarseness, and progressive esophageal compression. Emergency revision surgery was required. The manufacturer's post-market surveillance data shows a migration rate of 5.1% for this device model — significantly higher than the 0.8% rate for comparable devices. The manufacturer had not updated its labeling despite knowing about the elevated rate for 18 months. What product liability theories apply?

- A. The surgeon who selected this device should have chosen a different manufacturer's implant based on available clinical data
- B. The patient should have reported the dysphagia symptoms sooner to allow earlier intervention before emergency surgery was needed
- C. Design defect (the elevated migration rate across the product line suggests an inherent fixation mechanism problem) and failure to warn (the manufacturer's 18-month knowledge of the elevated rate without labeling update deprived surgeons and patients of information material to device selection and monitoring decisions)

D. Manufacturing defect, because only the plaintiff's individual device migrated while all other implanted devices functioned normally

104. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a 38-year-old woman who presented to the emergency department with acute onset of right lower quadrant pain, nausea, and low-grade fever. A urine pregnancy test was negative. The emergency physician diagnosed appendicitis based on clinical examination and an elevated WBC of 14,200. No CT scan or ultrasound was obtained. The patient was taken to surgery for appendectomy. Intraoperatively, the appendix was normal, but the surgeon identified a ruptured ovarian cyst. The normal appendix was removed. What diagnostic issue should the LNC evaluate?

A. Whether the emergency physician's failure to obtain preoperative imaging — particularly in a woman of childbearing age where gynecological pathology is common in the differential for right lower quadrant pain — deviated from the diagnostic standard of care, because CT or ultrasound would have identified the ovarian cyst and avoided the unnecessary appendectomy

B. The surgeon should have performed a diagnostic laparoscopy rather than proceeding directly to open appendectomy without imaging

C. The patient's negative pregnancy test ruled out gynecological pathology and supported the appendicitis diagnosis conclusively

D. The elevated WBC confirmed appendicitis and no imaging was necessary to support the clinical diagnosis for surgery

105. An LNC is evaluating a workers' compensation case involving a 43-year-old registered nurse who developed chronic lateral epicondylitis in her dominant right arm after seven years of performing repetitive patient transfers, IV insertions, and medication preparation using sustained wrist extension and forearm supination. The employer's IME physician concluded the condition is unrelated to work because "lateral epicondylitis is extremely common in the general population at this age." What is the fundamental flaw in the IME physician's reasoning?

A. The IME physician should have ordered electrodiagnostic testing to confirm the epicondylitis diagnosis before opining on causation

B. The population prevalence of a condition does not determine its etiology in an individual — lateral epicondylitis being common does not prove this nurse's condition is unrelated to seven years of daily

repetitive forceful wrist extension, particularly when the published occupational health literature identifies these exact demands as established risk factors for lateral epicondylitis

C. The nurse's recreational activities should be investigated as the primary cause of the epicondylitis before evaluating work factors

D. The bilateral nature of the epicondylitis proves it is constitutional rather than occupationally caused by the nursing work duties

106. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a total thyroidectomy and developed permanent bilateral recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) palsy resulting in bilateral vocal cord paralysis and a permanent tracheostomy. The surgeon did not use intraoperative nerve monitoring (IONM). The operative report does not document identification of the RLN on either side. The pathology report notes "parathyroid tissue identified in surgical specimen." What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Three independent standard of care issues exist: the failure to use or consider IONM (which could have detected first-side nerve injury and prompted modification to prevent bilateral injury), the operative report's silence on RLN identification (suggesting the nerves may not have been visualized during dissection), and the parathyroid tissue in the specimen (proving at least one gland was removed rather than preserved) — each represents a separate deviation

B. IONM is experimental technology not yet part of the standard of care for thyroidectomy procedures currently performed

C. Bilateral RLN palsy is a recognized complication occurring even with perfect surgical technique in all thyroidectomy cases

D. The anesthesiologist is solely responsible for nerve monitoring decisions during all surgical procedures in the operating room

107. An LNC is evaluating a risk management case involving a hospital that has experienced a cluster of central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs) in the surgical ICU. The CLABSI rate is 4.8 per 1,000 central line days — well above the national benchmark of 0.8. The LNC reviews central line bundle compliance and finds insertion bundle compliance at 88% but maintenance bundle compliance at only 48%. Maintenance elements include daily line necessity assessment, dressing changes per protocol, hub disinfection, and cap changes. What risk management conclusion should the LNC draw?

- A. The 88% insertion compliance demonstrates adequate central line safety and the CLABSIs are attributable to patient factors alone
- B. The individual nurses responsible for maintenance should be retrained on dressing technique and hub disinfection procedures
- C. The central line insertion technique should be the primary improvement focus because most CLABSIs originate from insertion contamination
- D. The disparity between insertion compliance (88%) and maintenance compliance (48%) identifies the primary improvement opportunity — maintenance bundle elements are the ongoing daily practices preventing infection throughout the catheter's dwell time, and improving maintenance compliance from 48% toward benchmark levels would most likely reduce the elevated CLABSI rate

108. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who was prescribed methotrexate 15 mg weekly for rheumatoid arthritis. The pharmacy label printed "take 15 mg by mouth daily" — omitting the critical "weekly" frequency. The patient took the medication daily for two weeks, developing pancytopenia, mucositis, and hepatotoxicity. The pharmacist did not counsel the patient about the weekly dosing schedule. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The patient should have independently researched the medication's proper dosing before taking it as the pharmacy label directed
- B. The prescribing physician should have written "once weekly only — NOT daily" on the prescription to prevent any dosing confusion
- C. Multiple failures contributed: the pharmacy mislabeled the prescription (omitting "weekly") and the pharmacist did not counsel the patient about weekly dosing — methotrexate daily dosing errors are among the most well-known dangerous medication errors, and both the labeling error and the absent counseling are independent pharmacy failures
- D. The pharmacy's computer system should have automatically flagged the daily dosing frequency as potentially dangerous

109. An LNC is reviewing a Medicare Set-Aside case involving a 69-year-old Medicare beneficiary settling a workers' compensation claim for bilateral shoulder injuries. The claimant has undergone bilateral total shoulder replacements. The treating orthopedist documents that each prosthesis will likely require revision in 12–15 years. The claimant's rated life expectancy is 9 years. The defense argues the revisions should be included in the MSA. What is the correct analysis?

- A. The revisions should be included at full cost because the orthopedist documented a clinical basis for their eventual necessity
- B. The revisions should be projected at exactly 9 years to match the end of the claimant's rated life expectancy for calculation
- C. The revision costs should be split proportionally between the MSA and the claimant's personal Medicare responsibility
- D. The revisions should be excluded because the projected timeline (12–15 years) exceeds the claimant's rated life expectancy (9 years) — the MSA includes only expenses reasonably expected to occur within the projected remaining lifetime

110. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a 55-year-old patient who underwent a screening colonoscopy. The gastroenterologist removed a 2.5 cm sessile polyp using piecemeal technique. The pathology report showed "tubular adenoma with high-grade dysplasia — margins cannot be assessed due to piecemeal removal." The gastroenterologist recommended a three-year follow-up colonoscopy. Current surveillance guidelines require follow-up at 3–6 months when a polyp with high-grade dysplasia is removed piecemeal. What should the LNC identify?

- A. The pathologist should have insisted on an intact specimen to assess margins rather than accepting piecemeal tissue fragments
- B. The patient should have independently researched surveillance guidelines and requested an earlier follow-up examination date
- C. The three-year follow-up recommendation deviated from guidelines requiring 3–6 month follow-up when a polyp with high-grade dysplasia is removed piecemeal — the inability to assess margins means residual tissue may remain at the site, and the short-interval colonoscopy is designed to detect residual adenoma before it progresses to invasive cancer
- D. The gastroenterologist should have immediately referred the patient for surgical resection after the piecemeal removal

111. An LNC is analyzing a case involving the professional obligations of an LNC retained as a consulting expert. The attorney provides medical records and asks the LNC for a case screening opinion. After thorough review, the LNC concludes the care met the standard of care and there is no deviation. The attorney asks the LNC to "look again more carefully" and "find something the doctors did wrong." What is the LNC's professional obligation?

A. The LNC must maintain the original opinion — the ethical obligation to objectivity requires honest assessment regardless of the retaining party's preference, and the analysis cannot be changed to accommodate the attorney's desired outcome

B. The LNC should re-review the records to satisfy the attorney because a second review may reveal previously missed issues

C. The LNC should modify the analysis to identify a potential deviation that would maintain the professional relationship going forward

D. The LNC should withdraw entirely without providing any opinion to the attorney to avoid potential professional conflict

112. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent coronary artery bypass grafting and developed a postoperative stroke. The nursing documentation shows no neurological assessment was performed during the first 14 hours after surgery. The first documented assessment at hour 14 was normal. The next assessment at hour 16 documented left-sided weakness. The standard of care for post-CABG patients requires neurological assessments every 1–4 hours during the initial postoperative period. What should the LNC identify?

A. The 14-hour gap is acceptable because the patient was hemodynamically stable during the entire postoperative period

B. The 14-hour gap in neurological assessments after cardiac surgery — when the standard requires monitoring every 1–4 hours because stroke is a recognized complication — represents a monitoring failure that may have delayed stroke diagnosis and treatment, potentially worsening the neurological outcome

C. The neurosurgeon should have been consulted upon the patient's arrival in the ICU to establish a monitoring protocol

D. The stroke was caused by intraoperative events and no postoperative monitoring could have changed the ultimate outcome

113. An LNC is evaluating a personal injury case involving a 58-year-old man who sustained a traumatic brain injury when a scaffolding platform collapsed at a construction site. The plaintiff has documented executive function deficits, impaired short-term memory, and behavioral changes (impulsivity, poor social judgment). He was a self-employed general contractor earning \$160,000 annually. The defense argues the plaintiff can manage his business remotely using technology. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. Whether the documented cognitive deficits — executive dysfunction, memory impairment, impulsivity, and poor social judgment — would actually permit remote business management, which still requires planning, financial decision-making, client negotiation, subcontractor coordination, and reliable judgment that the plaintiff's documented impairments may preclude regardless of the technology platform used
- B. The defense argument should be accepted because modern technology can compensate for most cognitive limitations
- C. The plaintiff should attempt a graded return to remote business management before claiming total vocational disability
- D. The plaintiff's self-employment income is inherently variable and should be discounted when projecting lost earning capacity

114. An LNC is analyzing a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident with documented recurrent falls and a high fall risk score fell from the bed at 0200 and sustained a subdural hematoma. The care plan specified "bed in lowest position, bilateral side rails, bed alarm activated." Investigation reveals: the bed was in the highest position (raised during a 2000 dressing change and never lowered), one side rail was down, and the bed alarm battery was dead. The night shift nurse was responsible for 14 patients. What multi-level analysis should the LNC perform?

- A. The resident's recurrent fall history made this particular event inevitable regardless of preventive measures implemented
- B. The attending physician should have ordered a one-to-one sitter for this resident given the documented recurrent fall history
- C. Three simultaneous failures of care plan-specified safety measures — elevated bed, lowered side rail, dead alarm — combined with a 1:14 nurse-to-patient ratio should be analyzed at both the individual implementation level (each failed safety measure) and the institutional level (whether staffing was adequate to detect and correct three simultaneous failures)
- D. The bed alarm manufacturer should be investigated for producing a device with inadequate battery life for clinical use

115. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who developed a catheter-related bloodstream infection from a PICC line placed for home IV antibiotic therapy. The home health nurse performed weekly dressing changes but did not document insertion site assessment, catheter

length measurement, or line flushing per protocol. The patient developed MRSA bacteremia requiring hospitalization. What nursing standard of care violations should the LNC identify?

A. The physician who ordered home IV therapy should have ordered oral antibiotics instead to avoid all central line complications

B. The home health nurse's failure to document site assessment, catheter length measurement, and line flushing per protocol constitutes multiple deviations from PICC maintenance standards — each omission is a separate monitoring failure, and the cumulative absence of monitoring allowed the developing infection to progress undetected until it became a systemic bloodstream infection

C. The patient should have independently inspected the PICC site daily and reported any redness or drainage to the nurse

D. The home health agency bears sole institutional liability and the individual nurse has no personal professional responsibility

116. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent an elective inguinal hernia repair. The patient had a documented history of obstructive sleep apnea treated with home CPAP. Postoperatively, the surgeon prescribed opioid PCA but did not order CPAP continuation, continuous pulse oximetry, or opioid-sparing strategies. On the first postoperative night, the patient was found unresponsive with oxygen saturation of 50%. The patient sustained severe hypoxic brain injury. Current guidelines identify OSA patients on opioids as high-risk requiring CPAP continuation, enhanced monitoring, and opioid-sparing approaches. What represents the most comprehensive standard of care violation?

A. The anesthesiologist should have refused to provide anesthesia for any patient with documented obstructive sleep apnea

B. The nursing staff should have independently initiated CPAP therapy without a physician order based on the admission history

C. The patient should have brought the home CPAP device and administered it independently during the postoperative stay

D. Three standard of care failures converged: no CPAP continuation for a documented OSA patient, no continuous pulse oximetry during opioid PCA, and no opioid-sparing strategies — guidelines specifically identify OSA patients on opioids as high-risk requiring all three safeguards, and the absence of all three created a foreseeable respiratory catastrophe

117. An LNC is analyzing a workers' compensation case involving a 50-year-old highway maintenance worker who developed chronic low back pain after 20 years of operating vibrating heavy equipment (jackhammers, plate compactors, vibratory rollers). The worker's pre-employment physical at age 30 documented no back complaints. Current MRI shows multilevel degenerative disc disease with herniations at L4-L5 and L5-S1. The employer's IME physician concluded the findings are "age-related degeneration." What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The IME physician's conclusion should be accepted because degenerative disc disease is common at age 50 in the general population

B. The worker's recreational activities should be investigated as the primary cause of the lumbar disc disease before work is evaluated

C. Whether the 20-year exposure to whole-body vibration from heavy equipment is consistent with the occupational risk factors for lumbar disc disease identified in the published WBV literature — whole-body vibration is a well-established risk factor for lumbar disc degeneration, and the normal pre-employment physical at age 30 combined with multilevel disease during the exposure period supports occupational contribution

D. The worker should undergo a functional capacity evaluation before any causation determination can be made by the LNC

118. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a laparoscopic appendectomy. Postoperatively, the patient developed persistent abdominal pain, nausea, and low-grade fever for two weeks. The surgeon repeatedly attributed the symptoms to "normal postoperative discomfort." An elevated WBC of 17,800 was obtained at the third postoperative visit but the surgeon did not order imaging. A CT scan finally obtained at week three revealed a large intraabdominal abscess. The abscess required percutaneous drainage and six weeks of IV antibiotics. What should the LNC identify?

A. The surgeon should have performed an open appendectomy rather than a laparoscopic approach to prevent abscess formation

B. The surgeon's repeated dismissal of persistent symptoms over two weeks — without diagnostic workup — represents a failure to evaluate for post-surgical complications, and the delay in obtaining imaging and lab studies allowed the abscess to grow to a size requiring invasive drainage and prolonged antibiotic therapy

C. The patient should have sought a second opinion after the first postoperative visit when symptoms were not improving at all

D. The radiologist who eventually read the CT should have recommended earlier imaging based on the patient's symptoms

119. An LNC is evaluating a toxic tort case involving workers at a semiconductor manufacturing facility who were exposed to glycol ethers during chip fabrication over periods of 3–8 years. Several female workers experienced first-trimester spontaneous abortions. Epidemiological studies show elevated relative risks (1.5–3.0) for spontaneous abortion among semiconductor workers exposed to glycol ethers. The defense argues that spontaneous abortion occurs in 15–20% of recognized pregnancies and the plaintiffs' losses may be coincidental. What is the most appropriate causation analysis?

A. The general population spontaneous abortion rate is so high that occupational causation can never be established for any individual

B. The defense argument should be rejected because the epidemiological evidence conclusively proves each worker's miscarriage was caused by the glycol ether exposure at the workplace

C. The workers should file medical malpractice claims against their obstetricians for failing to advise about workplace chemical hazards

D. The consistently elevated relative risks support general causation, but specific causation for each plaintiff requires individual analysis of glycol ether exposure levels, timing relative to conception and pregnancy loss, exposure duration, and exclusion of individual risk factors — the high background rate makes individual causation analysis essential

120. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a 70-year-old patient who underwent a routine upper endoscopy under moderate sedation. The patient's respiratory rate decreased to 4 breaths per minute during the procedure. The facility uses only pulse oximetry for sedation monitoring — no capnography is available. The oxygen saturation did not alarm for approximately three minutes after the onset of hypoventilation due to the oxygen reservoir effect from supplemental oxygen. By the time the desaturation was detected, the patient had been significantly hypoventilating for three minutes. Emergency airway management was required and the patient sustained cognitive deficits. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Whether the facility's sedation monitoring protocol — using only pulse oximetry without capnography — met the current standard of care, given that guidelines recommend capnography for moderate to deep sedation because it detects hypoventilation significantly earlier than pulse oximetry, which has a well-documented delayed detection due to the oxygen reservoir effect

- B. Pulse oximetry alone is the minimum acceptable standard and fully meets the requirements for moderate sedation monitoring
- C. The endoscopist should have been independently monitoring respiratory rate throughout the entire endoscopy procedure
- D. The patient should have been intubated before the endoscopy to prevent any risk of respiratory compromise during sedation

121. An LNC is analyzing a healthcare fraud case involving a chain of walk-in clinics. An audit reveals that every patient visit — regardless of the presenting complaint — receives the same battery of tests: comprehensive metabolic panel, complete blood count, urinalysis, and rapid strep test. Medical records contain no individualized clinical rationale — all records contain a template statement "screening panel per clinic protocol." Patients with ankle sprains, insect bites, and prescription refills all received the identical panel. What should the LNC conclude?

- A. The comprehensive panel demonstrates thorough, high-quality medical care exceeding the minimum standard for every patient
- B. The rapid strep test is the only questionable component because it should be performed only for pharyngitis presentations
- C. The clinic protocol authorizing the panel provides legal justification for the testing regardless of individual clinical indication
- D. The uniform ordering of the same panel for all patients without individualized clinical rationale is consistent with ordering medically unnecessary services driven by revenue rather than clinical need, implicating the False Claims Act

122. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who developed a postoperative wound infection after a spinal fusion. The patient's preoperative hemoglobin A1c was 10.3%. Published spine surgery guidelines recommend glycemic optimization (A1c below 8%) before elective spinal procedures. The surgeon did not delay the procedure for optimization. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. Whether the surgeon's decision to proceed with elective spinal surgery at A1c 10.3% — when guidelines recommend below 8% — deviated from the preoperative standard of care, and whether

glycemic optimization would have reduced the foreseeable SSI risk in this patient with documented poorly controlled diabetes

B. The patient bears sole responsibility for the infection because the patient failed to control the diabetes independently before surgery

C. The endocrinologist managing the diabetes should be the sole defendant for failing to achieve adequate A1c control before surgery

D. The SSI was caused by intraoperative contamination unrelated to the patient's glucose control status before the procedure

123. An LNC is evaluating a personal injury case involving a 40-year-old professional drummer who sustained bilateral wrist fractures when he fell through a defective floor grating at a warehouse. Despite surgical fixation, the plaintiff has residual bilateral wrist stiffness, reduced grip strength, and chronic pain. The bilateral impairments prevent professional drumming. The defense argues the plaintiff should transition to music production. What is the most important damages consideration?

A. The plaintiff should undergo a functional capacity evaluation to objectively verify the claimed bilateral wrist impairments

B. The permanent bilateral wrist impairments eliminate the fine motor function, grip strength, and repetitive stamina required for professional drumming — music production requires fundamentally different skills, provides different compensation, and does not substitute for the loss of artistic live performance, professional identity, and the creative expression that defined the plaintiff's 20-year career

C. The plaintiff's drumming income should be discounted because musician earnings are inherently variable and unpredictable

D. The plaintiff should attempt to play drums with adaptive equipment before claiming permanent inability to perform professionally

124. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who was prescribed lithium for bipolar disorder. The psychiatrist monitored serum lithium levels regularly but did not monitor renal function during 2.5 years of therapy. When renal function was finally checked by the patient's PCP, the eGFR had declined from baseline 88 to 22 mL/min (stage 4 CKD). Published guidelines require renal monitoring at least every six months during lithium therapy. What should the LNC identify?

- A. The primary care physician should have independently monitored renal function during the lithium therapy treatment period
- B. The patient should have requested renal function testing based on independent awareness of lithium's nephrotoxic potential
- C. The psychiatrist monitored lithium levels but failed to monitor renal function — a separate, independent obligation — when lithium's nephrotoxicity specifically requires periodic creatinine and eGFR assessment to detect impairment before it progresses to advanced kidney disease
- D. The pharmacist should have refused to refill the lithium prescription without documented recent renal function testing results

125. An LNC is reviewing a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident who was prescribed warfarin for atrial fibrillation developed a spontaneous subdural hematoma. The INR monitoring records show weekly monitoring for the first two weeks, then no INR was checked for seven weeks. During this unmonitored period, the INR rose to 6.1. The facility's pharmacy consultant identified the monitoring gap and submitted a written recommendation four weeks before the hemorrhagic event. The recommendation was filed in the chart but never communicated to the attending physician. What systems failure does this illustrate?

- A. The pharmacy consultant fulfilled all professional obligations by documenting the recommendation in the resident's chart
- B. The pharmacy consultant identified the monitoring gap and recommended action, but the recommendation was filed without any system ensuring physician review — the absence of a process to communicate urgent pharmacy recommendations to the responsible physician represents a critical gap between identification and action
- C. The attending physician should have independently reviewed the chart and discovered the pharmacy recommendation
- D. The nursing staff should have independently adjusted the warfarin dose without waiting for physician notification

126. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a cesarean section. Prophylactic cefazolin was ordered within 60 minutes before incision. The anesthesia record documents the antibiotic was administered 22 minutes after the skin incision because the automated dispensing cabinet had not been restocked. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The 22-minute post-incision timing is clinically equivalent to pre-incision administration and does not increase infection risk
- B. The surgeon should have verified antibiotic availability before making the incision and delayed if necessary until delivery
- C. The pharmacy bears sole responsibility for the restocking failure and should be the primary defendant in this case
- D. The dispensing cabinet restocking failure represents a systems-level breakdown — the hospital's processes should ensure prophylactic antibiotics are available for every surgical case, and the restocking failure created a gap in infection prevention that resulted in the antibiotic being absent during initial surgical exposure

127. An LNC is analyzing a personal injury case involving a 62-year-old retired professor who was struck by a vehicle while crossing the street. The plaintiff sustained a TBI with bilateral frontal contusions. He has documented executive function deficits, impaired memory, and reduced processing speed. The plaintiff was retired but actively volunteered as a literacy tutor, was writing a book, and served on two nonprofit boards. The defense argues no economic damages exist because the plaintiff was retired. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The defense argument should be accepted because retired individuals cannot claim any damages beyond medical expense costs
- B. Only the book project income has economic value and all other activities are non-compensable volunteer work for the plaintiff
- C. The plaintiff's productive activities represent functional capacities impaired by the TBI — the damages should include the non-economic impact of losing these activities (purpose, social engagement, intellectual stimulation) and any economic components (book income, board stipends), plus the cost of assistance for tasks previously performed independently
- D. The plaintiff should attempt to resume all pre-injury activities before claiming any permanent functional limitations from the TBI

128. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a total hip replacement using the posterior surgical approach. On postoperative day one, the hip dislocated during physical therapy when the therapist flexed the hip beyond 90 degrees with internal rotation. The posterior approach has specific precautions that must be communicated to the rehabilitation team. The

therapist stated she was "not told about any hip precautions." What communication failure should the LNC identify?

- A. The surgeon's failure to communicate posterior approach-specific precautions to the physical therapy team — or the absence of a system ensuring this communication — created a gap between the surgical plan and the rehabilitation plan, directly causing the foreseeable and preventable dislocation
- B. The physical therapist should have independently known all hip precautions for every surgical approach without any communication
- C. The nursing staff should have supervised all physical therapy sessions to monitor for hip precaution compliance constantly
- D. The patient should have stopped the therapist when the hip was flexed beyond a comfortable range during the exercise session

129. An LNC is evaluating a risk management case involving a hospital where a patient with a documented severe penicillin allergy (anaphylaxis) received amoxicillin. The allergy was documented in the EHR and on the allergy wristband. The CPOE system generated an alert that the physician overrode. The pharmacist did not verify the override. The nurse did not check allergies before administration. The patient developed anaphylaxis. How many independent safety barriers failed?

- A. Only the physician's override was the proximate cause and no other barriers need analysis in this allergy medication error
- B. Only two barriers failed — the physician's override and the nurse's failure to check the allergy list before administration
- C. At least three barriers failed: the physician overrode the alert without valid justification, the pharmacist did not verify the override, and the nurse did not check allergies before administration — each was designed to independently prevent this event
- D. No barriers failed because the physician documented awareness when overriding the electronic allergy alert system

130. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent bariatric surgery (Roux-en-Y gastric bypass) and developed an iron deficiency anemia that went undiagnosed for two years. The patient presented with severe fatigue, exercise intolerance, and pica. Lab testing

confirmed hemoglobin of 7.0 g/dL. The surgeon's follow-up records show no iron studies were ordered during two years of postoperative care. Guidelines require lifelong nutritional monitoring after Roux-en-Y, including iron levels. What should the LNC identify?

- A. The failure to monitor iron levels during two years of post-bariatric follow-up — when guidelines require lifelong nutritional monitoring — allowed a predictable deficiency to progress to severe symptomatic anemia requiring emergency transfusion, constituting a clear standard of care deviation
- B. The patient should have independently supplemented with iron after learning about post-bariatric nutritional risks
- C. The primary care physician should have assumed responsibility for iron monitoring from the bariatric surgeon
- D. The gastroenterologist who referred the patient for surgery should have warned about nutritional deficiency risks

131. An LNC is analyzing a toxic tort case involving former workers at an asbestos insulation manufacturing facility who were exposed to chrysotile and amosite asbestos fibers during insulation production for periods of 15–25 years. Several workers have been diagnosed with malignant mesothelioma. The defense argues that chrysotile asbestos is less potent than amphibole forms and is insufficient to cause mesothelioma at the exposure levels documented. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The defense argument should be accepted because chrysotile is the least potent asbestos form for mesothelioma causation
- B. All asbestos forms should be considered equally carcinogenic without any differentiation between fiber types at all
- C. The workers should undergo lung biopsy with fiber type analysis before any causation determination can be completed
- D. The IARC Group 1 classification applies to all asbestos forms including chrysotile — the scientific consensus recognizes chrysotile can cause mesothelioma particularly at sustained high-level exposures documented in manufacturing, and this facility used both chrysotile and amosite, making the relative potency argument less relevant when workers were exposed to both fiber types

132. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who developed a deep surgical site infection after a total knee replacement. The patient had a positive preoperative MRSA nasal screen. The hospital's protocol requires five-day decolonization before elective joint replacement. The patient underwent surgery without completing the protocol — the preoperative form notes "decolonization not initiated." What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. MRSA nasal colonization is a normal finding that does not require preoperative intervention before joint replacement surgery
- B. The hospital identified the patient as MRSA-colonized through its screening program but failed to initiate its own decolonization protocol — this gap between risk identification and risk mitigation defeated the purpose of the screening program and allowed a preventable infection
- C. The patient should have independently completed the decolonization regimen without relying on the hospital to initiate it
- D. The orthopedic surgeon bears sole personal responsibility for verifying all preoperative protocols were completed before surgery

133. An LNC is evaluating a workers' compensation case involving a 46-year-old emergency department nurse who developed post-traumatic stress disorder after being violently assaulted by a patient during a psychiatric emergency. The nurse was punched repeatedly, thrown against a wall, and briefly lost consciousness. The employer accepted the physical injuries (facial fractures, concussion) but denied the PTSD claim, arguing it is a pre-existing condition. The nurse has no prior psychiatric history. What evidence most strongly supports PTSD causation?

- A. The nurse's subjective report that PTSD symptoms began immediately after the violent assault is the strongest evidence
- B. The multiple medication trials prescribed for the PTSD demonstrate the severity but do not establish the work-related cause
- C. The employer's acceptance of the physical injuries automatically establishes compensability for all psychological conditions
- D. The absence of pre-injury psychiatric history, onset of symptoms temporally related to the assault, psychiatric evaluation documenting DSM-5 criteria with the assault as the traumatic event, and the severity of the event (violent assault with LOC) collectively establish the causal relationship

134. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a diagnostic cardiac catheterization via the right femoral artery. Post-procedure, the patient developed progressive groin and back pain, tachycardia (72 to 130 over three hours), and hemoglobin decline from 13.5 to 8.6 g/dL. The nurse documented these changes but attributed them to "post-procedure discomfort" and "anxiety." The physician was not notified for three hours. A CT then confirmed a retroperitoneal hematoma. What nursing assessment failure should the LNC identify?

A. The nurse should have applied additional manual pressure to the femoral access site to prevent ongoing hemorrhage

B. Progressive tachycardia, declining hemoglobin, and increasing pain after femoral catheterization constitute active hemorrhage — attributing these trending changes to "discomfort" and "anxiety" without recognizing them as warning signs and notifying the physician for three hours represents a critical assessment and communication failure

C. The cardiologist should have remained at the bedside until all post-procedure vital signs were completely stable

D. The femoral closure device manufacturer should be investigated for a device causing inadequate post-procedure hemostasis

135. An LNC is reviewing a life care plan for a 33-year-old man who sustained a complete C4 spinal cord injury requiring mechanical ventilation via tracheostomy. The defense argues attendant care costs can be reduced by using family members as primary caregivers. What is the most appropriate response?

A. The life care plan must project full professional attendant care costs regardless of family caregiving — the plan reflects actual care needs independent of the family's current willingness and ability, because family members may become unable to continue due to aging, health changes, or burnout, and ventilator-dependent patients require trained respiratory caregivers rather than untrained family members

B. The family should be evaluated for willingness and ability before the plan is finalized with professional attendant care costs

C. The defense argument should be accepted because family caregiving is a recognized and accepted alternative to professional care

D. The life care plan should project family caregiving for the first five years and then transition to professional care thereafter

136. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who developed postpartum hemorrhage after vaginal delivery. The nursing documentation shows fundal assessment at 15 minutes post-delivery (firm) and no reassessment for three hours. During the unmonitored interval, uterine atony developed. By the time the boggy fundus was identified, the patient had lost 2,100 mL of blood and required emergency transfusion, uterine balloon tamponade, and ICU admission. The standard of care requires fundal assessment every 15 minutes for the first hour, then every 30 minutes for the second hour. What should the LNC identify?

- A. The physician should have remained at the bedside for continuous postpartum monitoring during the first two hours after delivery
- B. The patient should have alerted nursing staff when she noticed increasing vaginal bleeding between the nursing assessments
- C. The three-hour gap between postpartum assessments — when the standard requires every 15–30 minutes — is a critical monitoring failure that allowed uterine atony to progress undetected to a life-threatening hemorrhage requiring multiple emergency interventions
- D. The initial firm fundal assessment at 15 minutes confirmed adequate tone and no further monitoring was clinically needed

137. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a right hemicolectomy for colon cancer. The surgeon inadvertently transected the right ureter. The injury was not recognized intraoperatively. The patient developed right flank pain, fever, and rising creatinine on postoperative day four. A CT revealed a urinoma. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. Whether the surgeon took appropriate measures to identify and protect the right ureter during the dissection — the standard of care requires awareness of the ureter's anatomical position and active protective measures, and the failure to recognize the transection intraoperatively suggests the ureter was not adequately visualized
- B. Ureteral injury during hemicolectomy is a recognized complication and the surgeon cannot be held liable for a known surgical risk
- C. The radiologist should have identified the injury on intraoperative imaging before the procedure was completed by the surgeon
- D. The pathologist should have identified ureteral tissue in the surgical specimen and alerted the surgeon to the injury immediately

138. An LNC is reviewing a regulatory compliance case involving a hospital pharmacy that compounds sterile medications. A state board inspection found: the cleanroom air quality did not meet USP 797 particulate standards, pharmacy technicians lacked current sterile compounding competency assessments, beyond-use dating exceeded USP 797 limits, and quality assurance testing was not performed. Six patients developed bloodstream infections traced to contaminated IV medications from this pharmacy. What should the LNC conclude?

- A. USP 797 standards are advisory guidelines that do not create enforceable regulatory obligations for hospital pharmacies
- B. The infections were likely caused by the patients' underlying conditions rather than the pharmacy's compounding environment
- C. The multiple USP 797 deficiencies represent systemic noncompliance with sterile compounding standards, and the temporal association between the deficient practices and the patient infections supports a causal connection between the compounding failures and the contaminated medications
- D. The pharmacy director bears sole individual responsibility and the hospital institution cannot be held liable for the practices

139. An LNC is evaluating a personal injury case involving a 55-year-old man who sustained a cervical spinal cord injury (incomplete C5) when a ceiling-mounted television fell on him at a hotel. The plaintiff developed central cord syndrome with bilateral hand weakness preventing writing, typing, and document manipulation. He was a practicing attorney. The defense argues voice-recognition technology allows continued law practice. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The hotel's liability insurance limits should determine the maximum recoverable damages regardless of the injury severity
- B. While voice-recognition technology may partially compensate for some tasks, the LNC should evaluate whether it can replicate the full scope of manual functions required for law practice (document annotation, court filings, client paperwork), and whether learning new workflows is compatible with any concurrent TBI-related cognitive deficits — technology provides partial mitigation but may not constitute a complete vocational solution
- C. The plaintiff should be retrained for a completely different profession rather than attempting to continue law practice with technology
- D. The television mounting bracket manufacturer should be the sole defendant because the bracket failure directly caused the injury

140. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a gastric sleeve procedure and developed a staple line leak on postoperative day three. The patient presented with tachycardia (heart rate 132), left shoulder pain (Kehr's sign), and fever. An upper GI series was negative. Despite the negative study, symptoms persisted and worsened over 36 hours — increasing tachycardia, rising WBC, tachypnea. The surgeon attributed signs to "post-surgical stress." A CT obtained 36 hours later confirmed a contained leak. What clinical principle applies?

- A. The negative upper GI series conclusively excluded a leak and the surgeon's initial reliance on it was appropriate throughout
- B. The surgeon should have immediately performed exploratory surgery rather than ordering any imaging studies at all for evaluation
- C. The patient's obesity made the CT scan unreliable and an MRI should have been used for leak detection instead
- D. A negative contrast study does not definitively exclude a staple line leak — sensitivity is imperfect for bariatric leaks, and persistent concerning signs require CT even when the initial contrast study is negative, because attributing worsening clinical signs to "stress" without further investigation ignores the possibility of a missed contained leak

141. An LNC is reviewing a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident who was prescribed a pureed diet with nectar-thick liquids was served medications with thin water by a medication nurse. The nurse stated she was "unaware of the thickened liquid requirement." The medication administration record contained no thickened liquid alert. No system existed to notify medication staff about dietary texture modifications. The resident aspirated and developed aspiration pneumonia. What systems failure does this illustrate?

- A. The medication nurse bears sole responsibility because nurses must review all patient orders before medication administration
- B. The facility lacked a system to communicate dietary texture modifications to medication staff — the absence of a thickened liquid alert in the MAR and the absence of any mechanism to notify medication nurses about fluid consistency requirements created a predictable gap between the dietary order and medication administration practice
- C. The attending physician should have ordered all medications in a crushable or liquid form to avoid the need for water entirely

D. The resident should have refused the thin water and informed the nurse about the thickened liquid dietary restriction

142. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a total knee replacement and developed acute compartment syndrome. Progressive calf pain, paresthesias, and loss of dorsiflexion began four hours postoperatively. The orthopedic resident ordered elevation, ice, and morphine. The attending was not notified for nine hours. Emergency fasciotomy was performed but the patient sustained permanent foot drop. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The resident's initial management with elevation, ice, and morphine was appropriate for expected postoperative swelling

B. Compartment syndrome after TKR is extremely rare and the resident cannot reasonably be expected to consider the diagnosis

C. The patient should have demanded evaluation by the attending surgeon rather than accepting the resident's management plan

D. Pain out of proportion, progressive paresthesias, and loss of dorsiflexion are the hallmark progression of compartment syndrome — the resident's failure to consider this diagnosis and the nine-hour delay in notifying the attending represent both a diagnostic failure and a communication failure that allowed a surgical emergency to progress to permanent damage

143. An LNC is analyzing a product liability case involving a defective vascular access port (Port-a-Cath) whose catheter fractured and embolized to the right ventricle. The manufacturer's post-market data shows a fracture rate of 5.5% at two years — significantly higher than the industry benchmark of less than 0.5%. The manufacturer knew about the elevated rate for 16 months without issuing a safety communication. What is the most significant liability issue?

A. The surgeon who implanted the port should have selected a different manufacturer's device based on available clinical data

B. The patient should have reported symptoms of catheter malfunction sooner to allow earlier intervention before embolization

C. The manufacturer's knowledge of the elevated fracture rate (5.5% vs. <0.5%) for 16 months without issuing a safety communication constitutes a failure to warn — depriving oncologists and patients of information needed for device selection and monitoring decisions

D. The interventional radiologist who retrieved the fragment should have used a different technique to minimize procedure risks

144. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who was prescribed warfarin. The INR was monitored monthly. At the eighth-month visit, the INR was 6.9 (dangerously elevated). The physician was notified but did not contact the patient, adjust the warfarin dose, or arrange repeat testing. Five days later, the patient developed a massive intracranial hemorrhage and died. What should the LNC identify?

A. The physician's failure to respond to the critically elevated INR of 6.9 — by contacting the patient, holding or adjusting warfarin, and arranging repeat testing — represents a failure to act on a critical lab result that allowed treatable anticoagulation excess to progress to a fatal hemorrhagic event

B. The laboratory should have called the physician directly with the critical INR value rather than reporting through routine channels

C. The patient should have independently reduced the warfarin dose when experiencing any unusual symptoms during the interval

D. The monthly INR monitoring frequency was too infrequent and should have been every two weeks for this patient on warfarin

145. An LNC is evaluating a healthcare fraud case involving a physician-owned ambulatory surgery center that performs spinal epidural steroid injections. An audit reveals every patient receives bilateral injections at three levels at every visit regardless of clinical documentation. Independent review of 90 charts found single-level pathology in 80% of patients receiving multi-level bilateral injections. The physicians own the facility and receive both professional and facility fees. What regulatory concerns arise?

A. The multi-level bilateral approach represents conservative treatment that does not indicate overutilization of any kind

B. The physicians' ownership of the facility is permissible and has no bearing on treatment appropriateness decisions

C. Performing multi-level bilateral injections when 80% of patients have single-level pathology — combined with the physicians' financial interest — raises concerns about medically unnecessary procedures driven by revenue, implicating the False Claims Act and the Stark Law

D. The independent medical review methodology was flawed and the 80% non-justification rate is inaccurate and unreliable

146. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent cataract surgery and developed endophthalmitis on postoperative day two. The patient presented with eye pain, reduced vision, and purulent discharge. The ophthalmologist documented "mild inflammation" and did not perform a vitreous tap or initiate intravitreal antibiotics. The patient returned the next day with severe endophthalmitis and lost all useful vision. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Whether the ophthalmologist's assessment of "mild inflammation" — when the findings (pain, reduced vision, purulent discharge) were more consistent with endophthalmitis — represented a diagnostic failure, and whether the delay in vitreous tap and intravitreal antibiotics allowed the infection to progress beyond visual salvage

B. Endophthalmitis is a recognized complication of cataract surgery and the ophthalmologist cannot be held liable for its occurrence

C. The patient should have returned sooner when the eye symptoms first developed rather than waiting for the scheduled visit

D. The surgical facility's sterilization procedures should be the primary investigative focus rather than the post-procedure assessment

147. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a diagnostic colonoscopy. A large sessile polyp was removed. The pathology report showed "invasive adenocarcinoma with lymphovascular invasion — margins positive." The gastroenterologist told the patient results were "benign" and recommended three-year follow-up. Twenty months later, Stage III colon cancer was diagnosed at the polypectomy site. What is the critical standard of care failure?

A. The pathologist should have personally called the gastroenterologist to communicate the malignant pathology findings

B. The patient should have independently obtained a copy of the pathology report rather than relying on the physician's summary

C. The primary care physician should have independently reviewed the pathology results at the patient's next routine office visit

D. The gastroenterologist either failed to review or misrepresented the pathology — adenocarcinoma with positive margins and lymphovascular invasion demands immediate surgical referral, and characterizing invasive cancer as "benign" allowed a potentially curable malignancy to progress to regional disease

148. An LNC is evaluating a workers' compensation case involving a 44-year-old dental hygienist who developed bilateral de Quervain's tenosynovitis after eight years of dental scaling and root planing. The employer's IME physician concluded the condition is "constitutional" because bilateral involvement suggests systemic predisposition. What is the flaw in the IME reasoning?

A. The IME physician should have ordered imaging before reaching any conclusion about the de Quervain's etiology

B. Bilateral de Quervain's does not disprove occupational causation when the occupational exposure is itself bilateral — dental hygienists use both hands symmetrically during instrumentation, making bilateral hand pathology the expected injury pattern for symmetrically demanding work

C. The hygienist's recreational activities should be investigated as the primary cause of the bilateral tenosynovitis condition

D. The hygienist should undergo a functional capacity evaluation before any causation determination can be made

149. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who developed necrotizing fasciitis following a minor skin laceration repair in the emergency department. The patient returned 30 hours later with pain out of proportion, crepitus, spreading erythema with dusky discoloration, fever 103.2°F, and tachycardia to 128. The ED physician diagnosed cellulitis, prescribed IV antibiotics, and admitted to the medical floor. Surgical consultation was not obtained for 14 hours. The patient required above-knee amputation. What clinical finding should have prompted different management?

A. IV antibiotics were the appropriate first-line treatment for this presentation before any surgical intervention was considered

B. Admission to the medical floor was appropriate because the patient needed hemodynamic stabilization before surgical evaluation

C. Necrotizing fasciitis cannot be diagnosed clinically without imaging confirmation, so treating as cellulitis was reasonable initially

D. Crepitus combined with pain out of proportion, rapidly spreading erythema with dusky discoloration, and hemodynamic instability mandates immediate surgical consultation for emergent debridement — necrotizing fasciitis is a surgical emergency where every hour of delay increases tissue loss and mortality

150. An LNC is evaluating a life care plan for a 35-year-old woman who sustained bilateral below-knee amputations in a motor vehicle accident. The plan projects bilateral prostheses with replacement every 3–5 years, prosthetic socks and liners, annual evaluations, ongoing therapy, wheelchair and adaptive equipment, home modifications, and a modified vehicle. The defense argues home modifications are unnecessary because the plaintiff ambulates with prostheses. What is the most appropriate analysis?

A. The home modifications should be limited to bathroom accessibility because that is the only area where prostheses are removed

B. Bilateral BKA patients do not wear prostheses at all times — they are removed for bathing, sleeping, rest, and when limb soreness prevents use — and wheelchair accessibility is needed during all non-prosthetic periods, making home modifications necessary regardless of the plaintiff's prosthetic ambulatory ability

C. The plaintiff should choose between prostheses and a wheelchair — the plan should include only one mobility solution

D. The home modifications should be excluded because the plaintiff demonstrated prosthetic ambulation during the evaluation

151. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a cervical laminectomy and developed a postoperative epidural hematoma. The patient was taking aspirin 81 mg daily. The surgeon did not discontinue aspirin before the elective procedure. Guidelines recommend cessation 7–10 days preoperatively. The patient developed progressive quadriparesis. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The surgeon's failure to discontinue aspirin before elective cervical surgery — when guidelines recommend 7–10 day cessation — deviates from the standard of care, and the continued aspirin is a modifiable risk factor that likely contributed to the postoperative hematoma

B. Aspirin 81 mg daily is a low dose that does not significantly affect surgical bleeding risk during spinal procedures

C. The patient should have independently discontinued aspirin before presenting for elective cervical spine surgery

D. The anesthesiologist should have verified the medication list and independently held aspirin before the surgical procedure

152. An LNC is reviewing a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident with documented bilateral contractures and a Braden Scale score of 9 developed Stage 4 sacral and bilateral trochanteric pressure ulcers. The care plan specified repositioning every two hours and a specialty mattress. Documentation shows repositioning at 4–6 hour intervals, the mattress was not ordered for three weeks, and no nutritional supplementation was provided despite albumin of 1.8 g/dL. What should the LNC conclude?

A. The contractures and immobility made pressure ulcers unavoidable regardless of any preventive interventions implemented

B. The attending physician bears sole responsibility because the physician should have ordered the mattress at the time of admission

C. The facility identified very high risk, developed appropriate care plan interventions, but systematically failed to implement them — repositioning at 4–6 hours versus the planned 2 hours, a three-week mattress delay, and no nutritional intervention despite critically low albumin demonstrate planning without execution

D. The family should have been informed about the pressure ulcer risk and required to assist with repositioning during visits

153. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a total hip arthroplasty and developed a sciatic nerve palsy. The operative report documents "significant retraction required" and "tension on sciatic nerve noted." The surgeon did not reposition the limb, reduce retraction, or use nerve monitoring after noting the tension. The patient woke with foot drop. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Whether the surgeon's failure to respond to observed nerve tension — by repositioning, reducing retraction, or using monitoring — deviated from the standard of care, and whether these modifications would have prevented the nerve injury documented by the immediate postoperative deficit

B. Sciatic nerve palsy is a recognized complication occurring even with perfect technique and cannot constitute malpractice

C. The patient should have been warned about nerve palsy and the consent form should resolve the liability claim entirely

D. The anesthesiologist is solely responsible for all intraoperative nerve monitoring and protection during hip replacement surgery

154. An LNC is analyzing a Medicare Set-Aside case involving a 73-year-old Medicare beneficiary settling a workers' compensation claim for a lumbar spine injury. The claimant requires ongoing pain management including medications, epidural steroid injections every four months, and annual evaluations. The claimant also takes medications for pre-existing hypertension, diabetes, and hypothyroidism. The defense argues all medications should be included in the MSA. What is the correct analysis?

A. All medications should be included because they are all medically necessary for the claimant's overall health management

B. The medications should be proportionally divided between the MSA and the claimant's personal Medicare responsibility

C. The pre-existing condition medications should be included to fully protect Medicare's interests in the MSA calculation

D. Only medications related to the compensable lumbar injury should be included — the hypertension, diabetes, and hypothyroidism medications are for pre-existing conditions unrelated to the work injury and remain the claimant's personal responsibility under regular Medicare

155. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent bariatric surgery and developed vitamin B12 deficiency that went undiagnosed for three years. The patient developed peripheral neuropathy and subacute combined degeneration. The surgeon's records show no B12 levels were monitored. Guidelines require lifelong nutritional monitoring after Roux-en-Y. What is the standard of care issue?

A. The patient should have independently supplemented with B12 after learning about bariatric surgery nutritional risks

B. The failure to monitor B12 during three years of follow-up — when guidelines require lifelong monitoring — allowed a predictable deficiency to progress to irreversible neurological damage, constituting a clear and unambiguous standard of care deviation

C. The primary care physician should have assumed B12 monitoring responsibility from the bariatric surgeon after initial recovery

D. The gastroenterologist who referred the patient for surgery should have warned about post-surgical nutritional risks

156. An LNC is evaluating a risk management case involving a hospital that uses barcode medication administration technology. An internal review shows nurses override "no match" alerts in 24% of administrations. Investigation reveals the high rate is driven by scanner failures, absent barcodes on pharmacy-dispensed medications, and time pressure. A medication error occurred when a nurse overrode a legitimate alert assuming another false alarm. What patient safety issue does this illustrate?

A. The BCMA system should be removed because the 24% override rate proves the technology is clinically ineffective

B. The nurse who overrode the legitimate alert bears sole individual responsibility for the resulting medication error

C. Alert fatigue from scanner failures, absent barcodes, and time pressure has conditioned nurses to override alerts reflexively — the 24% override rate undermines the BCMA system's ability to prevent genuine errors, and the root cause is system reliability and workflow design rather than individual nurse judgment

D. The pharmaceutical manufacturer should be required to affix scannable barcodes to all medication packaging products

157. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who was prescribed isotretinoin for severe acne. The patient was a 40-year-old woman of childbearing age. The dermatologist did not enroll the patient in iPLEDGE, did not obtain pregnancy testing, and did not document contraception counseling. The patient became pregnant during treatment. The infant was born with craniofacial and cardiac anomalies consistent with isotretinoin embryopathy. What should the LNC identify?

- A. The pharmacist should have refused to dispense isotretinoin without iPLEDGE enrollment and pregnancy test documentation
- B. The dermatologist violated multiple mandatory FDA prescribing safeguards — no iPLEDGE enrollment, no pregnancy testing, no contraception counseling — all designed to prevent fetal exposure to a known teratogen, and these violations constitute clear standard of care deviations
- C. The patient should have independently researched isotretinoin's teratogenic risks before agreeing to take the medication
- D. The infant's anomalies may be coincidental and unrelated to the isotretinoin exposure during the pregnancy period

158. An LNC is reviewing a personal injury case involving a 50-year-old commercial painter who sustained a right rotator cuff tear when a ceiling-mounted light fixture fell and struck his shoulder at a retail store. Despite arthroscopic repair, the plaintiff has residual shoulder weakness, limited overhead reach, and chronic pain. Commercial painting requires repetitive overhead work and sustained shoulder elevation. The defense argues the plaintiff can transition to painting supervision. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The plaintiff's workers' compensation status should be verified before the personal injury damages analysis begins
- B. The plaintiff should undergo a second surgical repair before claiming permanent inability to perform commercial painting
- C. The documented residual shoulder impairments — limited overhead reach and sustained elevation capacity — and their specific impact on commercial painting demands should determine whether the plaintiff can perform his pre-injury occupation, and whether the proposed supervisory role is actually compatible with his functional limitations
- D. The plaintiff's age of 50 indicates he was approaching retirement from physical labor and damages should be limited

159. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who presented to the emergency department with a fish bone lodged in the throat. Direct visualization was negative. The physician diagnosed "mucosal scratch" and discharged without imaging. The patient returned five days later with a retropharyngeal abscess from the embedded bone perforating into deep neck tissues. Emergency surgical drainage was required. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The physician should have prescribed prophylactic antibiotics before discharging the patient to prevent abscess formation
- B. The patient should have returned to the ED sooner when the throat discomfort did not resolve within 24 hours of discharge
- C. ENT should have been consulted to perform fiberoptic laryngoscopy during the initial emergency department evaluation
- D. When a patient reports a lodged foreign body not visualized on direct exam, CT is the recommended imaging — fish bones are often radiolucent on plain X-ray, and failure to obtain imaging when the patient reports a foreign body sensation may constitute a diagnostic standard of care deviation

160. An LNC is analyzing a life care plan for a 40-year-old man who sustained a complete T6 spinal cord injury. The plan includes annual physiatric, urological, pulmonary, dermatological, and psychological evaluations. The defense argues these are excessive and should be replaced with primary care alone. What is the most appropriate response?

- A. Published SCI guidelines specifically recommend these annual specialty evaluations — T6 complete injury creates lifelong risk for neurogenic bladder complications, respiratory compromise, skin breakdown, musculoskeletal deterioration, and psychological sequelae requiring specialist-level monitoring beyond primary care scope
- B. The specialty evaluations should be reduced to biennial frequency as a reasonable compromise between the expert positions
- C. The plaintiff should select the three most important specialties rather than maintaining visits with all five specialty services
- D. The defense argument should be accepted because primary care physicians can adequately manage all SCI complications

161. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a laparoscopic hysterectomy and developed a vesicovaginal fistula not recognized for three weeks. The patient reported continuous urinary leakage beginning on postoperative day two. The surgeon's office told her "some leakage is normal." When finally evaluated, a cystogram confirmed the fistula. The delay caused chronic inflammation complicating the repair. What should the LNC identify?

- A. Vesicovaginal fistula is a recognized complication and the surgeon cannot be held liable for its occurrence in any circumstance
- B. The patient should have sought a second opinion when the leakage persisted beyond the first postoperative week at home
- C. Continuous urinary leakage from the vagina on postoperative day two is not normal — it is the classic presentation of vesicovaginal fistula requiring prompt evaluation, and the three-week delay in diagnosis caused chronic changes that complicated the eventual repair
- D. The OR nurse should have verified bladder integrity with cystoscopy before the patient left the operating room suite

162. An LNC is evaluating a long-term care case in which a 90-year-old resident with end-stage dementia and a "comfort measures only" advance directive was transferred to the hospital after a routine vital sign check revealed an irregular rhythm. At the hospital, the resident underwent cardiac catheterization and stent placement. The advance directive was not transmitted with the transfer documentation. The resident died from procedure-related complications. What is the most critical finding?

- A. The nursing home failed to transmit the advance directive with the transfer — depriving the hospital of critical information about the resident's wishes and resulting in invasive procedures that violated the documented comfort-measures-only directive
- B. The hospital should have verified advance directive status before performing invasive procedures on a 90-year-old patient
- C. The resident's family should have been contacted before the transfer to verify advance directive preferences
- D. The attending physician at the nursing home should have been present to prevent the transfer decision from being made

163. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a cervical spine procedure and developed a postoperative epidural hematoma. The patient was taking clopidogrel for a drug-eluting coronary stent placed 10 months earlier. The surgeon discontinued clopidogrel five days before surgery without consulting the cardiologist. The patient developed an acute MI from stent thrombosis on postoperative day two. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The cardiologist who placed the stent should have proactively communicated antiplatelet management to all other providers
- B. Whether the surgeon's unilateral decision to discontinue clopidogrel without consulting the cardiologist deviated from the standard of care requiring multidisciplinary coordination — drug-eluting stents have higher thrombosis risk with premature antiplatelet cessation, and the MI was a foreseeable consequence of uncoordinated cessation
- C. The patient should have independently contacted the cardiologist when the surgeon discontinued clopidogrel before surgery
- D. The clopidogrel discontinuation was appropriate because the stent had been in place for 10 months exceeding the minimum period

164. An LNC is reviewing a healthcare fraud case involving a mobile diagnostic imaging company providing portable X-ray and ultrasound to nursing homes. A Medicare audit reveals: testing on all residents regardless of indication, pre-signed physician orders without patient examination, template reports without individualized findings, and the facility receives a percentage of imaging revenue. What federal statutes are implicated?

- A. Only HIPAA, because imaging results were shared without proper patient authorization between the company and facility
- B. Only the False Claims Act, because the company billed Medicare for medically unnecessary diagnostic imaging studies
- C. Only state medical practice act violations, because the physician signed orders without examining the residents individually
- D. The False Claims Act (billing for unnecessary imaging), the Anti-Kickback Statute (revenue percentage to the facility for access), the Stark Law (if the ordering physician has a financial relationship), and the physician's state medical practice act (signing orders without examination)

165. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a total knee replacement and developed a periprosthetic joint infection with MRSA. The patient had a positive preoperative MRSA screen. The hospital's protocol requires five-day decolonization before elective joint surgery. The preoperative form notes "decolonization not initiated." What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. MRSA colonization is normal and does not require preoperative intervention before joint replacement surgery procedures
- B. The hospital identified MRSA colonization through screening but failed to initiate its own decolonization protocol — this gap between risk identification and risk mitigation defeated the screening program's purpose and allowed a preventable infection
- C. The patient should have independently completed the decolonization regimen at home without hospital initiation
- D. The surgeon bears sole personal responsibility for verifying completion of all preoperative infection prevention protocols

166. An LNC is analyzing a workers' compensation case involving a 48-year-old operating room nurse who developed occupational asthma from chronic glutaraldehyde exposure during high-level endoscope disinfection. Pre-employment PFTs were normal. Serial PFTs show progressive FEV1 decline. A specific inhalation challenge was positive. The nurse has been removed from exposure but continues to have persistent symptoms. The insurer argues the nurse should have fully recovered after removal. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The insurer's argument should be accepted because removal from the sensitizing agent should result in complete recovery
- B. The nurse should return to the same position with respiratory protection rather than claiming ongoing pulmonary disability
- C. The nurse's recreational activities should be investigated as an alternative cause of the persistent asthma symptoms
- D. Whether the published literature supports persistent asthma after glutaraldehyde sensitization — occupational asthma from sensitizing agents frequently persists after exposure cessation, often permanently, because the immunological sensitization may not resolve, and the ongoing treatment is medically necessary

167. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who developed acute limb ischemia following cardiac catheterization via the left femoral artery. The patient reported left foot numbness, coolness, and progressive pain immediately post-procedure. The cardiologist attributed symptoms to "vasospasm" and ordered warm blankets. Over four hours, the foot became pale, pulseless, and painful. Emergent vascular surgery was performed but the patient sustained permanent nerve damage. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The cardiologist's assessment should be evaluated against the "six P's" of acute limb ischemia — the patient's progressive symptoms demonstrated multiple classic findings, and attributing ischemic symptoms to "vasospasm" for four hours represents a diagnostic failure that allowed reversible ischemia to progress to permanent nerve injury
- B. Post-procedure vasospasm is the most common cause of extremity symptoms after femoral catheterization and observation is standard
- C. The nurse should have independently consulted vascular surgery without waiting for the cardiologist's authorization to proceed
- D. The patient should have demanded immediate vascular evaluation rather than accepting the cardiologist's vasospasm assessment

168. An LNC is evaluating a risk management case involving a hospital where a patient with an MRI-incompatible cardiac pacemaker underwent an MRI scan. The patient developed device malfunction and arrhythmia. The MRI technologist asked the patient about implants, but the patient (who had dementia) incorrectly denied any. The patient's EHR clearly documented the pacemaker. The technologist did not review the medical record before the scan. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The patient is responsible because the patient denied having implants when directly asked about them before the scan
- B. The patient's cognitive impairment makes verbal screening unreliable and should not have been the sole screening method
- C. Relying solely on a cognitively impaired patient's verbal denial — without independently verifying through the available medical record — represents a failure in MRI safety screening, and the protocol should include mandatory record review for all patients, particularly those with known cognitive impairment
- D. The MRI machine manufacturer should have designed the scanner to automatically detect metallic implants before operation

169. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a 55-year-old patient who presented to his PCP with a painless right testicular mass discovered during self-examination. The physician documented a "2 cm firm nodule" and ordered ultrasound, which confirmed a solid mass suspicious for malignancy. The physician recommended urology follow-up but the referral was not scheduled for seven weeks. During the wait, the cancer metastasized to retroperitoneal lymph nodes. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. A solid intratesticular mass suspicious for malignancy requires urgent urological referral — a seven-week wait for a likely testicular cancer is clinically inappropriate and may have allowed disease progression from localized to metastatic during the delay
- B. The patient should have independently contacted the urologist's office to expedite the scheduling of the appointment
- C. The ultrasound should have been interpreted by a radiologist with genitourinary subspecialty expertise before the referral
- D. The primary care physician fulfilled all obligations by ordering the ultrasound and submitting the urology referral

170. An LNC is analyzing a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident with documented severe osteoporosis sustained a hip fracture during a manual transfer by a single CNA. The care plan specified a two-person mechanical lift. The CNA stated she performed the solo transfer because the lift was in use and she was the only aide on the unit during the other aide's break. What does this demonstrate?

- A. The fracture was a spontaneous pathological event unrelated to the transfer method or institutional staffing decisions
- B. The CNA should have waited until the other aide returned from break before performing any transfers on the unit alone
- C. The facility's staffing created a foreseeable situation in which the care plan could not be safely implemented — two aides meant one aide alone during breaks, making required two-person transfers impossible and forcing unsafe solo transfers
- D. The physician should have ordered a wheelchair-only mobility plan to eliminate the need for bed-to-wheelchair transfers

171. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a total thyroidectomy and developed hypocalcemia symptoms — perioral tingling, Chvostek's sign, and muscle cramping — at 8:00 PM. The nurse documented findings but did not obtain a calcium level or notify the surgeon. At 4:00 AM (eight hours later), the patient developed a seizure from severe hypocalcemia (calcium 5.6 mg/dL). What nursing failure should the LNC identify?

- A. The surgeon should have ordered prophylactic calcium supplementation for all post-thyroidectomy patients before symptoms
- B. The patient should have reported the symptoms more urgently to nursing staff rather than waiting for a routine assessment
- C. The endocrinology service should have been automatically consulted for all post-thyroidectomy patients to manage calcium
- D. Perioral tingling, Chvostek's sign, and muscle cramping after thyroidectomy are classic hypocalcemia signs requiring immediate serum calcium measurement and physician notification — the eight-hour delay allowed a treatable electrolyte emergency to progress to a seizure

172. An LNC is evaluating a personal injury case involving a 45-year-old professional chef who sustained bilateral hand burns after slipping on an unmarked wet floor at a catering venue. Despite treatment, she has permanent loss of heat tolerance, reduced grip strength, and absent fine touch sensation. These prevent professional cooking. The defense argues she can work in food industry management. What is the most important damages consideration?

- A. The plaintiff should undergo a functional capacity evaluation to verify the claimed sensory and motor deficits objectively
- B. The permanent loss of heat tolerance, grip strength, and sensation constitutes both an economic loss (chef-level earning capacity) and a non-economic loss (inability to practice a skilled craft defining the plaintiff's identity) — management requires different skills and provides different compensation, and does not replace the loss of a specialized culinary career
- C. The plaintiff's chef income should be compared to management salaries to calculate the precise economic differential amount
- D. The venue's liability should be limited to burn treatment costs because the functional impairments are consequences of healing

173. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent spinal surgery and developed a postoperative epidural hematoma. The patient was taking clopidogrel for a coronary stent. The surgeon did not discontinue clopidogrel and did not consult the cardiologist about safe cessation timing. Guidelines require multidisciplinary coordination for antiplatelet decisions in stent patients. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The surgeon should have refused to perform any spinal surgery on a patient with an active coronary stent and antiplatelet therapy
- B. The patient should have independently discontinued the clopidogrel before presenting for the elective spinal procedure
- C. Whether the surgeon's dual failures — not discontinuing clopidogrel and not consulting the cardiologist — deviated from the standard of care requiring coordinated multidisciplinary decision-making that balances surgical bleeding risk against coronary thrombotic risk
- D. The cardiologist who placed the stent should have proactively communicated antiplatelet management to all other providers

174. An LNC is reviewing a risk management case involving a hospital that experienced three retained surgical instruments over six months. Root cause analysis reveals: count discrepancies resolved by recounting rather than X-ray, surgical team pressure to close quickly, and the sign-out phase of the surgical safety checklist not completed in any case. What should the LNC recommend?

- A. The individual surgeons should be counseled about count importance but no systemic changes are needed at this point
- B. The risk management response should address all three systemic factors: mandate intraoperative X-ray for unresolved discrepancies, address production pressure through leadership reinforcement of count reconciliation, and enforce sign-out checklist completion
- C. The circulating nurses bear sole responsibility because counting is exclusively a nursing function in the operating room
- D. Routine postoperative X-ray for all surgical cases should be implemented regardless of count discrepancy status at closing

175. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who was prescribed methotrexate 10 mg weekly for RA. The prescription was written as "10 mg daily." The pharmacy dispensed as written. The patient took 10 mg daily for 16 days (160 mg vs. intended ~23 mg) and developed severe pancytopenia, mucositis, and hepatotoxicity. What multi-provider analysis should the LNC perform?

- A. The physician committed the prescribing error (daily instead of weekly), and the pharmacist failed to recognize that daily methotrexate for RA significantly exceeds the standard weekly protocol — both providers had independent opportunities to prevent this well-known dangerous dosing error
- B. The patient should have verified the dosing by reading the medication's package insert before taking it as directed on the label
- C. The pharmacy computer system is solely liable for not flagging the daily frequency as a potentially dangerous dosing error
- D. The physician bears sole responsibility because the prescription was incorrectly written regardless of downstream safety checks

176. An LNC is analyzing a toxic tort case involving residents near a former industrial facility with groundwater TCE contamination. Several plaintiffs have kidney cancer. TCE is IARC Group 1 for kidney cancer. The defense argues two plaintiffs are obese — a kidney cancer risk factor. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The defense argument should be accepted because obesity is a stronger risk factor than chemical exposure for kidney cancer
- B. The plaintiffs' obesity should be investigated as the sole cause before evaluating any TCE contribution to the cancer
- C. Both factors should be split equally in causation without individual-level analysis of exposure, dose-response, or BMI data
- D. Both TCE and obesity are established independent kidney cancer risk factors — the presence of one does not eliminate the other's contribution, and each plaintiff requires individual analysis of TCE exposure levels, dose-response data, BMI, and whether the factors acted independently or synergistically

177. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who developed a central line infection. The nursing documentation shows: no insertion checklist, no maximal barrier documentation, no daily necessity assessment, and dressing changed once in 14 days. What should the LNC conclude?

- A. The multiple deviations from evidence-based central line bundle practices collectively demonstrate systemic noncompliance with infection prevention standards that cumulatively increased the CLABSI risk far beyond what any single deviation would produce

- B. The physician who inserted the line bears sole responsibility and the ongoing maintenance deviations are not relevant
- C. The infection was caused by the patient's immunocompromised status rather than any deviation in catheter management
- D. The CLABSI was unavoidable regardless of insertion or maintenance practices used during the catheterization period

178. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a 50-year-old patient who underwent a screening mammogram interpreted as BI-RADS 1. The radiologist noted "extremely dense breast tissue" but did not recommend supplemental screening or notify the patient. The state has a breast density notification law. Eighteen months later, Stage IIIA breast cancer was diagnosed — masked by the dense tissue. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. The BI-RADS 1 interpretation was technically correct and the radiologist fulfilled all professional obligations under current standards
- B. The patient should have independently researched breast density and requested supplemental screening from another provider
- C. Dense breast tissue is a normal anatomical variant that requires no notification or additional screening recommendations at all
- D. Whether the radiologist had an obligation under state law and evolving standard of care to notify the patient about dense tissue and its impact on sensitivity, and whether supplemental screening should have been recommended — the 18-month delay may have been preventable with notification and additional screening

179. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent an outpatient procedure under general anesthesia. The patient was discharged with an Aldrete score of 7 (facility threshold is 9). The patient developed respiratory arrest 30 minutes after arriving home. Resuscitation was successful but the patient sustained hypoxic brain injury. What is the primary standard of care failure?

- A. The anesthesiologist should have used a different anesthetic with shorter duration for the outpatient surgical procedure

B. The companion should have been trained to recognize respiratory depression before taking the patient home after surgery

C. The patient was discharged with an Aldrete score below the facility's threshold — an objective deviation from discharge criteria indicating inadequate recovery, and the respiratory arrest was a foreseeable consequence of premature discharge

D. The facility should require all patients to remain a minimum of three hours after any general anesthesia before discharge

180. An LNC is reviewing a workers' compensation case involving a 52-year-old factory worker who developed bilateral hearing loss after 20 years of noise exposure at 92–98 dB. The audiogram shows bilateral symmetric sensorineural hearing loss with the characteristic 4000 Hz notch. The employer argues it is presbycusis. What most strongly supports occupational causation?

A. The worker's subjective report that hearing difficulty began during the period of occupational noise exposure at the facility

B. The bilateral symmetric loss with the 4000 Hz notch — distinguishing noise-induced hearing loss from presbycusis (gradual sloping without a notch) — combined with 20 years above the OSHA PEL provides strong objective evidence of noise-induced hearing loss

C. The employer's failure to provide hearing conservation programs proves the loss is occupational rather than age-related

D. A brain MRI should be performed to rule out acoustic neuroma before any causation determination is made by the LNC

181. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a laparoscopic cholecystectomy and developed a bile duct injury not recognized for four days. The operative report does not document the critical view of safety. The pathology report notes "common bile duct tissue attached to the cystic duct stump." What is the most compelling evidence of a standard of care deviation?

A. The five-day delay in recognizing the injury constitutes a separate standard of care failure in post-procedure assessment

B. The patient should have chosen open cholecystectomy rather than the laparoscopic approach to reduce bile duct injury risk

C. The pathology finding of bile duct tissue attached to the specimen combined with the operative report's silence on the critical view of safety establishes both the anatomical misidentification and the failure to use the technique designed to prevent it — dual evidence providing the most compelling proof of deviation

D. The operative report's silence on the CVS alone is insufficient to establish a standard of care deviation without other evidence

182. An LNC is analyzing a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident with documented severe dysphagia on a pureed diet choked on regular-texture food and died. The diet order was in the clinical record but was never transmitted to the kitchen's non-integrated meal system. The incorrect diet had been served for 12 days. What systems failure does this illustrate?

A. The dietary aide who served the tray bears sole responsibility for not checking the diet order before every meal service

B. The non-integrated clinical and dietary systems created a communication gap where the correct order existed in one system but was never transmitted to the other — the 12-day persistence without detection demonstrates the absence of any reconciliation process between the two systems

C. The SLP should have personally supervised all meals for all residents with documented severe dysphagia dietary orders

D. The physician should have entered the order in both systems simultaneously to prevent any communication gap from occurring

183. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who developed a postoperative wound dehiscence after cesarean section. The patient had morbid obesity (BMI 45), uncontrolled diabetes (A1c 10.2%), and chronic steroid use. The surgeon used standard closure without retention sutures. Published guidelines recommend enhanced closure for multiple risk factors. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Whether the standard closure without reinforcement in a patient with three significant wound healing risk factors deviated from guidelines recommending enhanced closure — the dehiscence was foreseeable given the unaddressed cumulative risk profile

- B. Wound dehiscence is a recognized complication that cannot constitute malpractice regardless of the patient's risk factors
- C. The patient should have optimized weight and diabetes before becoming pregnant to reduce wound complication risks
- D. The patient's lupus requiring chronic steroids caused the dehiscence and the surgeon could not have prevented the outcome

184. An LNC is evaluating a Medicare Set-Aside case involving a 70-year-old Medicare beneficiary settling a workers' compensation claim for a shoulder injury. The orthopedist documents that the total shoulder replacement will likely require revision in 12–15 years. The claimant's rated life expectancy is 8 years. The defense argues the revision should be included. What is the correct analysis?

- A. The revision should be included because the orthopedist documented the clinical basis for eventual revision necessity
- B. The revision should be projected at exactly 8 years to match the claimant's estimated remaining life expectancy
- C. The revision costs should be split between the MSA and the claimant's personal Medicare responsibility as a compromise
- D. The revision should be excluded because the projected timeline (12–15 years) exceeds the claimant's rated life expectancy (8 years) — the MSA includes only expenses reasonably expected within the projected remaining lifetime

185. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a routine colonoscopy. A 3 cm sessile cecal polyp was found. The gastroenterologist attempted removal with hot snare polypectomy. A perforation occurred. Current guidelines recommend complex polyps be referred to advanced endoscopists or considered for surgery. What should the LNC evaluate?

- A. Whether the gastroenterologist's decision to attempt endoscopic removal of a complex polyp (3 cm, sessile, cecal) — rather than referring to an advanced endoscopist or recommending surgical resection — was within the standard of care given the polyp's risk characteristics and the physician's training level
- B. The perforation is a recognized polypectomy complication and the gastroenterologist cannot be held liable for its occurrence

C. The patient should have been informed about the specific perforation risk for this polyp type before consenting to removal

D. The endoscopic clip closure attempted after the perforation was standard of care and the clip failure was unforeseeable

186. An LNC is reviewing a risk management case involving a hospital where a patient experienced an anaphylactic reaction during a CT scan. The crash cart defibrillator battery was dead, epinephrine was expired, and the bag-valve-mask was missing. Resuscitation was delayed six minutes. The patient sustained hypoxic brain injury. What does this illustrate?

A. The radiology department should not be expected to maintain a crash cart because anaphylaxis during CT is extremely rare

B. The physician who ordered the CT should have personally verified the crash cart was functional before ordering the study

C. The CT technologist should have administered epinephrine from the nearest nursing unit without waiting for the crash cart

D. The failure to maintain a functional crash cart — by not performing required shift-based checks — represents a systems failure in emergency preparedness that directly caused the resuscitation delay and resulting injury

187. An LNC is evaluating a case involving the professional scope of LNC expert testimony. The attorney wants the LNCC-certified LNC with 18 years of OR nursing experience to testify about whether the surgeon's intraoperative technique during a complex spinal fusion met the surgical standard of care. What should the LNC advise?

A. The LNC should explain that while the LNCC certification qualifies expert testimony on nursing standards, expert opinions on the surgeon's intraoperative surgical technique require a surgeon expert — the LNC's extensive OR nursing experience provides knowledge of perioperative nursing standards but does not qualify testimony on surgical technique

B. The LNCC certification qualifies the LNC to testify on all aspects of medical care including surgical technique

C. The LNC's 18 years of OR nursing experience provides adequate qualification to testify about spinal fusion technique

D. The LNC should provide the surgical standard of care testimony because no other expert is currently available for the case

188. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who developed a catheter-associated UTI that progressed to urosepsis. The indwelling catheter had been in place for 14 months. The original indication was post-surgical retention from a procedure 16 months prior with a six-week expected recovery. No reassessment of catheter necessity was documented after recovery. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The urologist should have been consulted to evaluate the continued need for catheterization after the surgical recovery

B. The physician should have prescribed prophylactic antibiotics to prevent catheter-associated infections during the catheterization

C. The catheter remaining 12 months beyond the original indication without reassessment deviates from evidence-based standards requiring daily necessity assessment and prompt removal when the indication no longer exists — the prolonged unnecessary catheterization directly increased the fatal infection risk

D. The nursing staff should have independently removed the catheter when the recovery period was obviously completed

189. An LNC is reviewing a personal injury case involving a 37-year-old ballet dancer who sustained a Lisfranc fracture-dislocation requiring midfoot fusion. The fusion eliminates midfoot motion essential for pointe work. The defense argues the plaintiff can teach ballet. What is the most important damages consideration?

A. The plaintiff's age of 37 indicates she was approaching retirement from professional dance and damages should be limited

B. The permanent elimination of midfoot motion prevents the biomechanical function essential for ballet — teaching requires different skills, provides different compensation, and does not substitute for the loss of artistic performance, professional identity, and creative expression that defined the plaintiff's career

C. The plaintiff should attempt modified choreography before claiming permanent inability to perform professionally at any level

D. The plaintiff should be evaluated for prosthetic midfoot devices that might restore some dance function before claiming disability

190. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a total hip replacement and developed a deep prosthetic infection with MRSA. The patient's A1c was 10.0% and the patient was an active smoker. Published guidelines recommend glycemic optimization and smoking cessation before elective joint surgery. The surgeon did not address either risk factor. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The patient's risk factors make PJI inevitable regardless of any preoperative optimization measures that could be implemented

B. The patient should have independently optimized glycemic control and quit smoking before presenting for elective surgery

C. Whether the surgeon's decision to proceed without addressing two modifiable risk factors — when published guidelines recommend optimization — deviated from the preoperative standard of care and increased the foreseeable infection risk

D. The infectious disease physician should have been consulted preoperatively to assess the patient's overall infection risk

191. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a newborn who developed kernicterus. The infant was discharged at 26 hours of life with a bilirubin of 14.5 mg/dL in the high-risk zone on the Bhutani nomogram. Discharge instructions specified one-week follow-up. The infant returned seven days later with bilirubin of 34.2 mg/dL and acute bilirubin encephalopathy. AAP guidelines require follow-up within 24 hours for high-risk zone infants. What is the primary standard of care failure?

A. The hospital lab should have reported the bilirubin as a critical value requiring immediate physician notification and action

B. The parents should have recognized worsening jaundice and sought earlier medical attention before the seven-day follow-up

C. The pediatrician's office should have proactively contacted the family to schedule an earlier follow-up appointment date

D. The bilirubin in the high-risk zone required 24-hour follow-up per AAP guidelines — the one-week instruction deviated from this standard, creating a seven-day unmonitored interval during which bilirubin rose to neurotoxic levels causing permanent brain damage

192. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent an elective cholecystectomy and developed a bile duct injury not recognized intraoperatively. The patient presented five days later with jaundice, pain, and fever. The operative report does not document the critical view of safety. The pathology notes "bile duct tissue in specimen." What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The dual evidence — operative report silence on CVS and pathology finding of bile duct tissue — establishes both the failure to use the standard safety technique and the resulting anatomical misidentification, providing the most compelling standard of care deviation evidence

B. Bile duct injury is a recognized complication that cannot form the basis of a malpractice claim regardless of technique

C. The patient should have elected open cholecystectomy to eliminate the bile duct injury risk during laparoscopic surgery

D. The radiologist should have warned about variant biliary anatomy on preoperative imaging before the procedure

193. An LNC is evaluating a risk management case involving a hospital that has experienced four wrong-patient medication errors in the pediatric unit over two months. Investigation reveals paper-based medication processes, absent barcode technology, manual weight-based calculations without double-checks, and no independent verification for high-alert medications. What should the LNC conclude?

A. The individual nurses who committed the four errors should be disciplined and no institutional systems changes are needed

B. The pharmacy should verify all weight-based calculations before dispensing any pediatric medication prescriptions

C. The convergence of multiple systems vulnerabilities creates a risk environment where wrong-patient errors are predictable — the risk management response must address all vulnerabilities simultaneously

because each independently increases error probability and their combined effect compounds the overall risk

D. The hospital should prohibit parents from choosing similar names to prevent patient identification confusion errors

194. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who was prescribed warfarin. The INR at the seventh-month visit was 7.2 (dangerously elevated). The physician was notified but did not contact the patient, adjust the dose, or arrange repeat testing. Four days later, the patient developed a massive GI hemorrhage. What should the LNC identify?

A. The monthly monitoring frequency was too infrequent and should have been every two weeks for this specific patient

B. The laboratory should have directly contacted the patient about the critically elevated INR without physician involvement

C. The patient should have independently reduced the warfarin dose when experiencing any unusual bleeding symptoms at all

D. The physician's failure to respond to the INR of 7.2 — by contacting the patient, adjusting the dose, and arranging repeat testing — represents a failure to act on a critical lab result that allowed treatable anticoagulation excess to progress to a life-threatening hemorrhage

195. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a routine endoscopy under moderate sedation. The patient's respiratory rate dropped to 6 breaths per minute. The facility uses only pulse oximetry — no capnography. The oxygen saturation did not alarm for three minutes after hypoventilation onset due to the oxygen reservoir effect. The patient sustained cognitive deficits from the delayed detection. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Whether the facility's sedation monitoring using only pulse oximetry without capnography met the current standard of care — guidelines recommend capnography for moderate sedation because it detects hypoventilation significantly earlier than pulse oximetry, which has well-documented delayed detection from the oxygen reservoir effect

B. Pulse oximetry alone fully meets the requirements for moderate sedation monitoring in all clinical settings and circumstances

C. The endoscopist should have been independently monitoring respiratory rate throughout the entire procedure manually

D. The patient should have been intubated before the endoscopy to prevent any respiratory compromise from the sedation

196. An LNC is evaluating a long-term care case in which a nursing home resident who was prescribed medications with a pureed diet and nectar-thick liquids received medications with thin water. The medication nurse was "unaware" of the thickened liquid requirement. No thickened liquid alert existed in the MAR and no system communicated dietary modifications to medication staff. The resident aspirated. What systems failure does this illustrate?

A. The medication nurse bears sole responsibility because nurses must review all orders before any medication administration

B. The facility lacked a system to communicate dietary texture modifications to medication staff — the absent MAR alert and absent notification mechanism created a predictable gap between dietary orders and medication practice

C. The physician should have ordered medications in crushable or liquid form to avoid the need for any water administration

D. The resident should have refused thin water and reminded the nurse about the thickened liquid dietary restriction

197. An LNC is analyzing a medical malpractice case involving a patient who developed a wound infection after spinal fusion. The preoperative A1c was 9.9%. Published guidelines recommend A1c below 8% before elective spinal procedures. The surgeon did not delay for optimization. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Whether the surgeon's decision to proceed at A1c 9.9% — when guidelines recommend below 8% — deviated from the preoperative standard of care, and whether optimization would have reduced the foreseeable SSI risk

B. The patient bears sole responsibility because the patient failed to independently control the diabetes before surgery

C. The endocrinologist should be the sole defendant for failing to achieve adequate A1c control before the surgical procedure

D. The SSI was caused by intraoperative contamination unrelated to the patient's preoperative glucose control status

198. An LNC is reviewing a personal injury case involving a 48-year-old TBI survivor with documented executive function deficits, impaired memory, and behavioral changes. He was a construction project manager earning \$140,000. The defense vocational expert opines he can perform "simple supervisory tasks." What should the LNC evaluate?

A. The defense vocational expert's opinion should be accepted because vocational experts are qualified to make employment determinations

B. Whether the documented cognitive deficits and behavioral changes are compatible with any supervisory role — construction supervision requires planning, multi-tasking, conflict resolution, and consistent interpersonal interactions, functions specifically impaired by the plaintiff's documented TBI deficits

C. The plaintiff should attempt a graded return to work before claiming permanent total vocational disability from the injury

D. The plaintiff's pre-injury income is the sole relevant factor for calculating lost earning capacity regardless of current function

199. An LNC is evaluating a medical malpractice case involving a patient who underwent a total hip arthroplasty. Postoperatively, the patient developed sciatic nerve palsy. The operative report documents "tension on sciatic nerve observed" during acetabular preparation. The surgeon did not modify the approach, reposition the limb, or use monitoring. The patient has permanent foot drop. What should the LNC evaluate?

A. Whether the surgeon should have ordered a preoperative MRI to evaluate sciatic nerve anatomy before the procedure

B. The patient should have been warned about nerve palsy and the consent form should resolve the liability question

C. The anesthesiologist is solely responsible for nerve monitoring and protection during all hip replacement procedures

D. Whether the surgeon's failure to respond to documented nerve tension — by repositioning, reducing retraction, or using monitoring — deviated from the standard of care, and whether modifications would have prevented the injury

200. An LNC is reviewing a medical malpractice case involving a 33-year-old woman who presented to the ED with acute right lower quadrant pain and a positive pregnancy test. Transvaginal ultrasound showed no intrauterine pregnancy. Beta-hCG was 1,200 mIU/mL (below discriminatory zone). At the 48-hour follow-up, beta-hCG had risen only 20% (expected 50–100% doubling). Repeat ultrasound showed no IUP. The physician discharged the patient again. She returned two days later with a ruptured ectopic pregnancy and hemorrhagic shock. What was the critical failure at the second visit?

A. The physician should have performed a culdocentesis at the first visit to check for free pelvic fluid immediately

B. The beta-hCG should have been checked every 12 hours rather than every 48 hours to detect the abnormal trend sooner

C. The inadequately rising beta-hCG (20% vs. expected doubling) combined with absent IUP created a clinical picture highly suspicious for ectopic pregnancy requiring definitive intervention — methotrexate or surgical evaluation — not continued observation

D. The repeat ultrasound at the second visit was unnecessary because the beta-hCG remained below the discriminatory zone

Practice Exam 5: Answer Key and Explanations

1. C — Vertigo accompanied by diplopia, dysarthria, and facial numbness indicates central nervous system involvement — specifically posterior circulation (brainstem) pathology. These focal neurological deficits distinguish a vascular event from benign positional vertigo, which presents with isolated vertigo without brainstem signs. The standard of care requires brain imaging when vertigo presents with any focal neurological deficit, and misdiagnosing a posterior stroke as BPV is a well-recognized and dangerous diagnostic error.

2. A — The permanent loss of wrist supination, finger independence, and pain-free hand function eliminates the specific fine motor capabilities required for professional cello performance. Teaching

music requires fundamentally different skills, provides different compensation, and does not replace the loss of artistic performance and creative expression that defined the plaintiff's career. Both the economic loss and the non-economic loss of professional artistic identity must be evaluated.

3. B — Multiple sequential communication delays compounded catastrophically: three days between aide identification and nurse assessment, eight days between nurse assessment and physician notification, and seven days between physician notification and specialist referral. In a patient with diabetes, peripheral neuropathy, and a contralateral amputation — the highest-risk profile for diabetic foot complications — each delay independently worsened the trajectory, collectively transforming a treatable superficial wound into a limb-threatening infection.

4. D — Progressive dysphagia with the pattern of solids-first then liquids is the hallmark symptom of esophageal obstruction, most concerning for malignancy. Clinical guidelines require endoscopic evaluation for persistent dysphagia beyond two weeks to rule out malignancy. Empiric PPI therapy without endoscopy in a patient with this symptom pattern deviates from the diagnostic standard of care — the six-month delay allowed the cancer to metastasize to mediastinal lymph nodes.

5. B — Individual susceptibility to occupational sensitizers varies — the fact that other nurses are unaffected does not disprove causation for this specific nurse. Occupational asthma develops in susceptible individuals exposed to workplace respiratory hazards. This nurse's documented exposure, confirmed hyperresponsiveness to surgical smoke, temporal symptom pattern (improvement on vacation, worsening at work), and progressive PFT decline collectively establish occupational causation regardless of coworkers' health status.

6. D — The manufacturer's knowledge of the elevated fracture/perforation rate (12% vs. 2–3% benchmark) for 20 months without updating labeling or issuing a safety communication constitutes a failure to warn. This delay deprived physicians and patients of information critical for device selection, retrieval timing decisions, and monitoring frequency. The 20-month gap between awareness and any safety communication is the central liability issue.

7. A — The failure to add pharmacological prophylaxis for a patient with four significant VTE risk factors deviates from current guidelines requiring risk-stratified combined prophylaxis for high-risk orthopedic patients. SCDs alone are insufficient for this cumulative risk profile (age >60, BMI 39, prior DVT, active malignancy). Each risk factor independently elevates VTE risk, and their combination demands the most aggressive prophylaxis strategy available.

8. C — The low RRT activation rate combined with an unchanged cardiac arrest rate is a classic indicator of RRT underutilization. If the team were activated appropriately, early intervention would

reduce the progression of deteriorating patients to cardiac arrest. The unchanged arrest rate despite two years of RRT availability suggests nursing staff are not activating the team when criteria are met — the system exists on paper but is not functioning in clinical practice.

9. D — Severe headache, visual changes, epigastric pain, blood pressure 182/116, and 3+ proteinuria at 37 weeks constitute an unmistakable presentation of severe preeclampsia with impending eclampsia. This presentation demands immediate hospital admission, IV magnesium, antihypertensive therapy, and likely emergent delivery. The obstetrician's telephone instruction to discharge with antihypertensives and morning follow-up was a dangerous mismanagement of a life-threatening emergency.

10. A — The exposure pathway and target organ are critical distinctions in toxic tort causation. The IARC Group 1 classification for Cr(VI) is based primarily on respiratory cancers from inhalation exposure. Whether ingested Cr(VI) causes kidney cancer requires independent evaluation of the oral exposure literature — general causation cannot be automatically transferred from one exposure pathway and target organ to another. The strength of the ingestion-kidney cancer evidence must be assessed separately.

11. C — The pathology report documenting common bile duct tissue attached to the specimen provides direct histological evidence that the bile duct was transected. Combined with the operative report's failure to document the critical view of safety, this dual evidence establishes both the anatomical misidentification (pathology proof) and the failure to use the technique designed to prevent it (absent CVS documentation). Together, these findings provide the most compelling standard of care deviation evidence.

12. B — The facility's staffing plan created a foreseeable situation in which the care plan could not be safely implemented. Scheduling only two aides meant that during one aide's break, the remaining aide could not perform the required two-person mechanical lift transfers. The institutional staffing decision — not the individual aide's choice — created the conditions that forced the unsafe solo manual transfer and caused the fragility fracture.

13. A — Testifying experts are required to disclose all materials reviewed, including those not relied upon. Attempting to conceal unfavorable literature violates discovery obligations and, if discovered, devastates the LNC's credibility. Honest disclosure of reviewed but non-relied-upon materials demonstrates intellectual integrity and allows the LNC to explain why those materials did not change the ultimate opinion — which is often more persuasive than concealment.

14. C — The manufacturer's failure to update the product label after receiving the FDA warning letter — when the agency specifically identified hepatotoxicity concerns — constitutes a failure to warn. The

FDA letter put the manufacturer on notice of the safety risk, and the failure to add a warning deprived consumers of information needed for informed purchase and use decisions. The 18-month gap between the FDA letter and the plaintiff's purchase is the critical timeline.

15. D — The MSA should project injection frequency based on the treating physician's documented clinical rationale. The three-month relief pattern with four-month recurrence provides patient-specific justification for the projected interval. Clinical need — documented through the physician's treatment response observations — rather than arbitrary utilization guidelines should determine the MSA frequency. The physician's documentation provides the clinical basis for the projection.

16. B — Two separate standard of care issues exist: first, whether the thermal injury resulted from a deviation in electrocautery technique; and second, whether the intraoperative repair was adequate. The perforation at the repair site suggests the repair did not address the full extent of thermal damage, which characteristically extends beyond the visible injury zone. Each issue is independently analyzable and may represent separate breaches.

17. C — The bilateral symmetric hearing loss with the characteristic 4000 Hz notch is the hallmark audiometric pattern of noise-induced hearing loss, distinguishing it from presbycusis (gradual sloping loss without a discrete notch). Combined with 20 years of documented exposure at 95–105 dB (above the OSHA PEL), the audiometric signature provides strong objective evidence that the hearing loss is occupationally caused rather than age-related.

18. D — The surgeon proceeded with elective CABG without addressing three documented modifiable infection risk factors (A1c 10.4%, BMI 44, active smoking) and without documenting a risk-benefit analysis. Published cardiac surgery guidelines recommend optimizing these factors before elective procedures. The failure to address any modifiable risk factor or document the rationale for proceeding despite them deviates from preoperative standards.

19. B — The documented bilateral hand weakness directly impairs the specific activities defining the plaintiff's post-retirement quality of life — literacy tutoring, watercolor painting, and independent home maintenance. These losses constitute significant non-economic damages regardless of employment status. The damages should also include the cost of assistance for tasks the plaintiff previously performed independently, such as home maintenance and self-care.

20. A — The convergence of paper-based processes, absent barcode technology, manual weight-based calculations without double-checks, and no independent verification for high-alert medications creates a cumulative risk environment. Four wrong-patient errors in two months confirms a systemic vulnerability

rather than random individual failures. The risk management response must address all vulnerabilities simultaneously because each independently increases error probability.

21. D — In any adolescent male with acute scrotal pain, testicular torsion must be excluded before diagnosing epididymitis. Torsion is a surgical emergency with salvage rates exceeding 90% within six hours but dropping below 10% after 24 hours. The standard of care requires testicular ultrasound or immediate urological consultation when torsion cannot be definitively excluded clinically. The 20-hour delay rendered the testicle non-salvageable.

22. B — The catheter remained 13 months beyond the original indication without reassessment. Evidence-based standards require daily necessity assessment and prompt removal when the indication no longer exists. Critically, three CAUTIs during the prolonged catheterization should have independently triggered urgent catheter removal — instead, each infection was treated while the catheter remained, perpetuating the infection source until the fatal urosepsis developed.

23. A — The plaintiff's damages extend far beyond basic ambulation. The permanent loss of competitive running, documented asymmetric gait, phantom limb pain management, lifetime prosthetic costs, residual left knee stiffness, and the profound impact on athletic identity constitute comprehensive damages. The defense's characterization of "adapted well" ignores the full spectrum of documented impairments and their compounding effects on the plaintiff's pre-injury lifestyle.

24. C — The dispensing cabinet restocking failure represents a systems-level breakdown. The hospital's processes should ensure prophylactic antibiotics are available in the OR for every case. The restocking failure — not any individual's real-time decision — created the gap in the infection prevention protocol. The antibiotic was correctly ordered and appropriately timed; the systems failure prevented timely delivery to the point of administration.

25. B — While C5 SCI preserves some proximal upper extremity function, the absence of hand intrinsic function prevents independent performance of tasks requiring dexterity, pinch grip, or grasp release — including most self-care, food preparation, medication management, and household tasks. Published guidelines project 10–16 hours of daily attendant care for this injury level. The defense conflates preserved proximal function with overall functional independence.

26. A — The pharmacy mislabeled the prescription (omitting "weekly") and the pharmacist did not counsel the patient about the critical weekly dosing schedule. Methotrexate daily dosing errors are among the most well-known and dangerous medication errors in pharmacy practice. Both the labeling

error and the absent counseling are independent pharmacy failures — either alone, if corrected, would have prevented the three-week daily overdose.

27. D — Hepatic angiosarcoma is an extremely rare tumor with a very specific, well-documented association with vinyl chloride exposure. Alcohol causes hepatocellular carcinoma — a fundamentally different tumor type — not angiosarcoma. The specific tumor histology effectively distinguishes the competing causation arguments. The defense's attempt to attribute angiosarcoma to moderate social drinking contradicts basic oncological principles.

28. C — The gap between ordered and administered prophylaxis is the critical issue. Three missed doses out of six (50% non-administration) left the patient without adequate VTE protection. The LNC should evaluate whether the patient was educated about VTE risk, what happened with the undocumented dose, and why the surgeon was never notified — which would have allowed consideration of alternative prophylaxis strategies.

29. A — The facility identified very high risk (Braden 9), developed appropriate interventions, but systematically failed to implement them. Repositioning at 4–6 hours instead of the planned 2 hours, a four-week mattress delay, and no nutritional intervention despite albumin of 1.7 g/dL demonstrate planning without execution. Each failure independently increased risk; together they represent a comprehensive prevention breakdown.

30. D — The hospital's failure to ensure immediate tPA availability in the ED represents a stroke readiness systems failure. The 80-minute pharmacy retrieval consumed time-dependent treatment benefit that could not be recovered. The physician, laboratory, and imaging teams performed efficiently — the delay was entirely attributable to the medication not being stocked where it was needed for this time-critical intervention.

31. C — Multi-level bilateral injections clinically justified in only 25% of cases — with 75% having single-level pathology — combined with physicians' financial interest in the facility raises concerns about medically unnecessary procedures driven by revenue. The False Claims Act (billing for unjustified procedures) and the Stark Law (self-referral to an owned facility) are both potentially implicated.

32. B — A pathology report showing adenocarcinoma with positive margins and lymphovascular invasion demands immediate surgical referral. The gastroenterologist either failed to review the results or misrepresented them by telling the patient they were "benign." The 20-month delay allowed a

potentially curable local malignancy to progress to Stage III regional disease with lymph node metastases — fundamentally changing the staging, treatment, and prognosis.

33. D — Bilateral CTS does not disprove occupational causation when the occupational exposure is itself bilateral. Dental hygienists use both hands symmetrically during instrumentation for 7 hours daily. Bilateral CTS is the expected injury pattern for symmetrically demanding hand-intensive work. The IME physician's "constitutional" conclusion based on bilateral involvement contains a fundamental logical error that ignores the bilateral nature of the exposure.

34. C — Perioral tingling, Chvostek's sign, and carpopedal spasm after thyroidectomy are classic early signs of hypocalcemia — a recognized, potentially life-threatening complication requiring immediate serum calcium measurement and physician notification. The eight-hour delay allowed a treatable electrolyte emergency to progress to a generalized seizure from critically low calcium (5.4 mg/dL). These findings demand immediate assessment regardless of time of day.

35. B — The residual Achilles impairments specifically disable the biomechanical functions essential to professional basketball officiating — rapid directional changes, sprinting, and sustained court movement. The plaintiff's pre-injury income from professional officiating should be compared to recreational officiating pay, but the damages must also account for the non-economic loss of a specific professional athletic career and lifestyle.

36. A — The psychiatrist monitored lithium levels but failed to monitor renal function — a separate, independent prescribing obligation. Lithium's documented nephrotoxicity requires periodic creatinine and eGFR assessment at least every six months. The two-year monitoring gap allowed eGFR to decline from 90 to 24 (stage 4 CKD) undetected. Routine monitoring would have detected the decline and prompted dose adjustment or drug substitution before advanced kidney disease developed.

37. C — The metallurgical impurity was specific to a particular manufacturing lot, making the affected screws different from the product as designed. The manufacturer's recall acknowledging the lot-specific impurity confirms a production-level defect rather than an inherent design flaw. A properly manufactured screw from the same design would not have contained the impurity or experienced premature fatigue failure.

38. B — The surgeon's failure to communicate posterior approach hip precautions to the physical therapy team created a gap between the surgical plan and the rehabilitation plan. The posterior approach

has specific precautions (no flexion >90°, no internal rotation, no adduction past midline) that differ from other approaches. The therapist's ignorance of these precautions directly caused the foreseeable dislocation — the communication failure was the root cause.

39. A — Three independent safety barriers failed: the physician overrode the allergy alert without valid justification (amoxicillin has significant cross-reactivity with penicillin in anaphylaxis patients), the pharmacist did not verify the override, and the nurse did not check allergies before administration. Each barrier was independently designed to prevent this event. The cascade of three simultaneous failures allowed the documented severe allergy to cause a preventable reaction.

40. D — A negative upper GI series does not definitively exclude a staple line leak — sensitivity is imperfect for bariatric leaks. When clinical signs persist and worsen (tachycardia, fever, rising WBC, Kehr's sign) despite a negative contrast study, CT is required. Attributing persistent concerning signs to "post-surgical stress response" without further workup ignores the clinical reality that contrast studies can miss contained leaks.

41. B — The non-integrated clinical and dietary systems created a communication gap where the correct diet order existed in the EHR but was never transmitted to the kitchen. The eight-day persistence of the incorrect diet without detection demonstrates the absence of any reconciliation process between the two systems. The aspiration event was a foreseeable consequence of this persistent, undetected systems gap.

42. A — The operative report's silence on the critical view of safety combined with the pathology report's finding of bile duct tissue in the specimen provides dual evidence. The CVS documentation absence suggests the standard safety technique was not performed, and the pathology finding proves the bile duct was transected rather than preserved. Together, these establish both the technical failure and the resulting anatomical misidentification.

43. D — The LNC should evaluate whether the acute lifting injury aggravated the pre-existing degenerative condition — transforming occasional mild discomfort manageable with OTC medications into a disabling condition requiring surgery. The clinical trajectory (acute onset during a specific event, progression to radiculopathy, failure of conservative treatment) supports the work injury as the precipitating cause. Pre-existing degeneration does not preclude occupational aggravation.

44. C — When a polyp with high-grade dysplasia is removed piecemeal, margins cannot be verified, and surveillance guidelines require follow-up at 3–6 months to evaluate for residual tissue. The three-year

recommendation deviated from this standard. The short-interval colonoscopy exists specifically to detect and remove any residual adenomatous tissue before it progresses to invasive cancer — a progression that occurred during the inappropriately long surveillance interval.

45. A — The permanent loss of heat tolerance, grip strength, and fine touch sensation eliminates the specific functions required for professional cooking. Management work requires fundamentally different skills and provides different compensation. The damages must account for both the economic loss at the professional chef level and the non-economic loss of a specialized culinary career built on hands-on precision and creativity.

46. C — The deficiencies — absent ACLS certification, absent ASA assessment, inadequate monitoring (no capnography), and unavailable rescue equipment — represent noncompliance with CMS Conditions of Participation and professional sedation standards. These create systemic patient safety risks during every sedation procedure. If a patient is harmed, the documented regulatory violations constitute strong evidence of institutional negligence.

47. B — Multiple pressure injury prevention failures occurred simultaneously: repositioning gaps of 6–8 hours versus the care-planned 2 hours, a seven-day specialty mattress delay, and no nutritional intervention despite low albumin. These failures collectively demonstrate a systemic breakdown in implementing the prevention strategy demanded by the very high risk score. No single failure explains the outcome — the cumulative effect produced the preventable injury.

48. D — The projected revision timeline (12–15 years) exceeds the claimant's rated life expectancy (10 years). The MSA should include only expenses reasonably expected to occur within the projected remaining lifetime. Including revisions projected beyond the life expectancy would overstate the allocation. The orthopedist's documentation provides clinical basis for eventual need, but the timing falls outside the relevant projection window.

49. C — The surgeon failed to discontinue clopidogrel and failed to consult the cardiologist about safe cessation timing. Guidelines require coordinated multidisciplinary decision-making because premature antiplatelet cessation in stent patients risks catastrophic stent thrombosis. The proper approach balances surgical bleeding risk against coronary thrombotic risk — a decision requiring input from both the surgeon and the cardiologist managing the stent.

50. D — The manufacturer's knowledge of the elevated lead fracture rate (6.3% vs. <1%) for 15 months without issuing a product advisory constitutes a failure to warn. This delay deprived physicians and patients of information needed for informed decisions about monitoring frequency and potential

prophylactic lead replacement. The manufacturer had a duty to communicate the known elevated risk promptly.

51. A — Administering IV contrast without checking renal function in a patient with known CKD (eGFR 24) and without implementing renal protection deviated from the radiological standard of care. The contrast-induced nephropathy was foreseeable and preventable — checking the available creatinine and implementing hydration would have either prevented the contrast administration or reduced the nephrotoxic risk.

52. B — The facility lacked a system to communicate dietary texture modifications to medication staff. No thickened liquid alert existed in the MAR, and no mechanism notified medication nurses about fluid consistency requirements. The nurse administered thin water because the critical safety information was not accessible at the point of medication administration — a predictable gap between dietary orders and medication delivery practice.

53. D — The inadequately rising beta-hCG (18% increase vs. expected 50–100% doubling) combined with absent intrauterine pregnancy created a clinical picture highly suspicious for ectopic pregnancy. This combination at the second visit required definitive intervention — methotrexate or surgical evaluation — not continued observation. Discharging with another 48-hour follow-up plan allowed the ectopic pregnancy to rupture.

54. B — Bilateral involvement does not disprove occupational causation when the exposure is bilateral. Paramedicine involves symmetric bilateral overhead loading (stretcher loading into elevated ambulance compartments) and bilateral forceful upper extremity use (chest compressions). Bilateral shoulder pathology is the expected injury pattern. The IME's "constitutional" conclusion based on bilaterality ignores that the occupational demands are themselves bilateral.

55. C — The nurse's failure to respond to oxygen desaturation at 82% — by administering supplemental oxygen, alerting the endoscopist, and preparing rescue measures — represents a critical failure to act on acute deterioration during procedural sedation. Continuous monitoring during sedation requires immediate response to vital sign changes. The four minutes of sustained hypoxemia below 88% without any nursing intervention caused the preventable hypoxic event.

56. A — The physician's failure to respond to the INR of 7.1 — by contacting the patient, adjusting the dose, and arranging repeat testing — represents a failure to act on a critical laboratory result. A suprathreshold INR of 7.1 is a medical emergency requiring immediate dose adjustment. The five-day delay allowed treatable anticoagulation excess to progress to a massive intracranial hemorrhage.

57. B — The combination of residual shoulder impairment (limiting overhead loading/unloading) and persistent cognitive symptoms (slowed processing speed, concentration difficulty) must be evaluated against the demands of all commercial driving. Both long-haul and local delivery require sustained concentration, defensive driving judgment, and physical cargo handling. The documented deficits may preclude both driving categories, not just long-haul routes.

58. D — The hospital screened the patient, identified MRSA colonization, but failed to initiate its own decolonization protocol. This gap between risk identification and risk mitigation defeated the purpose of the screening program. Identifying a patient as high-risk and then failing to implement the protocol designed to reduce that risk is a systems failure that allowed a preventable MRSA infection to occur.

59. A — Published SCI clinical practice guidelines specifically recommend these annual specialty evaluations as preventive care. T8 complete injury creates lifelong risk for neurogenic bladder complications, respiratory changes, skin breakdown, musculoskeletal deterioration, and psychological sequelae. Each requires specialist-level monitoring beyond primary care scope. The defense argument ignores the published evidence supporting specialty-level SCI management.

60. C — The 2.5-hour gap between postpartum assessments — when the standard requires every 15–30 minutes — is a critical monitoring failure. The unmonitored interval allowed uterine atony to develop and progress to a 2,200 mL hemorrhage requiring multiple emergency interventions. Timely fundal assessment would have detected the boggy uterus when fundal massage and uterotonics could have prevented the hemorrhagic cascade.

61. A — When a patient reports a lodged foreign body not visualized on direct examination, CT is the recommended imaging modality — fish bones are often radiolucent on plain X-ray. The failure to obtain imaging when the patient reported a foreign body sensation may constitute a diagnostic deviation. The five-day delay allowed the embedded bone to perforate into deep tissues and cause a retropharyngeal abscess requiring emergency surgical drainage.

62. C — The door alarm — the facility's sole elopement prevention system — was disabled by staff due to chronic false alarms from maintenance failure. The facility failed to maintain the alarm system and failed to provide an alternative prevention measure when the alarm was disabled. A documented wandering risk resident was left without any protection from the exact event the alarm was designed to prevent.

63. D — Progressive tachycardia (76 to 132), declining hemoglobin (14.2 to 8.8), and increasing pain after femoral catheterization constitute active hemorrhage — specifically retroperitoneal bleeding. The nurse's failure to recognize these trending changes as warning signs and the three-hour notification delay

represent critical assessment and communication failures. Attributing these findings to "discomfort" and "anxiety" ignores the obvious hemorrhagic trajectory.

64. B — The permanent bilateral wrist impairments eliminate the fine motor precision, sustained endurance, and dynamic range required for concert-level piano performance. Teaching requires different skills, provides different compensation, and cannot substitute for the loss of artistic performance, professional identity, and 20 years of specialized training. The damages must capture both economic and irreplaceable non-economic losses.

65. D — The surgeon's failure to discontinue aspirin before elective cervical spine surgery — when guidelines recommend cessation 7–10 days preoperatively — deviates from the standard of care. Aspirin's antiplatelet effect increases postoperative bleeding risk, and the epidural hematoma is the exact complication guideline-recommended cessation is designed to prevent. The continued aspirin was a modifiable risk factor the surgeon had the obligation to address.

66. A — The near-miss demonstrates both the double-check's value (catching a potentially fatal fivefold concentration error) and the 38% non-compliance vulnerability. In 38% of PCA setups, this same error would reach the patient undetected. The risk management response must address why the double-check is inconsistently performed — the near-miss reveals the safety barrier works when used but is dangerously underutilized.

67. B — The dermatologist violated multiple mandatory FDA prescribing safeguards: no iPLEDGE enrollment, no baseline or monthly pregnancy testing, and no contraception counseling. Each requirement exists specifically to prevent fetal isotretinoin exposure. The cumulative violation of all safeguards — not just one — constitutes clear deviations from the prescribing standard of care that directly caused the foreseeable congenital malformations.

68. C — Two independent standard of care issues exist: the failure to use IONM (which could have detected nerve injury on the first side, prompting modification to prevent bilateral injury) and the pathology finding of parathyroid tissue in the specimen (direct evidence that glands were removed rather than preserved). Each finding represents a separate deviation that should be evaluated independently — the bilateral RLN palsy and the hypoparathyroidism.

69. B — Each worker requires individual evaluation: documented lead levels against the dose-response curve for nephrotoxicity, temporal relationships, whether the renal disease pattern (tubular vs.

glomerular) distinguishes lead nephropathy from hypertensive/diabetic nephropathy, and whether multiple etiologies contributed independently or synergistically. The specific pattern of renal disease may itself help differentiate occupational from non-occupational causes.

70. C — Continuous urinary leakage from the vagina beginning on postoperative day two is not normal after hysterectomy — it is the classic presentation of vesicovaginal fistula requiring prompt evaluation. Dismissing the symptom as "normal leakage" delayed diagnosis by three weeks, during which chronic inflammation and scarring developed. Earlier evaluation with cystogram or dye test would have identified the fistula and allowed simpler repair.

71. D — The nursing home failed to transmit the advance directive with the transfer documentation, depriving the hospital of critical information about the resident's comfort-measures-only wishes. The resulting invasive procedures (catheterization, stent placement) violated the documented directive. The transfer process failed at its most fundamental obligation — ensuring the resident's wishes accompanied the resident to the receiving facility.

72. A — Multiple central line bundle deviations — absent insertion checklist, absent maximal barrier documentation, absent daily necessity assessment, and inadequate dressing frequency — collectively demonstrate systemic noncompliance with infection prevention standards. Each bundle element independently reduces CLABSI risk, and the cumulative effect of multiple absent elements increases risk far beyond what any single deviation would produce.

73. B — The bilateral hand weakness specifically disables functions essential to law practice. While voice-recognition technology may partially compensate for some tasks, the LNC should evaluate whether it can replicate the full scope of manual functions (document annotation, court filings, client paperwork) and whether learning new workflow methods is compatible with any concurrent TBI-related cognitive deficits. Technology is a partial mitigation, not a complete solution.

74. D — Published guidelines recommend glycemic optimization (A1c <8%) and smoking cessation before elective spinal procedures. Proceeding at A1c 9.8% without optimization and without addressing smoking deviates from the preoperative standard of care. Both were modifiable risk factors the surgeon had the opportunity and obligation to address before an elective procedure. The SSI was a foreseeable consequence of operating on an unoptimized patient.

75. C — The routine use of expensive definitive testing (\$700) when qualitative screening (\$15) would be clinically appropriate — combined with the significant revenue differential — is consistent with ordering medically unnecessary testing driven by financial incentive. Published guidelines recommend qualitative screening first, with definitive testing reserved for unexpected or significant results. The blanket definitive testing pattern implicates the False Claims Act.

76. A — Pain out of proportion, progressive paresthesias, and loss of dorsiflexion after total knee replacement are the hallmark progression of acute compartment syndrome. The resident's failure to consider this diagnosis and the eight-hour delay in notifying the attending represent both a diagnostic failure and a communication failure. Compartment syndrome is a surgical emergency where every hour of delay increases permanent damage risk.

77. D — The risk management response should address all three identified systemic factors: mandate intraoperative X-ray for unresolved count discrepancies, address production pressure through leadership reinforcement of count reconciliation, and enforce sign-out checklist completion. These three interventions target the specific contributing factors common to all three retained item events — addressing the systemic vulnerability rather than individual performance.

78. C — Crepitus combined with pain out of proportion and rapidly spreading erythema constitutes a clinical picture mandating immediate surgical consultation for emergent debridement. Necrotizing fasciitis is a surgical emergency where every hour of delay increases tissue loss and mortality. Diagnosing cellulitis and prescribing oral antibiotics when crepitus is present is a critical diagnostic failure with life-or-limb consequences.

79. A — The LNC should evaluate published literature, manufacturer recommendations, and the prosthetist's patient-specific clinical rationale. Bilateral AK amputees place greater stress on prosthetic components than unilateral amputees, typically requiring more frequent replacement. The prosthetist's rationale based on bilateral amputation level, activity, and documented wear patterns provides the clinical basis for the 3–5 year cycle rather than an arbitrary seven-year interval.

80. B — The ophthalmologist's assessment of "mild inflammation" was inconsistent with the clinical findings (eye pain, reduced vision, purulent discharge), which were more suggestive of endophthalmitis. Current guidelines recommend emergent vitreous tap and intravitreal antibiotics when endophthalmitis is suspected. The delay in recognizing the infection and initiating treatment allowed it to progress beyond the point of visual salvage.

81. A — The physician committed the prescribing error (daily instead of weekly), and the pharmacist failed to recognize that daily methotrexate for RA significantly exceeds the standard weekly protocol. Daily methotrexate dosing errors are among the most well-known dangerous medication errors. Both providers had independent opportunities to prevent the error — the physician by writing correctly, and the pharmacist by recognizing the non-standard and dangerous frequency.

82. B — The staffing plan created a foreseeable situation in which the care plan could not be safely executed. Two aides on the unit means one aide alone during the other's break — making required two-person mechanical lift transfers impossible. The institutional staffing decision created the conditions forcing the unsafe solo transfer. The aide faced an impossible choice between violating the care plan and leaving the resident unattended.

83. D — The standard of care requires awareness of the right ureter's position and active measures to identify and protect it during hemicolectomy dissection. The failure to recognize the transection intraoperatively suggests the ureter was not adequately visualized. The defense's "recognized complication" argument does not address whether the surgeon met the standard of care for ureter protection — a complication can be "recognized" yet still result from substandard technique.

84. C — Only medications related to the compensable lumbar spine injury should be included in the MSA. Hypertension, diabetes, and GERD medications are for pre-existing conditions unrelated to the work injury and remain the claimant's personal responsibility under regular Medicare coverage. The MSA protects Medicare's interests for injury-related expenses — not for unrelated pre-existing condition management.

85. A — The radiologist had an obligation under the state breast density notification law and the evolving standard of care to inform the patient about dense tissue and its impact on mammographic sensitivity. Supplemental screening should have been recommended. The 16-month delay during which the cancer grew undetected in dense tissue may have been preventable with appropriate notification and additional screening modalities.

86. C — The bilateral symmetric hearing loss with the 4000 Hz notch is the hallmark audiometric pattern distinguishing noise-induced hearing loss from presbycusis. Presbycusis produces gradual sloping loss without a discrete notch. Combined with 22 years of documented exposure above the OSHA PEL, the audiometric signature provides strong objective evidence of occupational noise-induced hearing loss.

87. B — The failure to monitor B12 during 2.5 years of post-bariatric surgery follow-up allowed a predictable, preventable deficiency to progress to irreversible neurological damage. B12 deficiency after Roux-en-Y is expected due to altered absorption. Guidelines require lifelong monitoring. The standard of care deviation is clear and unambiguous — routine monitoring would have detected the declining levels before neurological damage occurred.

88. D — Both TCE and obesity are established independent kidney cancer risk factors. The presence of one does not eliminate the other's contribution. Each plaintiff requires individual evaluation considering TCE exposure levels, dose-response data, BMI, and whether the factors acted independently or synergistically. Neither factor can be assessed in isolation — the analysis must consider the relative contribution of each.

89. B — The midfoot fusion eliminates the biomechanical function essential for ballet pointe work. Teaching requires different skills, provides different compensation, and does not substitute for the loss of artistic performance, professional identity, and creative expression. The damages must capture both the economic loss and the irreplaceable non-economic loss of a specialized performance career.

90. C — The physician's failure to respond to an INR of 6.5 — by contacting the patient, adjusting the dose, and arranging repeat testing — represents a failure to act on a critical laboratory result. The four-day delay allowed treatable anticoagulation excess to progress to a massive gastrointestinal hemorrhage. Critical INR values demand immediate clinical response — no delay is acceptable.

91. A — The LNC's ethical obligation to objectivity requires honest reporting of all clinically significant findings regardless of which side retained the LNC. Omitting unfavorable findings violates professional ethics and ultimately disserves the attorney by preventing informed case management decisions. The LNC should explain that honest assessment — even when unfavorable — is the most valuable service an objective expert provides.

92. D — The surgeon's standard closure without reinforcement in a patient with three significant risk factors (BMI 46, A1c 10.0%, chronic steroids) deviated from guidelines recommending enhanced closure for high-risk patients. The dehiscence was foreseeable given the unaddressed cumulative risk profile. Guidelines exist specifically because standard closure techniques are inadequate for patients with this level of wound healing impairment.

93. A — The surgeon's failure to respond to observed nerve tension — by repositioning, reducing retraction, or using monitoring — should be evaluated against the standard of care for sciatic nerve protection. The operative report documents that the surgeon was aware of the tension but did not modify

the approach. The immediate postoperative foot drop confirms the nerve was injured during the procedure at the point where tension was observed but not addressed.

94. D — The MSA should project frequency based on the treating physician's clinical rationale. The documented three-month relief with four-month recurrence provides patient-specific justification. Clinical need — documented through treatment response observations — rather than arbitrary utilization guidelines should determine the injection interval. The physician's documentation provides the clinical basis for the projected frequency.

95. C — The hospital's failure to ensure immediate tPA availability in the ED represents a stroke readiness systems failure. The 70-minute pharmacy retrieval delay consumed time-dependent treatment benefit. The physician, laboratory, and imaging teams performed efficiently — the delay was entirely attributable to the medication not being stocked at the point of care for this time-critical intervention.

96. B — Three simultaneous failures of care plan-specified safety measures — elevated bed, lowered side rail, dead alarm — combined with a 1:13 nurse-to-patient ratio demand analysis at both the individual level (each failed measure) and the institutional level (staffing adequacy to detect and correct such failures). The analysis should evaluate how the staffing context contributed to an environment where three safety measures simultaneously failed without detection.

97. A — The LNC should evaluate whether the gastroenterologist's decision to attempt removal of a 3 cm sessile cecal polyp was within the standard of care given its risk characteristics. Current guidelines recommend complex polyps be referred to advanced endoscopists or considered for surgical resection. The decision to attempt removal — not just the perforation — is the central standard of care question. The physician's training level and experience with advanced polypectomy are relevant factors.

98. B — The documented executive dysfunction, memory impairment, impulsivity, and irritability must be evaluated against the demands of any supervisory role. Construction supervision requires planning, multi-tasking, conflict resolution, and consistent interpersonal interactions — functions specifically impaired by the documented TBI deficits. The vocational expert's "simple supervisory tasks" recommendation must be tested against the full spectrum of documented cognitive and behavioral impairments.

99. D — The bilirubin in the high-risk zone on the Bhutani nomogram required follow-up within 24 hours per AAP guidelines. The one-week discharge instruction deviated from this standard, creating a six-day gap during which the rising bilirubin went unmonitored. Timely follow-up would have detected the escalating bilirubin before it reached the neurotoxic level of 33.6 mg/dL, allowing phototherapy or exchange transfusion to prevent the permanent brain damage.

100. C — The dual evidence — operative report silence on the critical view of safety and pathology report finding of bile duct tissue in the specimen — establishes both the failure to use the standard safety technique and the resulting anatomical misidentification. Together, these provide the most compelling evidence of a standard of care deviation, as each independently supports the breach analysis and together they create an irrefutable evidentiary picture.

101. D — Sudden tearing chest pain radiating to the back, a 37 mmHg blood pressure differential between arms, widened mediastinum, and severe hypertension collectively form the classic presentation of acute aortic dissection. The normal ECG and negative troponin actually argue against ACS and should have redirected the differential toward aortic pathology. CT angiography is the standard emergent diagnostic study — the failure to obtain it allowed the dissection to extend to the aortic root, causing fatal tamponade.

102. B — The non-integrated clinical and dietary systems created a communication gap where the correct diet order existed in the clinical record but was never transmitted to the kitchen's meal production system. The nine-day persistence of the incorrect diet without detection demonstrates the complete absence of any reconciliation process between the two systems. The fatal aspiration was a foreseeable consequence of this persistent, undetected systems gap.

103. C — Design defect (the elevated migration rate of 5.1% vs. 0.8% across the product line suggests an inherent fixation mechanism problem) and failure to warn (18-month knowledge of the elevated rate without updating labeling) are both applicable. The combination of a systematic product performance problem with concealed knowledge of elevated failure rates creates dual liability theories that are independently actionable.

104. A — In a woman of childbearing age presenting with right lower quadrant pain, gynecological pathology (ruptured ovarian cyst, ovarian torsion, ectopic pregnancy) must be considered alongside appendicitis. Preoperative imaging — CT or ultrasound — would have identified the ovarian cyst and avoided the unnecessary appendectomy. The failure to obtain imaging before surgery in this clinical context deviates from the diagnostic standard of care.

105. B — The population prevalence of a condition does not determine its etiology in an individual case. Lateral epicondylitis being common in the general population does not prove this nurse's condition is unrelated to seven years of daily repetitive wrist extension. The published occupational health literature identifies these exact demands as established risk factors. The IME physician's reasoning contains a fundamental logical error.

106. A — Three independent standard of care issues exist: the failure to use IONM (which could have detected first-side injury to prevent bilateral palsy), the operative report's silence on RLN identification (suggesting the nerves may not have been visualized), and the parathyroid tissue in the specimen (proving gland removal rather than preservation). Each represents a separate deviation that should be analyzed independently.

107. D — The disparity between insertion compliance (88%) and maintenance compliance (48%) identifies the primary improvement opportunity. Maintenance bundle elements are the ongoing daily practices preventing infection throughout the catheter's dwell time. A catheter may be inserted perfectly but become infected during days or weeks of inadequate maintenance. Improving maintenance from 48% toward benchmark levels would most likely reduce the elevated CLABSI rate.

108. C — The pharmacy mislabeled the prescription (omitting "weekly") and the pharmacist did not counsel the patient about the critical weekly dosing schedule. Methotrexate daily dosing errors are among the most well-known dangerous medication errors in pharmacy practice. Both the labeling error and the absent counseling are independent failures — either alone, if corrected, would have prevented the two-week daily overdose.

109. D — The projected revision timeline (12–15 years) exceeds the claimant's rated life expectancy (9 years). The MSA should include only expenses reasonably expected to occur within the projected remaining lifetime. Including revisions projected beyond life expectancy would overstate the allocation. The orthopedist's documentation provides clinical basis for eventual need, but the timing falls outside the relevant projection window.

110. C — When a polyp with high-grade dysplasia is removed piecemeal, margins cannot be verified. Surveillance guidelines require 3–6 month follow-up to evaluate for residual tissue. The three-year recommendation deviated from this standard, creating a prolonged interval during which residual adenomatous tissue could progress to invasive cancer. The short-interval colonoscopy exists specifically to prevent this progression.

111. A — The LNC's ethical obligation to objectivity requires honest assessment regardless of the retaining party's preference. The finding that care met the standard of care is a valid conclusion that helps the attorney evaluate the case accurately. The LNC cannot change clinical findings to accommodate the attorney's desired outcome — honest assessment is the most valuable service the LNC provides.

112. B — The 14-hour gap in neurological assessments after cardiac surgery — when the standard requires monitoring every 1–4 hours — represents a monitoring failure. Stroke is a recognized complication of CABG, and timely detection through serial neurological checks allows for earlier intervention. The delay in detecting the left-sided weakness may have narrowed or eliminated the window for stroke treatment, potentially worsening the neurological outcome.

113. A — Remote business management still requires planning, financial decision-making, client negotiation, subcontractor coordination, and reliable social judgment — all functions documented as impaired by the plaintiff's TBI. The defense argument assumes technology compensates for cognitive deficits, but the cognitive demands of business management exist regardless of the communication platform used. The LNC should evaluate whether the documented deficits preclude these essential functions.

114. C — Three simultaneous failures of care plan-specified safety measures — elevated bed, lowered side rail, dead alarm — combined with a 1:14 nurse-to-patient ratio demand analysis at both individual (each failed measure) and institutional (staffing adequacy) levels. The multi-level analysis should determine whether the staffing context contributed to an environment where three safety measures simultaneously failed without detection during the nighttime hours.

115. B — The home health nurse's failure to document site assessment, catheter length measurement, and line flushing constitutes multiple deviations from PICC maintenance standards. Each omission is a separate monitoring failure. The cumulative absence of monitoring allowed the developing infection to progress undetected from a local catheter site issue to a systemic MRSA bloodstream infection requiring hospitalization.

116. D — Three standard of care failures converged: no CPAP for a documented OSA patient, no continuous pulse oximetry during opioid PCA, and no opioid-sparing strategies. Current guidelines specifically identify OSA patients receiving opioids as high-risk requiring all three safeguards. Any single safeguard might have prevented the event — the absence of all three created a foreseeable respiratory catastrophe.

117. C — Whole-body vibration from heavy equipment is a well-established occupational risk factor for lumbar disc degeneration and herniation. The normal pre-employment physical at age 30 combined with 20 years of documented WBV exposure and multilevel disc disease developing during the exposure period supports occupational contribution. The IME physician's categorical attribution to "age-related degeneration" ignores the extensive published WBV literature.

118. B — The surgeon repeatedly dismissed persistent symptoms over two weeks without diagnostic workup. An elevated WBC at the third visit should have prompted imaging. The failure to evaluate for post-surgical complications allowed the abscess to enlarge to a size requiring percutaneous drainage and six weeks of IV antibiotics — outcomes that may have been avoided with earlier detection and less invasive treatment.

119. D — The consistently elevated relative risks support general causation, but the high background rate of spontaneous abortion (15–20%) makes individual causation analysis essential. Each plaintiff requires evaluation of glycol ether exposure levels, timing relative to conception and pregnancy loss, exposure duration, and exclusion of individual risk factors. General causation cannot be automatically applied to every exposed individual.

120. A — Current guidelines recommend capnography for moderate to deep sedation because it detects hypoventilation significantly earlier than pulse oximetry. The well-documented oxygen reservoir effect delays pulse oximetry detection by 3–4 minutes after hypoventilation onset when supplemental oxygen is used. The facility's reliance on oximetry alone — without capnography — should be evaluated against the current standard of care.

121. D — Ordering the same testing panel for all patients regardless of clinical indication — ankle sprains, insect bites, prescription refills — without individualized medical necessity is consistent with medically unnecessary services driven by revenue. The template statement "per clinic protocol" does not constitute individualized clinical justification. The False Claims Act is implicated by submission of claims for tests lacking patient-specific medical necessity.

122. A — Published guidelines recommend A1c below 8% before elective spinal procedures. Proceeding at A1c 10.3% without optimization deviates from the preoperative standard of care. The A1c was a modifiable risk factor the surgeon had the opportunity and obligation to address. The SSI was a foreseeable consequence of operating on a patient with documented poorly controlled diabetes.

123. B — The permanent bilateral wrist impairments eliminate the fine motor function, grip strength, and repetitive stamina required for professional drumming. Music production requires fundamentally different skills and provides different compensation. The damages must capture both the economic loss and the irreplaceable non-economic loss of artistic live performance, professional identity, and creative expression built over a 20-year career.

124. C — The psychiatrist monitored lithium levels but failed to monitor renal function — a separate, independent prescribing obligation. Lithium's nephrotoxicity requires periodic creatinine and eGFR

assessment at least every six months. The 2.5-year monitoring gap allowed eGFR to decline from 88 to 22 (stage 4 CKD) undetected. Routine monitoring would have detected the decline and prompted dose adjustment before advanced kidney disease developed.

125. B — The pharmacy consultant identified the monitoring gap and recommended action, but the recommendation was filed in the chart without any system ensuring physician review. The gap between identifying a critical safety finding and ensuring it reaches the responsible physician represents a systemic failure. The hemorrhagic event four weeks later was a foreseeable consequence of this communication gap.

126. D — The dispensing cabinet restocking failure represents a systems-level breakdown. The hospital's processes should ensure prophylactic antibiotics are available for every surgical case. The restocking failure — not any individual's real-time decision — created the gap in infection prevention. The antibiotic was correctly ordered; the systems failure prevented timely delivery during the critical pre-incision period.

127. C — The plaintiff's productive activities represent functional capacities impaired by the TBI. Retirement does not eliminate non-economic damages. The analysis should include the impact of losing purposeful activities (volunteering, writing, board service) and any economic components (book income, board stipends), plus the cost of assistance for tasks previously performed independently.

128. A — The surgeon's failure to communicate posterior approach hip precautions to the physical therapy team created a gap between the surgical plan and rehabilitation plan. The posterior approach has specific precautions (no flexion >90°, no internal rotation, no adduction past midline). The therapist's ignorance directly caused the foreseeable dislocation — the communication failure was the root cause.

129. C — Three independent safety barriers failed: the physician overrode the allergy alert without valid justification (amoxicillin has significant penicillin cross-reactivity in anaphylaxis patients), the pharmacist did not verify the override, and the nurse did not check allergies before administration. Each barrier was designed to independently prevent this event. All three failed simultaneously, allowing the documented allergy to cause a preventable reaction.

130. A — The failure to monitor iron levels during two years of post-bariatric follow-up allowed a predictable deficiency to progress to severe symptomatic anemia (hemoglobin 7.0 g/dL). Iron deficiency after Roux-en-Y is expected due to altered absorption. Guidelines require lifelong monitoring. Routine iron studies would have detected declining levels before the deficiency became clinically severe.

131. D — The IARC Group 1 classification applies to all asbestos forms including chrysotile. The scientific consensus recognizes chrysotile can cause mesothelioma, particularly at sustained high-level manufacturing exposures. Critically, this facility used both chrysotile and amosite — making the relative potency argument less relevant when workers were exposed to both fiber types simultaneously throughout their employment.

132. B — The hospital screened the patient, identified MRSA colonization, but failed to initiate its own decolonization protocol. This gap between risk identification and risk mitigation defeated the screening program's purpose. Identifying a patient as high-risk through screening and then failing to implement the protective protocol designed to address that risk is a systems failure that allowed a preventable infection.

133. D — The absence of pre-injury psychiatric history, temporal onset of symptoms related to the assault, psychiatric evaluation documenting DSM-5 criteria with the assault as the traumatic event, and the severity of the event (violent assault with LOC) collectively establish the causal relationship. The clinical evidence independently supports occupational causation through multiple converging data points.

134. B — Progressive tachycardia (72 to 130), declining hemoglobin (13.5 to 8.6), and increasing pain after femoral catheterization constitute active hemorrhage. Attributing these trending changes to "discomfort" and "anxiety" without recognizing them as warning signs and delaying physician notification for three hours represents a critical assessment and communication failure that allowed the hematoma to expand.

135. A — The life care plan must project full professional attendant care costs regardless of family caregiving. The plan reflects actual care needs independent of the family's current ability and willingness. Family members may become unable to continue due to aging, health changes, or burnout. Ventilator-dependent patients require trained respiratory caregivers — not untrained family members.

136. C — The three-hour gap between postpartum assessments — when the standard requires every 15–30 minutes — is a critical monitoring failure. The unmonitored interval allowed uterine atony to develop and progress to a 2,100 mL hemorrhage. Timely fundal assessment would have detected the boggy uterus when fundal massage and uterotonics could have prevented the hemorrhagic cascade.

137. A — The standard of care requires awareness of the right ureter's anatomical position and active protective measures during hemicolecotomy dissection. The failure to recognize the transection intraoperatively suggests the ureter was not adequately visualized. The "recognized complication"

defense does not address whether the surgeon met the standard of care for ureter identification and protection.

138. C — The multiple USP 797 deficiencies represent systemic noncompliance with sterile compounding standards. The temporal association between the deficient practices (inadequate air quality, absent competency assessments, exceeded beyond-use dating, absent quality testing) and the patient infections supports a causal connection. Six patients with bloodstream infections traced to contaminated IV medications demonstrates the clinical consequences of compounding failures.

139. B — Voice-recognition technology may partially compensate for some tasks, but the LNC should evaluate whether it can replicate the full scope of manual functions required for law practice (document annotation, court filings, client paperwork). Additionally, whether learning new workflows is compatible with any concurrent TBI-related cognitive deficits must be assessed. Technology provides partial mitigation but may not constitute a complete vocational solution.

140. D — A negative upper GI series does not definitively exclude a staple line leak — sensitivity is imperfect for bariatric leaks. When clinical signs persist and worsen (tachycardia, fever, rising WBC, Kehr's sign) despite a negative contrast study, CT is required. Attributing worsening signs to "post-surgical stress" without further investigation ignores the possibility of a missed contained leak.

141. B — The facility lacked a system to communicate dietary texture modifications to medication staff. The absent MAR alert and absent notification mechanism created a predictable gap between the dietary order and medication administration practice. The nurse administered thin water because the critical safety information was not accessible at the point of medication administration.

142. D — Pain out of proportion, progressive paresthesias, and loss of dorsiflexion are the hallmark progression of acute compartment syndrome. The resident's failure to consider this diagnosis and the nine-hour delay in notifying the attending represent both a diagnostic failure and a communication failure. Compartment syndrome is a surgical emergency where every hour of delay increases permanent damage risk.

143. C — The manufacturer's knowledge of the elevated catheter fracture rate (5.5% vs. <0.5%) for 16 months without issuing a safety communication constitutes a failure to warn. This delay deprived oncologists and patients of information needed for informed decisions about device selection, monitoring frequency, and potential prophylactic device exchange.

144. A — The physician's failure to respond to the critically elevated INR of 6.9 — by contacting the patient, holding warfarin, and arranging repeat testing — represents a failure to act on a critical laboratory result. A supratherapeutic INR of 6.9 is a medical emergency. The five-day delay allowed treatable anticoagulation excess to progress to a fatal intracranial hemorrhage.

145. C — Performing multi-level bilateral injections when 80% of patients have single-level pathology — combined with the physicians' financial interest in the facility — raises concerns about medically unnecessary procedures driven by revenue. The False Claims Act (billing for unjustified procedures) and the Stark Law (self-referral to an owned facility) are both implicated by this pattern.

146. A — The ophthalmologist's assessment of "mild inflammation" was inconsistent with the clinical findings (pain, reduced vision, purulent discharge), which were more suggestive of endophthalmitis. Current guidelines recommend emergent vitreous tap and intravitreal antibiotics when endophthalmitis is suspected. The delay allowed the infection to progress beyond the point of visual salvage.

147. D — Adenocarcinoma with positive margins and lymphovascular invasion demands immediate surgical referral for segmental resection with lymph node evaluation. The gastroenterologist either failed to review the pathology or misrepresented the findings. Characterizing invasive cancer as "benign" allowed a potentially curable malignancy to progress to Stage III regional disease over 20 months.

148. B — Bilateral de Quervain's does not disprove occupational causation when the occupational exposure is itself bilateral. Dental hygienists use both hands symmetrically during instrumentation, making bilateral hand pathology the expected injury pattern. The IME physician's "constitutional" conclusion based on bilateral involvement contains a fundamental logical error that ignores the bilateral nature of the occupational demands.

149. D — Crepitus combined with pain out of proportion, rapidly spreading erythema with dusky discoloration, and hemodynamic instability mandates immediate surgical consultation for emergent debridement. Necrotizing fasciitis is a surgical emergency where every hour of delay increases tissue loss and mortality. The 14-hour delay before surgical consultation allowed the tissue destruction to progress to the point requiring amputation.

150. B — Bilateral BKA patients do not wear prostheses at all times — they are removed for bathing, sleeping, rest, and when limb soreness prevents use. Wheelchair accessibility is needed during all non-prosthetic periods. Home modifications are necessary regardless of ambulatory ability with prostheses because the plaintiff requires wheelchair accessibility during significant portions of each day.

151. A — The surgeon's failure to discontinue aspirin before elective cervical surgery — when guidelines recommend 7–10 day cessation — deviates from the standard of care. Aspirin's antiplatelet effect increases postoperative bleeding risk, and the epidural hematoma is the exact complication the guideline-recommended cessation is designed to prevent. The continued aspirin was a modifiable risk factor.

152. C — The facility identified very high risk (Braden 9), developed appropriate interventions, but failed to implement them. Repositioning at 4–6 hours versus the planned 2 hours, a three-week mattress delay, and no nutritional intervention despite albumin of 1.8 g/dL demonstrate planning without execution. Each failure independently increased risk; together they represent a comprehensive prevention breakdown.

153. A — The surgeon's failure to respond to observed nerve tension — by repositioning, reducing retraction, or using monitoring — should be evaluated against the standard of care. The operative report documents the surgeon was aware of the tension but did not modify the approach. The immediate postoperative foot drop confirms the nerve was injured at the point where tension was noted but not addressed.

154. D — Only medications related to the compensable lumbar injury should be included in the MSA. The hypertension, diabetes, and hypothyroidism medications are for pre-existing conditions unrelated to the work injury. These remain the claimant's personal responsibility under regular Medicare coverage. The MSA protects Medicare's interests for injury-related expenses only.

155. B — The failure to monitor B12 during three years of follow-up — when guidelines require lifelong monitoring — allowed a predictable deficiency to progress to irreversible neurological damage. B12 deficiency after Roux-en-Y is expected due to altered absorption. The standard of care deviation is clear — routine monitoring would have detected declining levels before subacute combined degeneration developed.

156. C — Alert fatigue from scanner failures, absent barcodes, and time pressure has conditioned nurses to override alerts reflexively. The 24% override rate undermines the BCMA system's ability to catch genuine errors. The nurse who overrode the legitimate alert responded rationally based on the system's poor signal-to-noise ratio. The root cause is system reliability and workflow design, not individual judgment.

157. B — The dermatologist violated multiple mandatory FDA prescribing safeguards for isotretinoin: no iPLEDGE enrollment, no pregnancy testing, and no contraception counseling. Each requirement

exists specifically to prevent fetal exposure to a known teratogen. The cumulative violation of all safeguards constitutes clear standard of care deviations that directly caused the foreseeable congenital malformations.

158. C — The documented residual shoulder impairments — limited overhead reach and sustained elevation capacity — must be evaluated against the specific physical demands of commercial painting. Whether the proposed supervisory role is actually compatible with the plaintiff's functional limitations should be determined by clinical evidence, not by the defense's assumption that supervision eliminates all physical demands.

159. D — When a patient reports a lodged foreign body not visualized on direct examination, CT is the recommended imaging modality — fish bones are often radiolucent on plain X-ray. The failure to obtain imaging when the patient reported a foreign body sensation may constitute a diagnostic standard of care deviation. The five-day delay allowed the bone to perforate and cause a life-threatening retropharyngeal abscess.

160. A — Published SCI guidelines specifically recommend these annual specialty evaluations as part of preventive care. T6 complete injury creates lifelong risk for neurogenic bladder complications, respiratory compromise, skin breakdown, musculoskeletal deterioration, and psychological sequelae. Each requires specialist-level monitoring beyond primary care scope. The defense argument ignores the published evidence.

161. C — Continuous urinary leakage from the vagina beginning on postoperative day two is not normal after hysterectomy — it is the classic presentation of vesicovaginal fistula. Dismissing the symptom as "normal leakage" delayed diagnosis by three weeks, during which chronic inflammation and scarring developed that complicated the eventual repair. Earlier evaluation would have allowed simpler repair.

162. A — The nursing home failed to transmit the advance directive with the transfer documentation, depriving the hospital of critical information about the resident's comfort-measures-only wishes. The resulting invasive procedures (catheterization, stent placement) violated the documented directive. The transfer process failed at its most fundamental obligation — ensuring the resident's wishes accompanied the resident.

163. B — The surgeon's unilateral decision to discontinue clopidogrel without consulting the cardiologist deviated from the standard of care requiring multidisciplinary coordination. Drug-eluting stents have higher thrombosis risk with premature antiplatelet cessation. The acute MI from stent

thrombosis was a foreseeable consequence of uncoordinated cessation — the proper approach requires balancing bleeding and thrombotic risks with input from both specialists.

164. D — Multiple federal statutes are implicated: the False Claims Act (billing for unnecessary imaging), the Anti-Kickback Statute (revenue percentage to the facility constituting remuneration for access), the Stark Law (if the ordering physician has a financial relationship), and state medical practice act violations (signing orders without examination). The scheme involves blanket testing, pre-signed orders, template reports, and financial incentives.

165. B — The hospital screened the patient, identified MRSA colonization, but failed to initiate its own decolonization protocol. This gap between risk identification and risk mitigation defeated the screening program's purpose. Screening without action is worse than not screening at all — it creates a false sense of safety while providing no actual protection.

166. D — Published evidence demonstrates that occupational asthma from sensitizing agents frequently persists after exposure cessation — often permanently. The immunological sensitization producing airway hyperresponsiveness may not resolve when exposure stops. The insurer's assumption that removal guarantees recovery is not supported by the medical literature, and the ongoing treatment is medically necessary.

167. A — The patient's progressive symptoms demonstrated multiple "six P's" of acute limb ischemia — pain, pallor, pulselessness, paresthesias. Attributing ischemic symptoms to "vasospasm" and ordering warm blankets for four hours represents a diagnostic failure. The delay allowed reversible ischemia to progress to permanent nerve injury. Post-catheterization limb ischemia is a vascular emergency requiring immediate intervention.

168. C — Relying solely on a cognitively impaired patient's verbal denial of implants — without independently verifying through the available medical record — represents a failure in MRI safety screening. The pacemaker was clearly documented in the EHR. The protocol should include mandatory record review for all patients, particularly those with known cognitive impairment whose verbal responses may be unreliable.

169. A — A solid intratesticular mass suspicious for malignancy on ultrasound requires urgent urological referral. Testicular cancer is one of the most curable solid tumors when caught early, but a seven-week wait for a likely malignancy is clinically inappropriate. The delay allowed disease progression from potentially localized to metastatic with retroperitoneal lymph node involvement — fundamentally changing staging, treatment intensity, and prognosis.

170. C — The facility's staffing created a foreseeable situation in which the care plan could not be safely implemented. Scheduling only two aides meant that during one aide's break, the remaining aide could not perform required two-person mechanical lift transfers. The institutional staffing decision — not the individual aide's choice — created the conditions forcing the unsafe solo manual transfer that caused the fragility fracture.

171. D — Perioral tingling, Chvostek's sign, and muscle cramping after thyroidectomy are classic early signs of hypocalcemia — a recognized, potentially life-threatening complication. These findings require immediate serum calcium measurement and physician notification. The eight-hour delay allowed a treatable electrolyte emergency to progress to a seizure from critically low calcium. Post-thyroidectomy hypocalcemia signs demand immediate assessment regardless of time of day.

172. B — The permanent loss of heat tolerance, grip strength, and fine touch sensation constitutes both an economic loss (chef-level earning capacity) and a non-economic loss (inability to practice a skilled craft defining the plaintiff's professional identity). Management requires fundamentally different skills and provides different compensation. The damages must capture both the economic differential and the irreplaceable loss of a specialized culinary career.

173. C — The surgeon's dual failures — not discontinuing clopidogrel and not consulting the cardiologist — deviated from the standard of care requiring coordinated multidisciplinary decision-making. The proper approach balances surgical bleeding risk against coronary thrombotic risk — a decision requiring input from both the surgeon and the cardiologist managing the stent. The epidural hematoma was a foreseeable consequence of operating on an anticoagulated patient.

174. B — The risk management response should address all three identified systemic factors: mandate intraoperative X-ray for unresolved count discrepancies (rather than recounting alone), address production pressure through leadership reinforcement of count reconciliation, and enforce sign-out checklist completion. These three interventions target the specific contributing factors common to all three retained item events.

175. A — The physician committed the prescribing error (daily instead of weekly), and the pharmacist failed to recognize that daily methotrexate for RA significantly exceeds the standard weekly protocol. Daily methotrexate dosing errors are among the most well-known dangerous medication errors. Both providers had independent opportunities to catch and prevent this error — the physician by writing correctly, and the pharmacist by recognizing the non-standard frequency.

176. D — Both TCE and obesity are established independent kidney cancer risk factors. The presence of one does not eliminate the other's contribution. Each plaintiff requires individual analysis of TCE exposure levels, dose-response data, BMI and obesity duration, and whether the factors acted independently or synergistically. Neither factor can be evaluated in isolation — the analysis must assess relative contributions individually.

177. A — Multiple central line bundle deviations — absent insertion checklist, absent maximal barrier documentation, absent daily necessity assessment, and inadequate dressing frequency — collectively demonstrate systemic noncompliance with infection prevention standards. Each element independently reduces CLABSI risk, and the cumulative effect of multiple absent elements increases the risk far beyond what any single deviation would produce.

178. D — The radiologist had an obligation under state law and the evolving standard of care to notify the patient about dense tissue and its impact on mammographic sensitivity. Supplemental screening should have been recommended. The 18-month delay during which the cancer grew undetected in dense tissue may have been preventable with appropriate notification and additional screening modalities such as ultrasound or MRI.

179. C — The patient was discharged with an Aldrete score of 7 when the facility's threshold is 9 — an objective, documented deviation from discharge criteria indicating inadequate anesthesia recovery. The Aldrete score quantifies post-anesthesia recovery, and a score below threshold signals the patient has not sufficiently recovered for safe discharge. The respiratory arrest 30 minutes after arriving home was a foreseeable consequence of premature discharge.

180. B — The bilateral symmetric hearing loss with the characteristic 4000 Hz notch is the hallmark audiometric pattern distinguishing noise-induced hearing loss from presbycusis (which produces gradual sloping loss without a discrete notch). Combined with 20 years of documented exposure at 92–98 dB (above the OSHA PEL), the audiometric signature provides strong objective evidence of occupational noise-induced hearing loss.

181. C — The pathology finding of bile duct tissue attached to the specimen combined with the operative report's silence on the critical view of safety provides dual evidence. The pathology proves the bile duct was transected (anatomical misidentification), and the absent CVS documentation suggests the standard safety technique was not used. Together, these create the most compelling evidence of a standard of care deviation.

182. B — The non-integrated clinical and dietary systems created a communication gap where the correct diet order existed in one system but was never transmitted to the other. The 12-day persistence of the incorrect diet without detection demonstrates the complete absence of any reconciliation process between the two systems. The fatal choking event was a foreseeable and preventable consequence of this persistent systems gap.

183. A — The surgeon's standard closure without reinforcement in a patient with three significant wound healing risk factors (BMI 45, A1c 10.2%, chronic steroids) deviated from guidelines recommending enhanced closure for high-risk patients. The dehiscence was foreseeable given the unaddressed cumulative risk profile. Guidelines exist specifically because standard techniques are inadequate for patients with this level of wound healing impairment.

184. D — The projected revision timeline (12–15 years) exceeds the claimant's rated life expectancy (8 years). The MSA should include only expenses reasonably expected to occur within the projected remaining lifetime. Including a revision projected beyond the life expectancy would overstate the allocation. The orthopedist's documentation provides clinical basis for eventual need, but the timing falls outside the relevant projection window.

185. A — The LNC should evaluate whether the gastroenterologist's decision to attempt endoscopic removal of a complex polyp (3 cm, sessile, cecal location) was within the standard of care given its risk characteristics. Current guidelines recommend that complex polyps be referred to advanced endoscopists or considered for surgical resection. The decision to attempt removal — not just the perforation — is the central standard of care question.

186. D — The failure to maintain a functional crash cart — dead battery, expired epinephrine, missing BVM — represents a systems failure in emergency preparedness. The shift-based crash cart check policy existed specifically to prevent this scenario. The six-minute resuscitation delay directly caused the hypoxic brain injury. The policy was established but not implemented — a gap between written protocol and actual practice.

187. B — The LNC should explain that opinions on surgical technique during complex spinal fusion require a surgeon expert. The LNCC certification and 18 years of OR nursing experience provide knowledge of perioperative nursing standards — preoperative preparation, intraoperative nursing duties, postoperative monitoring — but do not qualify testimony on intraoperative surgical technique or surgeon decision-making. The attorney should retain a spine surgeon for those specific questions.

188. C — The catheter remaining 12 months beyond the original indication without reassessment deviates from evidence-based standards requiring daily necessity assessment and prompt removal when the indication no longer exists. Each additional day of unnecessary catheterization increases the CAUTI rate by 3–7%. The prolonged unnecessary catheterization directly increased the risk of the infection that progressed to fatal urosepsis.

189. B — The permanent elimination of midfoot motion from the arthrodesis prevents the biomechanical function essential for ballet pointe work. Teaching requires fundamentally different skills, provides different compensation, and does not substitute for the loss of artistic performance, professional identity, and creative expression. The damages must capture both the economic differential and the irreplaceable non-economic loss of a specialized performance career.

190. C — The surgeon proceeded with elective joint replacement without addressing two documented modifiable infection risk factors (A1c 10.0%, active smoking) when published guidelines recommend optimization before elective surgery. Both were modifiable risk factors the surgeon had the opportunity and obligation to address. The MRSA PJI was a foreseeable consequence of operating on an unoptimized patient with two independent infection risk factors.

191. D — The bilirubin in the high-risk zone on the Bhutani nomogram required follow-up within 24 hours per AAP guidelines. The one-week discharge instruction deviated from this standard, creating a seven-day unmonitored interval during which bilirubin rose to the neurotoxic level of 34.2 mg/dL. Timely follow-up would have detected the escalating bilirubin and allowed phototherapy or exchange transfusion to prevent the permanent brain damage of kernicterus.

192. A — The dual evidence — the operative report's silence on the critical view of safety and the pathology finding of bile duct tissue in the specimen — establishes both the failure to use the standard safety technique and the resulting anatomical misidentification. Together, these provide the most compelling evidence of a standard of care deviation. Each finding independently supports the breach analysis, and their combination creates an irrefutable evidentiary picture.

193. C — The convergence of paper-based processes, absent barcode technology, manual weight-based calculations without double-checks, and no independent verification for high-alert medications creates a cumulative risk environment. Four wrong-patient errors in two months confirms a systemic vulnerability rather than random individual failures. The risk management response must address all vulnerabilities simultaneously because each independently increases error probability.

194. D — The physician's failure to respond to the INR of 7.2 — by contacting the patient, adjusting the dose, and arranging repeat testing — represents a failure to act on a critical laboratory result. A supratherapeutic INR of 7.2 is a medical emergency requiring immediate dose adjustment. The four-day delay allowed treatable anticoagulation excess to progress to a life-threatening gastrointestinal hemorrhage.

195. A — Current guidelines recommend capnography for moderate to deep sedation because it detects hypoventilation significantly earlier than pulse oximetry. The well-documented oxygen reservoir effect delays oximetry detection by 3–4 minutes when supplemental oxygen is administered. The facility's reliance on pulse oximetry alone — without capnography — should be evaluated against the current standard of care for procedural sedation monitoring.

196. B — The facility lacked a system to communicate dietary texture modifications to medication staff. The absent MAR alert and absent notification mechanism created a predictable gap between the dietary order and medication administration practice. The nurse administered thin water because the critical safety information — the thickened liquid requirement — was not accessible at the point of medication administration.

197. A — Published guidelines recommend A1c below 8% before elective spinal procedures. Proceeding at A1c 9.9% without glycemic optimization deviates from the preoperative standard of care. The A1c was a modifiable risk factor the surgeon had both the opportunity and the obligation to address before an elective procedure. The SSI was a foreseeable consequence of operating on a patient with documented poorly controlled diabetes.

198. B — The documented executive dysfunction, memory impairment, impulsivity, and irritability must be evaluated against the demands of any supervisory role. Construction supervision requires planning, multi-tasking, conflict resolution, and consistent interpersonal interactions — functions specifically impaired by the documented TBI deficits. The defense vocational expert's "simple supervisory tasks" recommendation must be tested against the full spectrum of documented cognitive and behavioral impairments.

199. D — The surgeon's failure to respond to documented sciatic nerve tension — by repositioning the limb, reducing retraction, or employing nerve monitoring — should be evaluated against the standard of care for nerve protection during hip arthroplasty. The operative report documents the surgeon was aware of the tension but did not modify the approach. The immediate postoperative foot drop confirms the nerve was injured at the point where tension was observed but not addressed.

200. C — The inadequately rising beta-hCG (20% increase vs. expected 50–100% doubling) combined with absent intrauterine pregnancy created a clinical picture highly suspicious for ectopic pregnancy. This combination at the second visit required definitive intervention — methotrexate or surgical evaluation — not continued observation. Discharging the patient with another follow-up plan allowed the ectopic pregnancy to rupture, causing hemorrhagic shock.