

# PRACTICE EXAM 12: ASQ CQE SIMULATION

## (175 QUESTIONS)

---

1. A quality engineer is implementing SPC on a multicavity injection molding process with 32 cavities. The current subgrouping strategy takes 5 consecutive parts from the discharge conveyor every 30 minutes. A colleague argues this subgrouping is flawed for a multicavity mold. Why?

- A. Subgroups of 5 are always too small for injection molding process monitoring
- B. Consecutive parts should always be used for SPC subgroups regardless of the production process
- C. Consecutive parts from the conveyor come from different cavities, mixing 32 distinct process streams within each subgroup — this inflates within-subgroup variation, widens control limits, and masks individual cavity problems; rational subgrouping should track cavity identity so cavity-specific issues become visible
- D. The sampling interval of 30 minutes is too frequent for injection molding SPC monitoring

2. A quality engineer is analyzing a system reliability problem. A critical safety system consists of a sensor ( $R = 0.997$ ), a logic controller ( $R = 0.999$ ), and an actuator ( $R = 0.993$ ) arranged in series. The system reliability is  $R_{\text{sys}} = 0.997 \times 0.999 \times 0.993 = 0.989$ . Management requires  $R_{\text{sys}} \geq 0.999$ . The quality engineer proposes adding a redundant actuator in parallel (since the actuator is the weakest link). What is the new system reliability?

- A.  $R_{\text{actuator\_new}} = 1 - (1 - 0.993)^2 = 0.999951$ ;  $R_{\text{sys}} = 0.997 \times 0.999 \times 0.999951 = 0.9960$  — still below the 0.999 target, indicating additional redundancy is needed on other components as well
- B.  $R_{\text{sys}} = 0.997 + 0.999 + 0.993 = 2.989$ , which exceeds 1.0 and indicates an error
- C.  $R_{\text{sys}} = 0.999$  because adding any redundancy automatically achieves the target reliability
- D.  $R_{\text{sys}} = 1 - (1 - 0.997)(1 - 0.999)(1 - 0.993) = \text{essentially } 1.0$ , treating all components as parallel

3. A quality engineer is conducting a designed experiment using a central composite design (CCD) with three factors. The design includes 8 factorial points, 6 axial (star) points, and 5 center points (19 runs). The axial distance  $\alpha = 1.682$  provides rotatability. What specific statistical property does rotatability provide that a facecentered design ( $\alpha = 1.0$ ) does not?

- A. Rotatability ensures all factor main effects are estimated with equal precision
- B. Rotatability eliminates the need for center point replicates in response surface designs
- C. Facecentered and rotatable designs always produce identical response surface predictions
- D. Rotatability provides equal prediction variance at all points equidistant from the design center — the quality of the response estimate depends only on the distance from the center, not the direction; this gives the engineer equal confidence in predictions at every point on a sphere of given radius

4. A quality engineer is analyzing warranty data for an industrial gearbox and discovers that failure times follow a lognormal distribution with parameters  $\mu_{\ln} = 10.8$  and  $\sigma_{\ln} = 0.65$ . The median time to failure is  $e^{\mu_{\ln}} = e^{10.8} \approx 49,021$  hours. The gearbox has a 10,000hour warranty. Using the lognormal CDF, what is the approximate warranty failure percentage?

- A. Approximately 50% because the warranty covers about 20% of the median life
- B.  $Z = (\ln(10000) - 10.8)/0.65 = (9.210 - 10.8)/0.65 = -2.446$ ;  $P(Z < -2.446) \approx 0.0072$  or approximately 0.72% — a very low warranty failure rate indicating excellent reliability during the warranty period
- C. 20.4% because the warranty covers  $10,000/49,021 = 20.4\%$  of the median life
- D. The warranty failure rate cannot be calculated from lognormal parameters without Weibull conversion

5. A quality engineer is implementing lean manufacturing and encounters a situation where the upstream stamping department produces parts in batches of 500 while the downstream welding department consumes parts one at a time at a rate of 50 per hour. The 500part batch creates 10 hours of inventory buffer between departments. What lean principle is violated, and what is the consequence?

- A. The batch size is appropriate because it maximizes stamping press utilization efficiency
- B. WIP buffers between departments are desirable because they protect against supply interruptions
- C. The mismatch between upstream batch production and downstream onepiece consumption violates the flow principle — the 500part batch creates 10 hours of WIP inventory that extends lead time, delays defect detection, consumes floor space, and ties up working capital; reducing batch size through SMED enables better flow alignment
- D. The welding department should increase its consumption rate to match the stamping batch size

6. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's calibration program and discovers that measurement uncertainty is not considered in accept/reject decisions. When a part dimension measures exactly at the specification limit (e.g., measured value = 25.00 mm with USL = 25.00 mm), the part is accepted. Why is this practice potentially incorrect?

- A. Parts measuring exactly at the specification limit should always be accepted by established convention
- B. Measurement uncertainty is only relevant for calibration laboratory accreditation, not production decisions
- C. Measurement uncertainty only matters when the measured value clearly exceeds the specification limit
- D. The measured value has associated uncertainty — the true dimension could be above or below 25.00 mm within the uncertainty band; accepting a part at exactly the limit without guardbanding creates significant probability that the true dimension exceeds the specification, passing nonconforming product

7. A quality engineer is conducting a multivari study on a precision boring operation. The study measures bore diameter at three angular positions (0°, 120°, 240°) at two axial locations (top, bottom) on 6 consecutive parts at 4 times during the shift. Results: temporal = 52%, angular (roundness) = 28%, axial (taper) = 14%, parttopart = 6%. Which variation source should be prioritized and what physical mechanisms typically cause it?

- A. Parttopart variation because it represents raw material inconsistency between blanks
- B. Angular variation (roundness) because it directly affects seal performance in the bore application
- C. All four sources should receive equal improvement resources for balanced variation reduction
- D. Temporal variation (52%) should be prioritized — typical causes in boring include thermal expansion of the spindle/workpiece during the shift, progressive tool wear changing bore diameter, coolant temperature rise reducing cooling effectiveness, or hydraulic pressure drift affecting boring bar extension

8. A quality engineer is implementing a corrective action system and must distinguish between "correction," "corrective action," and "preventive action." A production batch of 200 nonconforming units is identified, segregated, reworked, and reinspected. Which quality system activity has been performed?

- A. A corrective action because the nonconforming product was corrected through rework
- B. A correction — the immediate action to eliminate the detected nonconformity (segregation and rework); no root cause investigation was performed and no systemic changes were implemented to prevent recurrence; corrective action would additionally require determining why the nonconformity occurred and implementing permanent changes
- C. A preventive action because the rework prevented nonconforming product from reaching the customer
- D. All three activities were performed simultaneously through segregation, rework, and reinspection

9. A quality engineer is reviewing a process validation report for a pharmaceutical tablet compression process. The validation tested three compression forces (low, nominal, high of the validated range) and three tablet press speeds (low, nominal, high). All nine combinations produced acceptable tablet weight, hardness, and dissolution. However, all testing used API from a single supplier lot. Production uses API from 4 different qualified suppliers. What validation gap exists?

- A. Singlelot API testing is adequate because all qualified suppliers must meet the identical specification

- B. Only the API supplier's certificate of analysis needs to be reviewed, not additional physical testing
- C. Singlelot validation does not capture lot-to-lot API variation — different suppliers may produce API with different particle size distributions, moisture content, bulk density, and flow characteristics that affect compression behavior and final tablet quality, even when all lots meet the same specification; testing with representative lots from multiple suppliers confirms robust process performance
- D. API lot variation only affects dissolution testing, not weight or hardness measurements

10. A quality engineer is analyzing a control chart and observes that the Xbar chart shows all 30 subgroups within limits with no patterns. However, when the quality engineer constructs a histogram of the 150 individual data points, it reveals a clearly bimodal distribution — two peaks at 49.7 and 50.3 mm. The specification is  $50.0 \pm 0.8$  mm. Why might the Xbar chart fail to detect this bimodality?

- A. Xbar charts are designed specifically to detect bimodal distributions and would always flag them
- B. Bimodal distributions are normal in manufacturing and do not require investigation or correction
- C. Xbar charts and histograms always produce identical conclusions about the data distribution shape
- D. If parts from two populations (e.g., two machines or cavities) are mixed within subgroups, the subgroup averages tend toward the grand mean, masking the two distinct peaks — the chart sees no signal because both population means produce averages within the control limits; the histogram reveals the distribution shape the sequential chart cannot assess

11. A quality engineer is implementing a supplier quality management program and discovers that the organization evaluates suppliers solely on incoming inspection defect rates. A supplier with zero defects delivers 35% of orders late, has ignored 4 corrective action requests, has changed ownership twice in 18 months, and recently lost a key quality engineer. Why is defect-rate-only evaluation dangerously inadequate?

- A. Incoming defect rate is the only quality metric that matters for comprehensive supplier evaluation
- B. Delivery, financial stability, and responsiveness are purchasing concerns outside the quality scope
- C. Only ISO-certified suppliers require multidimensional evaluation beyond defect rate tracking

D. A comprehensive evaluation must include quality (defects, capability, CAPA responsiveness), delivery (ontime, lead time stability), financial health (ownership changes, profitability), service (technical support, communication), and personnel stability — a zerodeflect supplier with chronic late delivery, ignored CAPAs, and financial instability poses critical supply chain risk invisible to defectonly metrics

12. A quality engineer is conducting a designed experiment to optimize a heat treatment process. The  $2^3$  full factorial with 3 replicates (24 runs) yields: Factor A (temperature)  $p = 0.001$ , Factor B (time)  $p = 0.003$ , Factor C (quench rate)  $p = 0.48$ , AB interaction  $p = 0.005$ , AC  $p = 0.72$ , BC  $p = 0.55$ , ABC  $p = 0.88$ . Which terms belong in the final model?

- A. All seven effects should be retained to preserve the complete factorial model structure
- B. Factors A, B, and the AB interaction — both significant main effects and their significant interaction satisfy the hierarchy principle; Factor C is not significant and has no significant interactions, making it a free variable for optimizing nonquality objectives
- C. Only Factor A since it has the smallest pvalue and therefore the strongest effect
- D. Only the AB interaction because it contains more information than either main effect alone

13. A quality engineer is analyzing warranty return data for an automotive transmission component. The Weibull analysis yields  $\beta = 2.2$  and  $\eta = 90,000$  miles. The warranty covers 60,000 miles. Using  $R(t) = e^{-((t/\eta)^\beta)}$ , what is the approximate reliability at the warranty limit, and what percentage of transmissions fail during warranty?

- A.  $R(60,000) = 60,000/90,000 = 0.667$ , indicating a 33.3% warranty failure rate
- B.  $R(60,000) = 0.50$ , indicating that half of all transmissions fail before 60,000 miles
- C.  $R(60,000)$  cannot be calculated without converting to exponential distribution parameters
- D.  $R(60,000) = e^{-((60000/90000)^{2.2})} = e^{-((0.667)^{2.2})} \approx e^{-0.411} \approx 0.663$ ; approximately 33.7% of transmissions fail within the warranty period — a significant warranty liability requiring design or manufacturing improvement

14. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's internal audit program. Audit findings over the past 3 years focus exclusively on documentation deficiencies — missing signatures, incomplete forms, expired revisions — while external auditors consistently find significant process effectiveness gaps. What fundamental improvement is needed?

- A. Documentation-focused auditing is the complete and correct approach for ISO 9001 internal audits
- B. Internal auditors should only verify documentation exists; process effectiveness is the external auditor's job
- C. The audit program must expand to evaluate process effectiveness — whether processes achieve intended outcomes, whether quality objectives are being met, and whether the QMS delivers conforming products and customer satisfaction; surface-level documentation checks miss the fundamental purpose of auditing
- D. Adding more documentation checkpoints to the audit checklist will close the gap with external findings

15. A quality engineer is implementing SPC on a chemical batch process producing one viscosity measurement per batch every 4 hours. After 50 data points on an IMR chart, the quality engineer discovers strong positive autocorrelation ( $\text{lag}1 \ r_1 = 0.85$ ). The chart produces excessive false alarms. What is the fundamental cause?

- A. The measurement system has degraded and produces inconsistent viscosity readings between batches
- B. Standard IMR charts assume independent observations — positive autocorrelation causes consecutive points to follow similar trajectories, creating runs and trends that the chart interprets as process signals; these correlated patterns trigger rules far more frequently than the expected 0.27% false alarm rate because the independence assumption is violated
- C. Autocorrelation has no effect on IMR chart false alarm rates under any data conditions
- D. The false alarms indicate the process is genuinely out of control and each signal requires investigation

16. A quality engineer is reviewing a product design for a medical infusion pump. The design team completed extensive verification testing (meeting all engineering specifications) but minimal validation testing. During a small clinical simulation, nurses made 4 use errors — two selected the wrong flow rate because the display was confusing, and two failed to detect an occlusion alarm because the audible alert was too quiet in a noisy ward. The pump met all functional specifications. What does this reveal?

- A. Verification results override validation findings because verification uses more objective criteria
- B. Verification confirmed the pump meets engineering specifications, but validation revealed the design fails to meet user needs in the clinical environment — a product can pass all specification tests yet be unsafe in actual use when specifications did not adequately capture usability, environmental noise, and human factors requirements
- C. The use errors are irrelevant because the pump passed all functional specification tests
- D. Verification and validation should always produce identical results for any properly designed product

17. A quality engineer is implementing acceptance sampling under ANSI/ASQ Z1.4 with AQL = 1.0%, inspection level II. The organization has been on normal inspection. Ten consecutive lots have been accepted, production is steady, and the responsible authority approves switching. What changes under reduced inspection?

- A. The sample size increases to provide more thorough quality verification under reduced inspection
- B. The sample size remains identical but the acceptance number is increased to allow more defectives
- C. Reduced inspection eliminates all sampling and relies solely on supplier certifications of conformance
- D. The sample size decreases, reflecting confidence earned through 10 consecutive acceptances — but if any lot is rejected, production becomes irregular, or other adverse conditions arise, the organization must immediately return to normal inspection under the switching rules

18. A quality engineer is analyzing a control chart and observes three distinct clusters on the Xbar chart: points 110 near 50.2, points 1120 near 49.7, and points 2130 near 50.1. Transitions between clusters are abrupt. The R chart is stable throughout. What does this stepped pattern indicate?

- A. Normal random variation that occasionally produces apparent clusters by chance
- B. The R chart stability proves the Xbar pattern is not significant and requires no investigation
- C. The three distinct clusters with abrupt transitions indicate assignable causes at each transition point — batchto batch material changes, operator shift changes, tool replacements, or fixture adjustments creating step changes in the process mean; each step represents a new process condition requiring identification
- D. The control limits should be recalculated for each of the three clusters independently

19. A quality engineer is implementing a lean value stream mapping exercise. Total lead time = 25 days, valueadded processing time = 65 minutes. What is the process cycle efficiency, and what does it reveal?

- A.  $PCE = 65/25 = 2.6$  minutes per day of valueadded processing
- B.  $PCE = 65/(25 \times 8 \times 60) = 65/12,000 = 0.54\%$  — over 99.4% of lead time is nonvalueadded, revealing massive improvement opportunity through lean waste elimination
- C. PCE cannot be calculated without knowing the number of individual process steps
- D.  $PCE = 65/(25 \times 24 \times 60) = 0.18\%$ , using 24hour days which provides the most conservative estimate

20. A quality engineer is reviewing a tolerance stackup analysis for a 6component precision assembly. The worstcase analysis shows minimum clearance = 0.02 mm (marginal). The RSS statistical analysis shows minimum clearance = 0.11 mm (comfortable). Before approving the statistical result, what must the quality engineer verify?

- A. The RSS method should always be approved when it shows comfortable margin over worstcase
- B. Worstcase analysis should always be used for precision assemblies with 6 or more components

- C. The RSS calculation only requires that the total number of components exceeds 3 for validity
- D. All component dimensions must be independently manufactured, approximately normally distributed, and reasonably centered within tolerances — if any dimension is systematically biased toward one extreme, or if the assembly has critical functional consequences from exceeding the clearance limit, the statistical assumptions may not hold

21. A quality engineer discovers that the organization's risk register was created 4 years ago and has never been updated. Since then, 5 new products were launched, 7 suppliers changed, a new ERP system was implemented, and the manufacturing facility expanded by 40%. What is the primary concern?

- A. The 4yearold register accurately captures all current organizational risks
- B. Risk registers are strategic documents that only require updating during certification audit preparation
- C. Only the new product risks need to be added; the original identified risks remain valid and unchanged
- D. The register almost certainly does not reflect the current risk profile — new products, supplier changes, ERP implementation, and facility expansion each introduce new risks and alter existing ones; the outdated register provides false security while failing to identify emerging threats from the dramatically changed context

22. A quality engineer is conducting a Gage R&R study for a torque measurement system on safetycritical fasteners. Results: repeatability = 3.5% of tolerance, reproducibility = 2.0% of tolerance, total %GRR = 4.0% of tolerance, ndc = 17. Based on AIAG guidelines, what is the assessment?

- A. The system needs improvement because safetycritical applications require %GRR below 3%
- B. The ndc of 17 is excessive, indicating the measurement system has too much resolution for the application
- C. The measurement system is fully acceptable — %GRR well below 10% with ndc of 17 (far above minimum 5) indicates excellent discrimination and minimal measurement variation; suitable for all quality decisions including SPC, capability analysis, and accept/reject on safetycritical fasteners
- D. The repeatability and reproducibility components must be exactly equal for acceptable systems

23. A quality engineer is implementing a kanban system. Daily demand = 1,000 units, replenishment lead time = 0.25 days (2 hours), safety stock factor = 20%, container size = 50 units. Using  $K = D \times L \times (1 + S) / C$ , how many kanban cards are needed?

A.  $K = 1,000/50 = 20$  cards based solely on daily demand divided by container size

B.  $K = 1,000 \times 0.25 \times 1.20 / 50 = 300/50 = 6.0$ , meaning 6 kanban cards — each authorizing one container of 50 units with the 20% safety factor buffering against demand and lead time variation

C.  $K = 1,000 \times 2.0 \times 1.20 / 50 = 48$  cards using lead time in hours rather than day fraction

D.  $K = 1,000 \times 0.25 / 50 = 5.0$  cards exactly, without any safety stock factor

24. A quality engineer is reviewing a product design incorporating GD&T. A feature control frame specifies position tolerance of  $\varnothing 0.25$  mm at MMC for a hole with size limits 12.00/12.12 mm, referenced to datums A, B, C. The actual hole is produced at 12.08 mm. For an internal feature (hole), MMC = smallest hole = 12.00 mm. What is the total positional tolerance available?

A. 0.25 mm — the stated tolerance applies at all sizes without modification or bonus

B. Only 0.21 mm because the hole being larger reduces the tolerance for internal features

C. The total tolerance cannot be calculated without knowing the mating pin dimensions

D. 0.33 mm — stated tolerance (0.25) plus bonus from MMC departure ( $12.08 - 12.00 = 0.08$ ), totaling 0.33 mm; as the hole departs from MMC toward LMC, additional positional tolerance becomes available because the larger hole has more clearance with the mating pin

25. A quality engineer is implementing errorproofing on an automotive assembly line. The highest risk error (950 ppm) involves installing a polarized electrical connector in the wrong orientation. The connector is physically symmetric and can be inserted either way, but only one orientation provides correct electrical contact. Currently, operators verify orientation visually against a reference photo. Which pokayoke approach is most effective?

- A. Improve the reference photos to higher resolution with better lighting for clearer visual comparison
- B. Add a second operator to doublecheck connector orientation before the housing is sealed
- C. Implement an automated electrical continuity test after assembly that detects reversed connectors
- D. Redesign the connector housing to be physically asymmetric (keyed) so that insertion in the wrong orientation is physically impossible — this prevents the error at the source rather than detecting it after assembly; physical prevention is fundamentally more reliable than any detection method

26. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's approach to design review. Design reviews are oneway presentations where design engineers show slides to management. No crossfunctional participants attend, no checklists evaluate the design against requirements, and no formal action items are tracked. What improvements should the quality engineer recommend?

- A. The presentation format meets all ISO 9001:2015 design review requirements as written
- B. Design reviews should only include the design team and management for intellectual property protection
- C. Transform reviews into structured crossfunctional evaluations with systematic assessment against design inputs, participation by manufacturing/quality/purchasing/service, documented action items with assigned owners, and verified closure — a presentation without structured assessment misses the review's fundamental purpose
- D. Design reviews should be replaced entirely by design verification testing as the sole evaluation

27. A quality engineer is implementing a corrective action for a recurring contamination defect on precision optical surfaces. The 5 Whys reveals: contamination → airborne particles → HEPA filter degraded → filter past service life → no PM schedule for clean room equipment → clean room excluded from facility PM program during installation. What is the systemic corrective action?

- A. Replace the degraded HEPA filter and return to production immediately without further changes
- B. Retrain operators on proper clean room garment and gowning procedures to reduce particle generation

C. Add a final cleaning step after optical assembly to remove any surface contamination before packaging

D. Establish a documented PM schedule for all clean room equipment integrated into the facilitywide PM program — with defined filter replacement intervals based on manufacturer specifications and differential pressure monitoring, assigned responsibilities, and a process to enroll new equipment at installation

28. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's management review and discovers that quality data is presented as monthly averages only — no trend charts, no statistical analysis, no comparison to targets. Defect rates fluctuate between 2.3% and 3.8% monthtomonth, and management asks "are we getting better?" The quality team cannot answer definitively. What analytical improvement should the quality engineer implement?

A. Present data on control charts or run charts that distinguish common cause fluctuation from real changes — this enables evidencebased statements about whether quality is improving, stable, or deteriorating rather than subjective interpretation of random monthtomonth variation

B. Monthly averages are adequate because any change between months indicates real improvement or decline

C. Replace monthly data with annual averages to smooth the confusing random fluctuation pattern

D. Present only the best monthly result each quarter to demonstrate continuous improvement to management

29. A quality engineer is analyzing a process that exhibits positive autocorrelation ( $\rho_1 = 0.83$ ) on an IMR chart. The chart produces excessive false alarms. What alternative monitoring approach should be considered?

A. The excessive signals indicate genuine process instability and every alarm should be investigated

B. Autocorrelation has no effect on any control chart and the current IMR approach is correct

C. Adding more data points to the chart will naturally reduce the autocorrelation over time

D. EWMA charts, timeseries models that remove autocorrelation before charting, or increased sampling intervals that reduce interobservation correlation — these alternatives accommodate correlated data that violates the independence assumption underlying standard IMR charts

30. A quality engineer is implementing a process control plan for a critical aerospace component. The plan specifies Xbar and R chart monitoring with subgroups of 5 every hour. Production rate is 35 parts per hour. Between SPC checks, 35 parts are produced without verification. If the process shifts  $1.5\sigma$  immediately after a measurement, approximately how many suspect parts could be produced before detection?

- A. Zero because SPC provides continuous protection against all process shifts at all times
- B. Exactly 5 parts — equal to the subgroup size that will be measured at the next check
- C. The 35 parts produced between checks represent the maximum exposure — while SPC will likely detect the  $1.5\sigma$  shift within 12 subgroups, the first check may not signal, meaning 3570 parts could be at risk before detection and response
- D. Approximately 175 parts representing 5 hours of production at the theoretical average run length

31. A quality engineer is reviewing a supplier's corrective action response history for a recurring soldering defect. Response 1: retrain operator. Response 2: retrain operator and add supervisor check. Response 3: retrain all operators and post visual aids. Response 4: add management oversight. None resolved the problem. What does this pattern definitively demonstrate?

- A. More intensive training with formal competency certification will eventually resolve the problem
- B. Four failed individual-focused corrective actions prove the root cause is systemic — the solder paste system, stencil condition, reflow profile, or equipment maintenance creates the defect regardless of which individual performs the task; only system-level changes can break the cycle
- C. The supervisor checkpoint approach was correct but needs more time to take effect
- D. The soldering operation should be outsourced to a specialty subcontractor with better capabilities

32. A quality engineer is conducting a process capability study on a precision turning operation. The specification is  $20.00 \pm 0.06$  mm. Data from 40 subgroups of 5 yields  $\bar{\bar{x}} = 19.97$  mm and  $\sigma = 0.015$  mm. Calculate Cp and Cpk.

A.  $C_p = 0.12/(6 \times 0.015) = 1.33$ ;  $C_{PU} = (20.06 - 19.97)/(3 \times 0.015) = 2.00$ ;  $C_{PL} = (19.97 - 19.94)/(3 \times 0.015) = 0.67$ ;  $C_{pk} = 0.67$  — constrained by the LSL because the mean is 0.03 mm below nominal

B.  $C_p = 1.33$  and  $C_{pk} = 1.33$  because the 0.03 mm offset from nominal is negligible

C. Cp and Cpk both equal 2.00 since only the upper specification is relevant for turning operations

D.  $C_p = 1.33$ ;  $C_{pk} = 0.67$  — the process has adequate inherent spread ( $C_p = 1.33$ ) but the 0.03 mm below nominal mean places it closer to the LSL; recentering toward 20.00 mm would improve Cpk dramatically without reducing variation

33. A quality engineer is implementing a risk management system under ISO 14971 for a Class III implantable medical device. The team identifies a risk where a battery seal could fail, allowing electrolyte to contact tissue. Probability is rated "extremely remote." Despite this, why must the risk receive the highest treatment priority?

A. Risks rated "extremely remote" can be accepted without treatment for all medical device classes

B. ISO 14971 treats all risks equally regardless of their severity or probability ratings

C. Battery seal risks are automatically exempt if the battery design has regulatory premarket clearance

D. The consequence of battery electrolyte contacting tissue is catastrophic — potentially fatal; ISO 14971 requires risk reduction as far as practicable for catastrophic consequences regardless of probability because any nonzero probability of a fatal outcome in an implanted device demands the highest priority

34. A quality engineer is analyzing a designed experiment and the team debates whether to add center points to their  $2^4$  factorial (16 runs). Budget allows 20 runs. What two specific benefits do 4 center points provide?

- A. Center points improve the precision of main effect and interaction estimates uniformly across the model
- B. Center points add 4 factorial combinations that enable estimation of fourfactor interactions
- C. Center points detect curvature (if the center response differs significantly from the factorial corner average, at least one factor has a nonlinear relationship requiring response surface methods) and provide replicated observations for estimating pure error, enabling formal ANOVA significance tests in the unreplicated factorial
- D. Center points serve only as calibration verification runs to confirm the measurement system is stable

35. A quality engineer discovers that the organization's nonconforming product disposition has an 80% useasis rate. Most engineering justifications state "within historical production range — no functional impact" without detailed analysis. What two problems does this pattern reveal?

- A. Useasis rates exceeding 75% are normal and expected for mature manufacturing operations
- B. The high rate combined with superficial justifications reveals: (1) the specifications may be tighter than functionally necessary, warranting a specification review; (2) the cursory justifications do not demonstrate thorough engineering analysis of fit, function, reliability, downstream impact, and customer requirement compliance
- C. All engineering justifications signed by a qualified engineer are automatically adequate regardless of depth
- D. Nonconforming product should always be scrapped regardless of engineering analysis results

36. A quality engineer is reviewing a supplier's SPC data and discovers the supplier reports  $C_{pk} = 2.00$  using withinsubgroup  $\hat{\sigma}$ , while the quality engineer calculates  $P_{pk} = 1.10$  using overall  $\sigma$  from all individual measurements. What does this large gap reveal?

- A. The supplier's  $C_{pk}$  calculation is mathematically incorrect and should be recalculated
- B. The quality engineer's  $P_{pk}$  calculation uses an incorrect formula and should match the supplier's  $C_{pk}$

C. Cpk and Ppk always differ by a fixed ratio and the gap has no diagnostic significance

D. The gap reveals substantial between-subgroup variation from process instability — the process has excellent short-term capability within individual subgroups (Cpk = 2.00) but significantly degraded long-term performance (Ppk = 1.10) due to shifts, trends, or assignable causes between subgroups

37. A quality engineer is implementing lean manufacturing and analyzes a workstation where operators spend 30% of their time walking to a central stockroom to retrieve components. The quality engineer proposes relocating high-usage components to point-of-use bins. What lean waste is addressed and what secondary benefits are expected?

A. Only transportation waste is addressed, with no secondary quality or productivity benefits

B. Relocating to point-of-use addresses motion waste (30% time reclaimed) with secondary benefits: reduced WIP, fewer handling-related quality defects from less material movement, improved cycle time, and better operator focus on value-added assembly rather than material retrieval

C. Central stockroom layout should be maintained because it provides superior inventory control visibility

D. Only automated material delivery robots can effectively reduce component retrieval waste in modern plants

38. A quality engineer is reviewing a product reliability test. Fifty units completed a 4,000-hour test with zero failures. Using the chi-square method:  $MTBF_{lower} = 2T/\chi^2(\alpha, 2)$  where  $T = 200,000$  hours and  $\chi^2(0.10, 2) = 4.605$ . What is the demonstrated MTBF at 90% confidence?

A. MTBF = infinity because no units failed during the complete test period

B. MTBF = 4,000 hours because that was the individual unit test duration

C.  $MTBF_{lower} = 200,000/4.605 = 43,431$  hours, which incorrectly omits the required factor of 2

D.  $MTBF_{lower} = 2(200,000)/4.605 = 400,000/4.605 = 86,862$  hours — zero failures establishes a statistical lower bound at 90% confidence, not infinite reliability; larger samples or longer tests would increase this bound

39. A quality engineer is implementing a corrective action system and must determine when a "correction" (immediate fix) suffices versus when a formal "corrective action" (root cause + systemic fix) is required. A single incoming lot fails inspection from a supplier with an 8year zerodefekt record. Investigation reveals a onetime polishing wheel failure already repaired. No other lots affected. What is appropriate?

- A. A full 8D corrective action is required for every incoming material nonconformity without exception
- B. A correction (rejecting the lot) is sufficient — the cause is identified (onetime equipment failure), already corrected, with no recurrence pattern and limited impact; monitoring subsequent deliveries confirms effectiveness; escalation to formal corrective action is warranted only if the problem recurs
- C. No action is needed because the supplier has an exemplary 8year quality track record
- D. The supplier should be immediately disqualified based on this single nonconformity

40. A quality engineer is analyzing a process producing pharmaceutical capsules. Fill weight specification =  $300 \pm 15$  mg. Process data:  $\bar{x} = 306.5$  mg (intentional overfill),  $\sigma = 3.8$  mg. Calculate Cp and Cpk and identify the constraining specification.

- A.  $C_p = 30/(6 \times 3.8) = 1.32$ ;  $C_{PU} = (315 - 306.5)/(3 \times 3.8) = 0.75$ ;  $C_{PL} = (306.5 - 285)/(3 \times 3.8) = 1.89$ ;  $C_{pk} = 0.75$  — constrained by USL because the intentional overfill reduces highside margin
- B.  $C_p = 1.32$  and  $C_{pk} = 1.32$  because deliberate offsets should be excluded from capability calculations
- C.  $C_p = 1.32$ ;  $C_{pk} = 1.89$  because only the lower specification matters for pharmaceutical fill weight
- D.  $C_{pk}$  cannot be calculated for any process with a deliberately offset target value

41. A quality engineer is reviewing a designed experiment and discovers that the response measurement has %GRR = 40% of the response variation. The ANOVA identifies only 2 of 7 tested effects as significant. The quality engineer suspects additional significant effects are being missed. Why is this concern valid?

- A. Measurement error has no effect on designed experiment results regardless of its magnitude
- B. High measurement error inflates all effect estimates equally, making significant effects easier to detect
- C. The high measurement error (40%) adds noise that reduces the experiment's power to detect real effects — truly significant factors may appear nonsignificant because measurement noise masks the signal; improving the measurement system or increasing replicates would recover the lost detection capability
- D. The experiment must be discarded entirely and cannot provide any useful process information

42. A quality engineer is reviewing management review minutes from the past 3 years. Every review produces identical conclusions: "continue monitoring" and "maintain current efforts." No specific actions, resource allocations, or assignments are documented. What is the assessment?

- A. Consistent conclusions confirm the review process is stable and functioning effectively
- B. Management review should produce specific actions only when significant quality problems exist
- C. The review format is compliant because ISO 9001:2015 does not specify required output content
- D. Management review has become a compliance exercise producing no actionable outputs — effective reviews should identify specific improvements, assign ownership with deadlines, allocate resources, and produce different outputs each cycle based on evolving quality data and organizational context

43. A quality engineer is conducting a twoproportion Ztest comparing defect rates between two assembly lines. Line A: 30 defectives out of 1,800 ( $\hat{p}_1 = 0.0167$ ). Line B: 14 defectives out of 1,800 ( $\hat{p}_2 = 0.0078$ ). Pooled proportion  $\hat{p} = 44/3,600 = 0.01222$ .  $Z = 2.42$ . Critical  $Z$  at  $\alpha = 0.05$  (twosided) =  $\pm 1.96$ . What is the conclusion?

- A. Both lines have equal defect rates because both rates are below 2% and within industry norms
- B.  $Z = 2.42$  exceeds 1.96, providing significant evidence that Line A has a higher defect rate (1.67%) than Line B (0.78%) — the quality engineer should investigate equipment condition, operator training, material routing, and process parameter differences between the lines

- C. The test is invalid because both defect rates must exceed 5% for the normal approximation
- D. The result is borderline and should be repeated with larger samples before acting on it

44. A quality engineer is implementing a visual management system in a lean cell. The andon system has been installed for 8 months, but operators rarely use the yellow (warning) signal, skipping directly to red (stop) when problems become critical. What management change enables the early warning function?

- A. The yellow signal should be removed since operators have demonstrated it serves no practical purpose
- B. Operators who fail to activate yellow signals at the appropriate threshold should face disciplinary action
- C. The andon system should be replaced with fully automated monitoring that removes operator judgment
- D. Leaders must respond quickly and supportively when yellow signals are activated — operators avoid early reporting when they perceive it brings criticism or unwanted attention; positive reinforcement for proactive problem detection creates the psychological safety needed for the warning system to function

45. A quality engineer is analyzing warranty data for an automotive component. Failure distribution is exponential with MTTF = 45,000 miles. Warranty covers 36,000 miles. Using  $R(t) = e^{-(t/MTTF)}$ , what percentage fails during warranty?

- A. 80%, because  $36,000/45,000 = 80\%$  of the MTTF has elapsed during the warranty period
- B. 50%, because half of components fail before the MTTF in exponential distributions
- C. Approximately 55.1%, calculated as  $1 - e^{-(36000/45000)} = 1 - e^{-(0.80)} = 1 - 0.449 = 0.551$  — this extremely high warranty failure rate indicates a serious reliability problem requiring immediate design or process improvement
- D. Approximately 55.1%, calculated as  $1 - e^{-(36000/45000)} = 1 - e^{-(0.80)} = 1 - 0.449 = 0.551$

Let me fix this to have distinct options:

45. A quality engineer is analyzing warranty data for an automotive component. Failure distribution is exponential with MTTF = 45,000 miles. Warranty covers 36,000 miles. Using  $R(t) = e^{-(t/MTTF)}$ , what percentage fails during warranty?

- A. 80%, calculated as  $36,000/45,000 \times 100$  using the linear ratio approximation
- B. 50%, because exactly half of all components fail before the MTTF in all distributions
- C. Approximately 55.1%, calculated as  $1 - e^{-(36000/45000)} = 1 - e^{-0.80} = 1 - 0.449 = 0.551$  — over half of components fail within warranty, indicating a serious reliability problem
- D. 0%, because the warranty period is shorter than the MTTF so no failures are expected

46. A quality engineer is implementing TPM and calculates OEE: planned time = 480 min, breaks = 30 min, unplanned downtime = 45 min, ideal cycle time = 1.5 min/part, actual output = 230 parts, rejected = 10. Calculate OEE.

- A.  $OEE = 230/480 = 47.9\%$  based on actual output divided by total scheduled time
- B.  $OEE = (230/480)/230 = 95.7\%$  based solely on the quality rate component
- C.  $OEE = 405/450 = 90.0\%$  based solely on availability calculation
- D. Available time = 405 min. Availability =  $(480-45)/480 = 405/480 = 0.844$ . Performance =  $(230 \times 1.5)/405 = 345/405 = 0.852$ . Quality =  $220/230 = 0.957$ .  $OEE = 0.844 \times 0.852 \times 0.957 = 0.734$  or 73.4%

47. A quality engineer is implementing a measurement system for checking perpendicularity. Specification tolerance = 0.010 mm. Method A (dial indicator on Vblocks) = 36% GRR. Method B (CMM automated program) = 5% GRR. Which provides reliable quality decisions?

A. Method B (CMM) must be selected — Method A's 36% GRR exceeds the 30% maximum threshold, consuming over onethird of the tolerance with measurement uncertainty; for a 0.010 mm tolerance, borderline decisions become meaningless; Method B's 5% provides the accuracy needed for reliable accept/reject determinations

B. Method A is acceptable because dial indicators are the traditional perpendicularity measurement approach

C. Both methods are acceptable since perpendicularity measurements are inherently imprecise

D. Selection should be based on instrument cost, favoring the less expensive dial indicator approach

48. A quality engineer is reviewing a product design for a consumer appliance. The design includes a component requiring a specialized \$18,000 assembly tool, producing a 6% assembly defect rate with a 50second cycle time. A redesigned version assembles by hand with standard tools, reduces defects to 0.2%, and takes 18 seconds — but costs \$0.40 more per unit. Annual volume = 400,000 units. Which design should be selected?

A. The original design because its lower unit cost maximizes production margin per unit

B. The redesigned version costs \$160,000 more annually in material but eliminates the \$18,000 tool, reduces defectrelated costs dramatically (5.8% improvement  $\times$  400,000  $\times$  rework cost), and saves 32 seconds  $\times$  400,000 = 3,556 hours of labor; the total cost of the redesigned version is almost certainly lower when all quality and productivity factors are included

C. Both designs produce equivalent total cost and the selection is purely a manufacturing preference

D. The redesigned component should be rejected because any perunit cost increase is unacceptable

49. A quality engineer is implementing a riskbased calibration interval adjustment program. A precision micrometer used 40 times daily on safetycritical aerospace components has a 12month interval. The last 4 calibrations show asfound bias trending: 0.001, 0.002, 0.003, 0.004 mm toward tolerance. What should the quality engineer recommend?

A. Maintain 12month interval because the instrument has passed all four consecutive calibrations

- B. Extend to 18 months because consistent passing results demonstrate exceptional instrument stability
- C. Only adjust intervals after an instrument actually fails calibration, never based on trending data
- D. Shorten the interval — the progressive drift (0.001→0.004 mm) indicates the instrument will likely exceed tolerance before the next 12month calibration; for 40 daily uses on safetycritical aerospace parts, the interval must be shortened to ensure continued intolerance operation

50. A quality engineer discovers that the organization's quality improvement activities are owned exclusively by the quality department. No crossfunctional teams exist. Production, engineering, and maintenance view quality improvement as solely the quality department's responsibility. What principle is violated?

- A. The quality department should own all improvement projects because they have specialized expertise
- B. Total employee involvement — quality improvement is a shared responsibility across all functions; without crossfunctional participation, the organization misses improvement opportunities where the quality department has limited expertise, influence, and direct access to process knowledge
- C. Crossfunctional teams are only required for formal Six Sigma projects, not general improvements
- D. Only management should participate in quality improvement decisions alongside the quality department

51. A quality engineer is analyzing reliability test data. One hundred units were tested. By 1,000 hours, 15 failed. By 2,000 hours, 10 more failed. By 3,000 hours, 5 more failed. The decreasing failure count per interval indicates which behavior?

- A. A constant failure rate because the total failure count is still increasing over time
- B. A decreasing failure rate consistent with infant mortality — early weak units fail first, and the surviving population becomes progressively more reliable; consistent with Weibull  $\beta < 1$  where manufacturing defects cause early failures that thin out the vulnerable subpopulation
- C. An increasing failure rate consistent with wearout degradation mechanisms accelerating over time

D. A bathtubshaped failure rate with all three phases visible in this 3,000hour test window

52. A quality engineer is reviewing a product FMEA for an automotive brake component. Severity = 10 (loss of braking), Occurrence = 2, Detection = 2. RPN = 40. Under the AIAG/VDA Action Priority method, what priority should this failure mode receive?

A. The low RPN of 40 correctly indicates minimal risk requiring no further action or verification

B. Only failure modes with RPN exceeding 100 require any action under the AP framework

C. The Occurrence and Detection ratings of 2 adequately compensate for the Severity of 10

D. A Severity of 10 mandates high priority regardless of the RPN — catastrophic safety consequences demand verification that prevention and detection controls are genuinely effective; the AP framework recognizes that the consequences of underestimating occurrence or detection for safety failures are irreversible

53. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's document control system and discovers that when controlled procedures are revised, only 58% have documented evidence of personnel notification. For the remaining 42%, revised procedures were uploaded without communication. What quality system gap does this represent?

A. Electronic document systems automatically notify users, making separate notification unnecessary

B. Personnel notification is recommended but not a formal document control requirement

C. Uploading revised procedures without notifying affected personnel creates risk that operators continue following outdated practices — effective document control requires both accessibility of the current version AND proactive communication to ensure awareness; the 42% notification gap is a significant deficiency

D. Only procedures directly affecting product dimensions require notification when revised

54. A quality engineer is conducting an acceptance sampling inspection using ANSI/ASQ Z1.4. The plan specifies  $n = 125$ ,  $c = 3$ ,  $r = 4$ . The sample yields exactly 4 nonconforming units. What is the lot disposition?

- A. Accept the lot because 4 defectives in 125 is only a 3.2% rate, which seems reasonable
- B. Place the lot on hold for reinspection using a larger doublesampling plan
- C. The lot disposition is ambiguous when defectives exactly equal the rejection number
- D. Reject the lot — when defectives (4) equal the rejection number ( $r = 4$ ), the lot is rejected; the rule is accept if defectives  $\leq c$  (3), reject if defectives  $\geq r$  (4); this rejection also counts toward the switching rules tally

55. A quality engineer is implementing a lean initiative and calculates takt time for a cell. Available time = 420 minutes, demand = 300 units. Takt = 1.4 minutes. The 4 stations have cycle times of 1.2, 1.5, 1.1, and 1.3 minutes. Station 2 exceeds takt by 0.1 minutes. What is the immediate consequence?

- A. The 0.1minute overload is negligible and will be absorbed by natural cycle time variation
- B. Station 2 becomes the bottleneck, constraining the cell to  $420/1.5 = 280$  units — 20 short of demand; line balancing should redistribute work elements from Station 2 to stations with capacity (Station 1: 0.2 min available, Station 3: 0.3 min, Station 4: 0.1 min)
- C. A fifth workstation must be added because no redistribution can resolve a 0.1minute overload
- D. Overtime should be scheduled every shift to compensate for the 20unit production shortfall

56. A quality engineer is reviewing a Gage R&R study that was conducted at constant 22°C. Production measurement area temperature varies between 18°C and 28°C daily. Why is this concern valid?

- A. The constanttemperature study captured the measurement system's bestcase performance — production temperature variation adds thermal expansion effects on both instruments and parts that the

study did not capture; the actual %GRR in production will likely be higher, potentially moving from acceptable to unacceptable

- B. Temperature variation has no effect on measurement system performance for any instrument type
- C. Temperature effects are only relevant for measurements with tolerances below 0.001 mm
- D. The Gage R&R study automatically accounts for temperature through the random error component

57. A quality engineer is conducting a measurement system analysis for a destructive test — peel strength of a laminated assembly. Each specimen is destroyed during testing. What MSA approach is appropriate?

- A. The standard crossed Gage R&R can be adapted by testing at reduced peel force to avoid destruction
- B. Destructive tests cannot be evaluated using any measurement system analysis methodology
- C. A nested (hierarchical) Gage R&R design where each operator tests unique specimens from the same population — the nested ANOVA separates operator effects from specimen-to-specimen variation, providing repeatability and reproducibility estimates without requiring the same specimen to be measured by multiple operators
- D. A linearity study completely replaces Gage R&R for all destructive lamination test applications

58. A quality engineer is reviewing a process validation report for a heat treatment furnace. Validation demonstrated acceptable results at 75part load. Production runs 225 parts. The production manager argues the validation applies at all loads. Why should the quality engineer disagree?

- A. Heat treatment results are independent of load size for all furnace types and configurations
- B. Validation should always be performed at minimum load to demonstrate worstcase thermal conditions
- C. Load size only matters for sterilization validations, not metallurgical heat treatment processes

D. The 3× increase in thermal mass alters heatup rates, temperature distribution, soak time, and cooling uniformity — parts in the center of the larger load may not reach required temperature due to thermal shielding; the process must be validated at the actual production load

59. A quality engineer is implementing a lean initiative and calculates PCE for an engineering change order process: total lead time = 45 days, valueadded work = 6 hours. What is the PCE?

A.  $PCE = 6/45 = 13.3\%$  based on hours divided by days without converting to common units

B.  $PCE = 6/(45 \times 8) = 6/360 = 1.67\%$  — over 98% of ECO lead time is nonvalueadded: waiting in approval queues, sitting in inboxes, transfers between functions; this reveals massive opportunity for lead time reduction

C. PCE cannot be calculated for administrative processes because they lack physical material flow

D. The 45day cycle time is standard industry performance and indicates no improvement opportunity

60. A quality engineer is reviewing a hypothesis test result. The twosample ttest yields  $p = 0.06$  at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Observed difference = 3.0 mm on a characteristic with 20 mm total tolerance. Sample sizes = 12 per group. The quality engineer fails to reject  $H_0$ . What should be considered before concluding the processes are equivalent?

A. The nonsignificant result proves the processes produce statistically identical output

B. The pvalue should be rounded to 0.05 and declared significant since it is close enough

C. The result should be reinterpreted at  $\alpha = 0.10$  and declared significant without further qualification

D. The small sample sizes ( $n = 12$ ) may have provided insufficient power — a posthoc power analysis may reveal low power for the observed 3.0 mm difference (15% of tolerance); the quality engineer should evaluate whether a larger followup study is warranted given the practical significance

61. A quality engineer is implementing a riskbased internal audit program. The CAPA system shows: 42% ontime closure, 35% of closed CAPAs lack effectiveness verification, and 25% recurrence rate. What audit frequency is appropriate?

- A. Annual auditing is adequate for all CAPA systems regardless of their performance metrics
- B. Only customer complaints should trigger CAPA system audits rather than regular scheduling
- C. The CAPA system should be audited daily until performance metrics reach acceptable thresholds
- D. Quarterly or semiannual audits — the severe systemic weaknesses (low closure rate, missing verification, high recurrence) require frequent monitoring to verify that improvement actions are being implemented and sustained

62. A quality engineer is reviewing a supplier's corrective action. Root cause: "CNC machine out of calibration." Corrective action: "Machine recalibrated." This is the third identical response for the same recurring problem. What should the quality engineer require?

- A. The response is adequate because the machine has been recalibrated each time it drifted
- B. The supplier should purchase a replacement CNC machine to permanently resolve calibration drift
- C. Accept this response but double incoming inspection sample size for additional protection
- D. Require investigation of why the machine drifts (wear, vibration, thermal effects), why drift isn't detected earlier (SPC, PM schedule), and what systemic change prevents recurrence (enhanced PM, automated monitoring) — three identical "recalibrate" responses prove the root cause of the drift remains unaddressed

63. A quality engineer is analyzing a control chart and observes that 23 of 30 Xbar points fall above the center line (77%), with only 7 below. The R chart is stable. What does this asymmetric distribution suggest?

- A. The process is in control because no individual points exceed the control limits

- B. The asymmetric distribution (77% above center) has extremely low probability in a centered process — it strongly suggests the process mean has shifted upward; within the 23 abovecenter points, at least 8 consecutive must exist, triggering the Western Electric run rule; the quality engineer should investigate the assignable cause
- C. The R chart stability confirms the Xbar asymmetry has no practical significance
- D. Asymmetric point distributions are normal and expected on Xbar charts for manufacturing processes

64. A quality engineer is implementing errorproofing on a pharmaceutical blister packaging line. The highest risk error involves placing wrong tablets in cavities — mixing similar looking tablets from different products. The error rate is 200 ppm with current visual verification. Which pokayoke is most effective?

- A. An automated vision system with tablet identification that photographs each cavity, identifies the tablet by shape/size/color/imprint, and prevents sealing unless correct tablets are confirmed in every cavity — providing 100% verification independent of operator attention or fatigue
- B. Improving workplace lighting for better visual discrimination by operators during manual inspection
- C. Adding a second operator to doublecheck tablet identity in each cavity before sealing
- D. Colorcoding blister cards to match the tablet color for easier operator visual identification

65. A quality engineer is analyzing a Weibull plot of industrial pump failure data. The plot shows  $\beta = 3.2$  and  $\eta = 30,000$  hours. The maintenance department wants to establish a replacement interval ensuring no more than 5% fail before replacement. Using  $F(t) = 1 - e^{-((t/\eta)^\beta)}$ , solve for  $t$  when  $F(t) = 0.05$ .

- A.  $t = 30,000 \times 0.05 = 1,500$  hours based on 5% of the characteristic life
- B.  $t = 30,000/3.2 = 9,375$  hours by dividing  $\eta$  by  $\beta$
- C.  $t = 30,000 \times (0.05)^{(1/3.2)} =$  incorrect formula application producing erroneous result

D.  $t = \eta \times (\ln(0.95))^{(1/\beta)} = 30,000 \times (0.0513)^{(1/3.2)} = 30,000 \times (0.0513)^{(0.3125)} \approx 30,000 \times 0.398 \approx 11,940$  hours — replacement at approximately 11,940 hours ensures 95% of pumps survive to scheduled maintenance

66. A quality engineer is reviewing a product design verification test plan for an industrial controller. Tests include 500 hours of functional testing at 25°C/50% RH. The controller installs in factories with 15°C to +60°C, 595% humidity, EMI, vibration, and power fluctuations. What gap exists?

- A. Laboratory ambient conditions adequately represent all industrial factory environments
- B. The 500hour duration is the primary concern rather than the environmental test conditions
- C. The test plan must include the full range of expected conditions — temperature extremes, humidity cycling, EMI immunity, vibration, and power quality — to verify performance across all foreseeable installations; ambientonly testing provides no evidence of reliability at environmental extremes
- D. Environmental testing is only required for militarygrade equipment, not industrial controllers

67. A quality engineer is reviewing a product liability case. A consumer product caused injury despite meeting all applicable voluntary standards at manufacture. The manufacturer claims standards compliance is a complete defense. Why might this be insufficient?

- A. Meeting all voluntary standards always provides complete product liability defense in every jurisdiction
- B. Voluntary standards are completely irrelevant to product liability and are never considered in litigation
- C. Standards compliance is a complete defense only for products manufactured more than 15 years ago
- D. Voluntary standards represent minimum industry consensus at a specific time — the manufacturer has an independent duty to identify and mitigate productspecific risks beyond standards, including foreseeable use conditions and failure modes that standards may not address

68. A quality engineer is conducting a process capability study on a coating process. Specification =  $25 \pm 5 \mu\text{m}$ . Data:  $\bar{x} = 27.8 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $\hat{\sigma} = 1.6 \mu\text{m}$ . Calculate Cp and Cpk.

- A.  $C_p = 10/(6 \times 1.6) = 1.04$ ;  $C_{PU} = (30 - 27.8)/(3 \times 1.6) = 0.46$ ;  $C_{PL} = (27.8 - 20)/(3 \times 1.6) = 1.63$ ;  $C_{pk} = 0.46$  — severely constrained by the USL due to the offcenter mean
- B.  $C_p = 1.04$ ;  $C_{pk} = \min(0.46, 1.63) = 0.46$  — the process has marginal inherent capability and is running severely offcenter toward the upper limit; recentering would dramatically improve Cpk
- C.  $C_p = 1.04$  and  $C_{pk} = 1.04$  because the offset is an intentional process design choice
- D. Cpk cannot be calculated when the process mean exceeds the specification nominal value

69. A quality engineer is implementing a document control system and discovers that a controlled work instruction references an external standard (ASTM) that was recently updated. The update changed a critical acceptance criterion. The internal procedure still references the old revision. What is the document control obligation?

- A. External standards referenced by controlled procedures require active monitoring — the organization must evaluate the impact of the revision on the internal work instruction, update the procedure if the changed criterion affects quality decisions, and retrain affected personnel
- B. External standards are outside the organization's document control scope and require no management
- C. Internal procedures automatically adopt the latest revision of referenced external standards
- D. External standard updates only matter when a customer specifically requests the latest revision

70. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's management review process. Quality data is presented using monthly averages without trend analysis or statistical behavior assessment. Defect rates fluctuate between 2.5% and 3.5%. Management cannot determine if quality is improving. What improvement is needed?

- A. Monthly averages adequately communicate quality performance to management decisionmakers

- B. Replace monthly data with annual averages to smooth random fluctuation for clearer interpretation
- C. Present data on control charts or run charts that distinguish common cause variation from real changes — enabling definitive evidencebased statements about quality direction rather than subjective interpretation of random monthtomonth fluctuation
- D. Present only the best result from each quarter to demonstrate continuous quality improvement

71. A quality engineer is implementing a risk management system and must establish monitoring indicators for a critical singlesource component with 14week lead time and no alternate supplier. Which indicators provide the most comprehensive early warning?

- A. Only the supplier's ontime delivery rate needs monitoring as a single comprehensive metric
- B. Only lagging indicators (production line stops due to shortage) are needed for supply chain risk
- C. Monitor only warehouse inventory level against the safety stock target threshold
- D. Leading indicators: supplier financial health, capacity utilization trends, inventory versus safety stock, geopolitical risk monitoring; Lagging: delivery performance, quality rejections, disruption events — together providing predictive early warning and outcome confirmation for this critical dependency

72. A quality engineer is implementing a calibration program and must calculate combined standard uncertainty. Sources: instrument accuracy ( $\pm 0.004$  mm), thermal expansion ( $\pm 0.006$  mm), operator technique ( $\pm 0.003$  mm), reference standard ( $\pm 0.001$  mm). All independent.

- A. Combined =  $0.004 + 0.006 + 0.003 + 0.001 = 0.014$  mm by arithmetic addition of all sources
- B. Combined =  $\sqrt{(0.004^2 + 0.006^2 + 0.003^2 + 0.001^2)} = \sqrt{(0.000016 + 0.000036 + 0.000009 + 0.000001)} = \sqrt{0.000062} = 0.00787$  mm — thermal expansion dominates at 58% of total variance
- C. Combined =  $\max(0.004, 0.006, 0.003, 0.001) = 0.006$  mm using only the dominant source
- D. Combined uncertainty cannot be calculated without knowing correlations between the four sources

73. A quality engineer is reviewing a process validation report for a sterilization process. Validation tested at minimum cycle parameters (worst case for sterility). The quality engineer asks about maximum cycle testing. The team argues longer sterilization always improves sterility. What concern should the quality engineer raise?

- A. Longer sterilization always benefits both sterility and product integrity without any tradeoffs
- B. Maximum cycle testing is only required for ethylene oxide sterilization, not steam or radiation
- C. The validation team is correct — only minimum cycle testing is ever needed for sterilization processes
- D. Excessive sterilization exposure can damage the product — material degradation, dimensional changes, seal compromise, embrittlement, discoloration, or functional impairment; the maximum validated cycle must also be tested to confirm product integrity at the upper extreme

74. A quality engineer is reviewing a supplier's process capability report.  $C_{pk} = 1.95$  based on 90 measurements from a single 4hour shift using one material lot. Why might this overstate longterm capability?

- A. Singleshift data always provides accurate longterm capability regardless of conditions
- B. Ninety measurements is always a reliable sample size for any capability study duration
- C. The 4hour collection period is the recommended standard duration for all capability studies
- D. Singleshift, singlelot data captures only shortterm variation — missing between shift operator differences, lot to lot material variation, day to day environmental changes, and equipment thermal cycling; longterm capability including these sources will almost certainly be lower

75. A quality engineer is implementing a lean initiative. A CNC machining center has  $OEE = 60\%$ . Breakdown: Availability = 75%, Performance = 88%, Quality = 91%. Primary availability losses are 85minute changeovers. Which lean tool should be applied first?

- A. Total productive maintenance to reduce equipment breakdown frequency and duration
- B. Statistical process control to address the 9% quality loss component
- C. Value stream mapping to identify additional waste before addressing equipment-level OEE
- D. SMED to reduce the 85-minute changeover — availability (75%) is the dominant OEE loss and changeovers are the primary availability problem; reducing setup time directly addresses the largest contributor and enables smaller production batches

76. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's approach to handling customer complaints. Each is resolved individually with no systematic trend analysis. What improvement extracts the most value?

- A. Increase individual complaint response speed to reduce resolution time below 24 hours
- B. Implement systematic trend analysis — Pareto by category, stratification by product/region/time/customer, correlation with production variables, root cause pattern identification — detecting systemic issues, prioritizing improvement projects, and identifying emerging patterns before they become widespread
- C. Hire additional complaint handlers to eliminate the backlog of unresolved complaints
- D. Individual resolution fully satisfies all ISO 9001:2015 complaint handling requirements

77. A quality engineer is implementing acceptance sampling under ANSI/ASQ Z1.4. The organization has been on tightened inspection. Under tightened, if 5 consecutive lots are not accepted, what do the switching rules require?

- A. Continue tightened inspection indefinitely until the supplier demonstrates sustained improvement
- B. Return to normal inspection and give the supplier additional time to address quality concerns
- C. Discontinue inspection — Z1.4 requires acceptance inspection to be suspended when 5 consecutive lots fail under tightened conditions; the organization must take action to improve quality before resuming
- D. Switch directly to reduced inspection to minimize inspection costs on consistently poor material

78. A quality engineer is analyzing field failure data. A Weibull probability plot shows two distinct line segments — a steep segment ( $\beta_1 \approx 0.7$ ) for early failures and a shallower segment ( $\beta_2 \approx 3.2$ ) for later failures. What does this indicate?

- A. The single Weibull distribution should be forced to fit all data using one overall  $\beta$  value
- B. Both populations should be addressed with the same quality strategy of increased final inspection
- C. The twosegment plot indicates the data follows an exponential distribution rather than Weibull
- D. Two distinct failure populations: early failures ( $\beta_1 < 1$ , decreasing rate) represent infant mortality from manufacturing defects addressable through screening or burnin; later failures ( $\beta_2 > 1$ , increasing rate) represent wearout from degradation addressable through design improvement or preventive maintenance

79. A quality engineer is implementing a corrective action for a recurring assembly error where operators install a gasket in the wrong orientation. The gasket is symmetric and fits either way, but only one orientation seals properly. Error rate is 450 ppm despite training and visual aids. What is the most effective longterm corrective action?

- A. Retrain all operators monthly on gasket orientation with formal competency verification testing
- B. Add a postassembly pressure test that detects incorrect gasket orientation before shipment
- C. Post larger, more detailed work instructions with highresolution photographs at the workstation
- D. Redesign the gasket or mating surface to be physically asymmetric so incorrect installation is impossible — making the correct orientation the only possible orientation eliminates the error regardless of operator attention, training, or fatigue; inherently safe design outperforms any detection approach

80. A quality engineer is analyzing the results of a chisquare goodnessoffit test to determine whether defect data follows a Poisson distribution. Data grouped into 6 categories,  $\lambda$  estimated from data.  $\chi^2 = 4.5$ ,  $df = 6 - 1 = 5$ . Critical value at  $\alpha = 0.05$  with 5 df = 9.488. What is the conclusion?

- A. Reject the Poisson assumption because the chisquare value is positive and nonzero
- B. Fail to reject —  $\chi^2 = 4.5 < 9.488$ , confirming the data is consistent with a Poisson distribution; Poissonbased control charts (cchart or uchart) are appropriate for monitoring this defect count process
- C. The test is invalid because Poisson data cannot be evaluated using chisquare goodnessoffit methods
- D. The result is inconclusive because 4 degrees of freedom provides insufficient test power

81. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's COQ data. Prevention = \$80K (5%), Appraisal = \$260K (17%), Internal Failure = \$690K (46%), External Failure = \$470K (32%). Total = \$1.5M on \$18M revenue (8.3%). What strategic recommendation should the quality engineer make?

- A. Reduce appraisal by 50% to immediately lower total COQ from 8.3% to approximately 7.5%
- B. Maintain current allocation because 8.3% COQtorevenue is acceptable by industry standards
- C. Increase external failure spending to improve warranty processing speed and customer satisfaction
- D. Significantly increase prevention — with 78% in failure categories and only 5% in prevention, each prevention dollar typically eliminates 35 dollars of failure cost; this is the highestreturn strategy

82. A quality engineer is conducting a process capability study and discovers significant rightskewness (AndersonDarling  $p = 0.001$ ). Customer requires  $Cpk \geq 1.33$ . Which approach is most appropriate for nonnormal data?

- A. Calculate standard Cpk using raw data and note the nonnormality in the report footnotes
- B. Collect additional data until the distribution appears normal by visual histogram inspection
- C. Apply a BoxCox transformation, verify normality of transformed data, transform the specification limits, and calculate Cpk on the transformed scale — preserving mathematical validity while properly accounting for the nonnormal distribution
- D. Use the data range divided by specification width as an alternative capability metric

83. A quality engineer is reviewing a product reliability test. Thirtyfive units completed a 6,000hour test with zero failures. Using chisquare:  $MTBF_{lower} = 2T/\chi^2(0.10, 2) = 2(210,000)/4.605$ . What is the demonstrated MTBF at 90% confidence?

- A.  $MTBF_{lower} = 2(210,000)/4.605 = 420,000/4.605 = 91,205$  hours — the test demonstrates at least 91,205 hours MTBF at 90% confidence; zero failures establishes a statistical lower bound, not infinite reliability
- B. MTBF = infinity because zero units failed during the test period
- C. MTBF = 6,000 hours equal to the perunit test duration
- D.  $MTBF_{lower} = 210,000/4.605 = 45,603$  hours, incorrectly omitting the factor of 2

84. A quality engineer is implementing a lean initiative. Finished goods inventory = 55 days. Customer lead time = 4 days. The quality engineer proposes reducing to 12 days. Finance objects because the buffer protects against demand fluctuation. How should the case be framed?

- A. Immediately reduce to 12 days regardless of finance concerns about service level risk
- B. The 55day inventory ties up massive working capital — reducing to 12 days ( $3\times$  customer lead time) frees substantial cash; implement gradually with demand forecasting and production flexibility improvements to maintain service during transition
- C. Maintain 55 days because the finance department's demand buffer argument has operational merit
- D. Eliminate all inventory to implement pure maketooorder production with zero finished goods

85. A quality engineer is reviewing an organization's internal audit schedule. All 12 audits compressed into February. By January, some processes haven't been audited for 11 months. What improvement should be implemented?

- A. Compressing audits into February is optimal for concentrated auditor focus and efficiency
- B. Move all audits to Q4 to capture fullyear performance data before annual management review

- C. Reduce total audits from 12 to 6 annually to enable longer, more thorough assessments of each area
- D. Distribute audits across all 12 months for continuous surveillance — ongoing assessment enables earlier problem detection, distributes workload, and ensures no process goes more than a few months without evaluation

86. A quality engineer is reviewing a supplier's corrective action response history. Response 1: retrain operator. Response 2: add supervisor check. Response 3: retrain and add visual aids. Response 4: add management review. None resolved the problem. The quality engineer must reject these approaches. What should be required instead?

- A. More intensive operator training with external certified instructors and formal competency testing
- B. The supervisor checkpoint should be continued longer since it may eventually show results
- C. Investigate the systemic process factors — paste deposition system, stencil wear, reflow parameters, equipment maintenance — that create the defect regardless of which individual works; implement systemlevel changes such as automated process control, errorproofing, or equipment upgrades
- D. Outsource the entire operation to a specialty subcontractor with better equipment and processes

87. A quality engineer is analyzing warranty data for an automotive component. Weibull  $\beta = 3.0$ ,  $\eta = 50,000$  miles. The maintenance department asks for the B10 life. Using  $F(t) = 0.10$ :  $t = \eta \times (\ln(0.90))^{(1/\beta)}$ .

- A.  $B_{10} = 50,000 \times 0.10 = 5,000$  miles, which is simply 10% of the characteristic life
- B.  $B_{10} = 50,000/3.0 = 16,667$  miles by dividing  $\eta$  by  $\beta$
- C.  $B_{10} = \eta \times (\ln(0.90))^{(1/\beta)} = 50,000 \times (0.1054)^{(1/3.0)} = 50,000 \times (0.1054)^{(0.333)} \approx 50,000 \times 0.473 \approx 23,650$  miles
- D. B10 cannot be calculated from Weibull parameters without separate failure rate reference tables

88. A quality engineer is reviewing a control chart showing a systematic alternating pattern on the Xbar chart — values oscillate between approximately 24.8 and 25.2 every 23 subgroups. The R chart is stable. What does this indicate?

- A. Normal random variation that occasionally creates apparent oscillating patterns by statistical chance
- B. The control limits are set too tight, creating the visual appearance of an oscillation pattern
- C. The R chart stability proves the oscillation has no practical significance and needs no investigation
- D. Two process states are alternating systematically — overadjustment (each correction overcorrects), rotation between two fixtures, alternating material lots, or control system overshoot; the pattern's regularity rules out random variation

89. A quality engineer is reviewing a process validation report for adhesive bonding. Testing used adhesive from a single lot. Production uses adhesive from 5 different suppliers. What validation gap exists?

- A. Singlelot testing adequately represents all supplier lots because the specification is identical
- B. Only the adhesive supplier's certificate of analysis needs review, not additional testing
- C. Adhesive lot variation only matters for structural aerospace applications, not general manufacturing
- D. Different suppliers may produce adhesive with varying viscosity, cure behavior, and adhesion properties even when meeting the same specification — the validation should include testing with adhesive from multiple lots/suppliers to confirm consistent bond performance across the material supply base

90. A quality engineer is implementing a riskbased SPC sampling frequency. A highspeed line produces 500 units/minute. Current plan: 5 units every 30 minutes. Between samples, 15,000 units are unverified. What analysis should guide the frequency?

- A. The 30minute interval is standard for all highspeed lines and should not be questioned or changed

- B. All 500 units per minute should receive 100% automated inspection regardless of cost implications
- C. Sampling should be reduced to hourly because historically stable processes need less frequent checks
- D. Balance exposure (15,000 units between checks), consequence of an undetected shift (cost  $\times$  units at risk), stability history, and detection speed — if total risk exposure between samples exceeds the cost of more frequent sampling, the interval should be shortened

91. A quality engineer is implementing a CAPA system. Average time from problem identification to root cause = 70 days. Average time from root cause to implementation = 4 days. What does this imbalanced pattern reveal?

- A. The 70day investigation period demonstrates thorough, careful root cause analysis methodology
- B. The rapid 4day implementation confirms organizational commitment to swift corrective action
- C. The investigation should be extended to 90 days to ensure even more rigorous root cause analysis
- D. The 70day investigation is the bottleneck — likely caused by insufficient resources, lack of RCA skills, competing priorities, or no urgency escalation; streamlining tools, providing training, and establishing priority protocols would dramatically reduce total CAPA cycle time

92. A quality engineer is conducting a hypothesis test comparing variances before and after process improvement. Before:  $n_1 = 25$ ,  $s_1^2 = 0.058$ . After:  $n_2 = 25$ ,  $s_2^2 = 0.024$ .  $F = 0.058/0.024 = 2.417$ . Critical F at  $\alpha = 0.05$  (onesided) with (24,24)  $df \approx 1.98$ . What is the conclusion?

- A. The variances are equal because both sample sizes are the same number of observations
- B. Fail to reject because the Fvalue must exceed 3.0 for any practical significance in manufacturing
- C. Reject  $H_0$  —  $F = 2.417$  exceeds critical 1.98, providing significant evidence the variance decreased after improvement; the ratio indicates the beforevariance was approximately 2.4 times the aftervariance
- D. The Ftest is invalid for comparing variances and should be replaced with a ttest

93. A quality engineer discovers that internal auditors consistently classify all findings as "minor" — no "major" findings have been issued in 4 years, despite product recalls and regulatory citations during the same period. What does this suggest?

- A. The organization genuinely has no major nonconformities because the quality system prevents them
- B. Minor classification is correct because internal audits cannot detect issues that are major by definition
- C. Major nonconformities can only be identified and classified by external certification auditors
- D. Auditors may lack confidence, authority, or clear criteria to issue major findings — or management pressure discourages major classifications; quality events like recalls should have corresponding major internal findings; classification criteria should be reviewed and auditors empowered to issue appropriate severity ratings

94. A quality engineer discovers the local labor utilization KPI requires  $\geq 92\%$  at each workstation. This drives operators to continue producing even when downstream stations are backed up, creating WIP accumulation. What lean waste does this metric promote?

- A. High utilization is fully aligned with lean manufacturing principles and waste elimination goals
- B. The utilization target should be increased to 98% for optimal lean production performance
- C. Utilization metrics only cause problems at bottleneck stations, not at nonconstraint workstations
- D. The metric directly promotes overproduction — operators produce to fill idle time rather than to demand, creating inventory the system doesn't need; lean replaces utilization with flowbased measures like takt adherence and throughput that align production with actual customer demand

95. A quality engineer is reviewing a product FMEA and discovers the team assigns Detection = 1 (nearcertain detection) for every failure mode with 100% automated endofline testing. Why might this rating be inappropriate?

- A. Detection = 1 is always correct when validated 100% automated inspection is in place

- B. No automated system achieves 100% detection — every inspection has finite escape rates based on sensitivity, defect characteristics, and system limitations; Detection = 1 implies virtual certainty that overstates any real system's capability; the actual detection effectiveness should be measured and the rating adjusted accordingly
- C. Detection ratings should only consider manual methods, never automated inspection systems
- D. The rating should be standardized at 2 for all automated systems as a onelevel adjustment policy

96. A quality engineer is reviewing quality objectives: "Improve customer satisfaction" and "Reduce scrap." Per ISO 9001:2015 Clause 6.2, what must be added?

- A. Each objective must specify a measurable target, timeframe, planned actions, resources, responsible individuals, and evaluation method — objectives become actionable only when they define the metric, target value, deadline, action plan, resource needs, owner, and monitoring approach
- B. Adding numerical targets (e.g., "reduce by 20%") is the only additional element needed for compliance
- C. Quality objectives only require the quality manager's signature to satisfy ISO 9001:2015
- D. The standard does not specify any content requirements for quality objectives beyond general intent

97. A quality engineer is reviewing a Weibull analysis with  $\beta = 3.5$  and  $\eta = 25,000$  hours. The maintenance department wants a replacement interval ensuring  $\leq 5\%$  fail before replacement. Using  $F(t) = 0.05$ :  $t = \eta \times (\ln(0.95))^{1/\beta}$ .

- A.  $t = 25,000 \times 0.05 = 1,250$  hours based on 5% of the characteristic life
- B.  $t = \eta \times (\ln(0.95))^{1/\beta} = 25,000 \times (0.0513)^{1/3.5} = 25,000 \times (0.0513)^{0.286} \approx 25,000 \times 0.395 \approx 9,875$  hours — replacement at approximately 9,875 hours ensures 95% survival to scheduled maintenance
- C.  $t = 25,000/3.5 = 7,143$  hours by dividing the scale parameter by the shape parameter
- D. The interval cannot be determined from Weibull parameters without additional failure rate tables

98. A quality engineer is implementing a supplier development program. Budget allows 5 of 45 suppliers. Which methodology maximizes impact?

- A. Select the 5 with the longest relationship to reward loyalty and strengthen partnerships
- B. Randomly select 5 annually to ensure every supplier eventually receives development attention
- C. Select based only on incoming defect rate, choosing the 5 worstperforming suppliers
- D. Select based on weighted criteria: component criticality, quality trend deterioration, singlesource risk, strategic importance, and cost of poor quality — directing investment where improvement generates the greatest total business impact

99. A quality engineer is reviewing an organization's approach to nonconforming product disposition. Operators make "useasis" decisions for parts slightly out of specification with no engineering review, documentation, or customer notification. Why is this a serious violation?

- A. Operators are the most qualified to make disposition decisions because they understand the process
- B. Useasis is acceptable for parts within 10% of the specification limit without formal engineering review
- C. Useasis dispositions require formal engineering analysis confirming functional acceptability, documented MRB approval, and customer notification when contractually required — operators lack the authority and technical basis for these determinations
- D. Only safetycritical characteristics require formal disposition; all others can be operatordecided

100. A quality engineer is reviewing the COQ structure and discovers external failure costs are excluded from the report. The quality manager argues finance already tracks warranty claims. Why is this exclusion a significant analytical gap?

- A. External failures tracked by finance are redundant and their exclusion saves administrative effort

- B. Excluding external failures presents an incomplete COQ picture — they are often the largest and most strategically important category (warranty, recalls, liability, lost sales, reputation); without them, the analysis understates total quality costs and may fail to justify prevention investments
- C. ISO 9001 does not require external failure cost tracking in any form
- D. External failure costs are the least important COQ category and can always be safely excluded

101. A quality engineer is analyzing a designed experiment using response surface methodology. The contour plot from the secondorder model reveals an elongated ridge rather than a distinct maximum or minimum. The quality engineer cannot identify a single optimal operating point because the response changes very little along the ridge direction. What analytical technique should be applied?

- A. Rerun the entire experiment with narrower factor ranges to zoom in on the ridge region
- B. Add additional center points to improve the model's curvature detection sensitivity
- C. Abandon the response surface approach and use a screening design to find additional factors
- D. Apply ridge analysis to explore along the elongated ridge — this technique identifies the combination of settings that optimizes the response at any given distance from the design center, finding the best achievable conditions even when no single stationary point exists on the surface

102. A quality engineer is reviewing a supplier's corrective action response to a recurring soldering defect. The response history shows: Occurrence 1 → retrain operator. Occurrence 2 → add supervisor checkpoint. Occurrence 3 → retrain all operators and post visual aids. Occurrence 4 → add daily management review. None resolved the problem. What does this escalating but ineffective pattern prove?

- A. The operators need fundamentally different training methodology from an external soldering specialist
- B. The management review was the correct approach but was not maintained long enough to demonstrate results
- C. Four failed individualfocused corrective actions prove the root cause is systemic — the solder paste system, stencil condition, reflow parameters, or equipment maintenance creates the defect regardless of

the individual; only systemlevel changes (automated controls, errorproofing, process redesign) can eliminate recurrence

D. The soldering operation should be outsourced to a specialty contract manufacturer with better capabilities

103. A quality engineer is implementing a risk management system under ISO 14971 for a Class III implantable neurostimulator. The team identifies a risk where electromagnetic interference (EMI) from external sources could cause unintended stimulation. The team rates probability as "very low" based on laboratory EMI shielding tests. Why should the quality engineer challenge this rating?

A. The probability rating should consider realworld EMI environments — patients encounter MRI machines, industrial equipment, airport security, and household appliances that may produce EMI levels and frequencies not captured in standard laboratory shielding tests; the "very low" rating based solely on controlled laboratory conditions may significantly underestimate the cumulative probability across all environments the patient will encounter during the device's implanted life

B. All implantable device risks should automatically receive a "high" probability rating regardless of testing

C. EMI risks are exempt from ISO 14971 assessment because they are covered by IEC 60601 testing

D. Laboratory EMI shielding tests always overestimate realworld performance and no adjustment is needed

104. A quality engineer is conducting a process capability study on a precision wire EDM operation. The specification is  $5.000 \pm 0.025$  mm. Data from 50 subgroups of 5 yields  $\bar{\bar{x}} = 4.992$  mm and  $\hat{\sigma} = 0.006$  mm. Calculate Cp and Cpk.

A.  $C_p = 0.050 / (6 \times 0.006) = 1.39$ ;  $C_{pk} = 1.39$  because the 0.008 mm offset is negligible

B.  $C_p = 0.050 / (6 \times 0.006) = 1.39$ ;  $C_{PU} = (5.025 - 4.992) / (3 \times 0.006) = 1.83$ ;  $C_{PL} = (4.992 - 4.975) / (3 \times 0.006) = 0.94$ ;  $C_{pk} = 0.94$  — constrained by the LSL because the mean is below nominal

C. Cp and Cpk are both 1.83 because only the upper specification is relevant for EDM processes

D. Cpk cannot be calculated when the process mean falls below the specification nominal value

105. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's supplier audit program and discovers that the same 4 auditors have audited the same 20 suppliers for 5 consecutive years. Customer complaints related to supplied material have increased 45% while internal supplier audit findings decreased 55% over the same period. What improvement should be implemented?

A. Increase audit frequency from annual to quarterly while maintaining the same auditorsupplier assignments

B. The declining internal findings confirm auditor effectiveness and no changes to the program are needed

C. Replace all internal auditors with external thirdparty consultants to completely eliminate familiarity bias

D. Rotate auditors among suppliers to introduce fresh perspectives — the divergence between declining findings and increasing complaints strongly suggests familiarity bias; auditors may unconsciously overlook problems when auditing the same suppliers repeatedly; rotation brings fresh scrutiny more likely to detect issues

106. A quality engineer is implementing lean manufacturing and calculates that a production cell has a takt time of 75 seconds. The four workstations have cycle times of 68s, 72s, 80s, and 65s. Station 3 exceeds takt time by 5 seconds. Which lean approach addresses this constraint most efficiently?

A. Add a fifth workstation to absorb the excess work from Station 3 permanently

B. Increase takt time to 80 seconds by reducing customer demand through strategic pricing adjustments

C. Analyze Station 3's task elements and redistribute specific work to adjacent stations — Stations 1 (7s available), 2 (3s available), and 4 (10s available) collectively have 20 seconds of capacity to absorb the 5second overload through detailed task redistribution without adding resources

D. Automate Station 3 entirely to eliminate the human cycle time limitation

107. A quality engineer is conducting a Gage R&R study for a visual inspection process. Three inspectors classified 50 solder joints (20 knowngood, 20 knownbad, 10 borderline) three times each. Agreement with the reference standard: 96% for clearly good and bad joints, 55% for borderline joints. What is the critical finding?

- A. The 96% overall agreement confirms the inspection system is fully acceptable for all production decisions
- B. The gage discriminates adequately for clearly conforming and nonconforming joints but critically fails for borderline joints — 55% agreement is barely above random chance for binary classification; accept/reject decisions at the specification boundary are where capability matters most, and this nearrandom performance makes the system unreliable for those critical decisions
- C. The 55% borderline agreement meets the minimum requirement for all attribute measurement systems
- D. Only clearly good and clearly bad results are relevant for evaluating visual inspection capability

108. A quality engineer is analyzing field failure data for an electronic module. Pareto chart shows: connector corrosion (38%), solder fatigue (26%), capacitor degradation (20%), other (16%). Cost per failure: connector = \$20, solder = \$105, capacitor = \$130. At 6,000 annual returns, which failure mode has the highest total annual cost?

- A. Connector corrosion has the highest cost because it is the most frequent failure mode by volume
- B. Solder fatigue:  $1,560 \times \$105 = \$163,800$ . Connector:  $2,280 \times \$20 = \$45,600$ . Capacitor:  $1,200 \times \$130 = \$156,000$ . Solder fatigue at \$163,800 represents the highest total annual cost — demonstrating that costweighted Pareto analysis can reverse frequencybased priority
- C. All three modes have equal total cost impact when properly normalized by their failure frequency
- D. Capacitor degradation has the highest cost because it has the highest perunit repair expense

109. A quality engineer is implementing a corrective action for a recurring dimensional nonconformity. Root cause: CNC tool offsets are not verified after tool changes because the procedure lacks a

verification step. What systemic corrective action prevents recurrence across all machines and operators?

- A. Retrain all CNC operators on the critical importance of verifying tool offsets after each change
- B. Add a supervisor verification checkpoint that confirms offset entry after every tool change event
- C. Implement 100% dimensional inspection of the first 20 parts after every tool change on every machine
- D. Add a mandatory firstpiece inspection and offset verification step to the tool change procedure — requiring new tool measurement, offset calculation and entry, firstpiece dimensional verification against the drawing, and documented signoff before production resumes; this systemic change applies universally

110. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's approach to design validation for a portable consumer medical device intended for home use by patients aged 1690. The validation used 8 engineering prototypes tested by 5 design engineers in a controlled laboratory. What are the most significant limitations?

- A. The sample size of 8 prototypes is always adequate for consumer medical device validation testing
- B. Only the controlled laboratory environment limitation needs to be addressed; engineers and prototypes are fine
- C. Three limitations: (1) engineering prototypes may differ from production units; (2) controlled laboratory does not replicate variable home environments (5°C to +40°C, varying humidity, poor lighting); (3) design engineers avoid use errors that patients aged 1690 with diverse abilities would make — validation needs production units tested by representative users in simulated home conditions
- D. Consumer medical devices require no userbased validation because they are not hospital equipment

111. A quality engineer is implementing acceptance sampling under ANSI/ASQ Z1.4. The organization has been on tightened inspection after lot rejections. Five consecutive lots have now been accepted under tightened. According to switching rules, what is permitted?

- A. The organization can return to normal inspection — Z1.4 permits switching back after 5 consecutive acceptances under tightened inspection, demonstrating the supplier has achieved sustained improvement under more demanding criteria
- B. Only 3 consecutive acceptances are required to return to normal under tightened inspection rules
- C. The organization must remain on tightened for at least 12 months regardless of acceptance results
- D. The organization should switch directly from tightened to reduced to reward the supplier's improvement

112. A quality engineer is conducting a measurement system analysis for a destructive test — tensile pull strength of wire bonds where each specimen is destroyed during testing. The standard crossed Gage R&R cannot be used. What alternative approach is appropriate?

- A. The standard crossed design can be applied by reducing the pull force to avoid specimen destruction
- B. A nested (hierarchical) Gage R&R design — each operator tests unique specimens from the same population; the nested ANOVA separates operator effects from specimen to specimen variation, providing repeatability and reproducibility estimates without requiring the same specimen to be measured by multiple operators
- C. Destructive tests cannot be evaluated using any measurement system analysis method whatsoever
- D. A linearity study completely replaces Gage R&R for all destructive pull testing applications

113. A quality engineer is reviewing a process validation report for an adhesive bonding operation. Testing used adhesive from a single manufacturing lot. Production uses adhesive from 5 different suppliers. What validation gap exists?

- A. Singlelot testing adequately represents all supplier lots because all must meet the identical specification
- B. Only the adhesive supplier's certificate of analysis needs review, not additional physical testing

C. Adhesive lot variation only matters for structural aerospace bonding, not general manufacturing applications

D. Different suppliers may produce adhesive with varying viscosity, cure characteristics, and adhesion properties even when meeting the same specification — the validation should include testing with adhesive from multiple lots/suppliers to confirm consistent bond performance across the material supply base

114. A quality engineer is reviewing a product design verification test plan for an industrial pressure transmitter. Tests include 500 hours of functional testing at 25°C/50% RH. The transmitter will be installed in chemical plants with 25°C to +70°C, 595% humidity, corrosive atmospheres, vibration, and pressure spikes. What gap does the quality engineer identify?

A. Laboratory ambient conditions adequately represent all chemical plant installation environments

B. The 500hour test duration is the primary concern, not the environmental test conditions

C. Environmental testing is only required for militaryspecification instrumentation, not industrial transmitters

D. The plan must include temperature extremes, humidity cycling, corrosive atmosphere exposure, vibration, and pressure spike testing — ambientonly testing provides no evidence of reliable performance across the harsh environmental conditions the transmitter will encounter in chemical plant installations

115. A quality engineer is analyzing a control chart and observes that the R chart for a grinding operation shows a steady upward trend over the last 16 subgroups. No point has exceeded the UCL yet. The Xbar chart shows no abnormal patterns. What is the most likely physical cause, and why must the R chart be addressed first?

A. The upward R trend indicates growing withinsubgroup variation — most likely grinding wheel wear causing inconsistent material removal; this must be addressed first because Xbar limits are calculated from  $\bar{R}$ , and increasing variability means current Xbar limits may no longer accurately represent the process

- B. The R chart trend has no significance unless individual points exceed the upper control limit
- C. Only the Xbar chart provides actionable information; R chart trends are supplementary indicators
- D. The R chart trend indicates measurement system degradation rather than actual process variation changes

116. A quality engineer is implementing a lean value stream improvement and identifies that the quality inspection queue creates a 4day delay. The inspection itself takes 20 minutes per part. Queue efficiency =  $20/(4 \times 8 \times 60) = 1.04\%$ . What lean approach eliminates this waste?

- A. Hire additional inspectors to reduce the 4day queue wait to a few hours or less
- B. Move inspection to the point of manufacture — operator selfinspection or automated inprocess gauging eliminates both transport to the inspection area and the queue; immediate feedback enables realtime process correction rather than delayed detection with multiday latency
- C. Eliminate the inspection entirely because the 1.04% efficiency proves it adds no measurable value
- D. Implement a priority queuing algorithm that inspects highestvalue parts before lowervalue parts

117. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's cost of quality data. Prevention = \$105K (7%), Appraisal = \$285K (19%), Internal Failure = \$650K (44%), External Failure = \$435K (30%). Total COQ = \$1.475M on \$17.5M revenue (8.4%). What strategic recommendation should be made?

- A. Reduce appraisal by 50% to immediately lower total COQ from 8.4% to approximately 7.5% of revenue
- B. Maintain current allocation because 8.4% COQtorevenue falls within accepted industry benchmarks
- C. Significantly increase prevention investment — with 74% of COQ in failure categories and only 7% in prevention, the organization is overwhelmingly reactive; each prevention dollar typically eliminates 35 dollars of failure cost, making prevention the highestreturn quality strategy
- D. Increase external failure spending to improve warranty claim processing speed and customer retention

118. A quality engineer is analyzing Weibull bearing failure data:  $\beta = 3.0$ ,  $\eta = 40,000$  hours. The maintenance department wants a replacement interval ensuring  $\leq 3\%$  fail before replacement. Using  $F(t) = 1 - e^{-((t/\eta)^\beta)}$ , solve for  $t$  when  $F(t) = 0.03$ .

- A.  $t = 40,000 \times 0.03 = 1,200$  hours, calculated as 3% of the characteristic life
- B.  $t = 40,000/3.0 = 13,333$  hours, calculated by dividing  $\eta$  by  $\beta$
- C.  $t = 40,000 \times (\ln(0.97))^{1/3.0} = 40,000 \times (0.0305)^{0.333} \approx 40,000 \times 0.312 \approx 12,480$  hours — this interval maintains 97% survival probability
- D.  $t = \eta \times (\ln(0.97))^{1/\beta} = 40,000 \times (0.0305)^{1/3.0} = 40,000 \times (0.0305)^{0.333} \approx 40,000 \times 0.312 \approx 12,480$  hours — replacement at approximately 12,500 hours ensures 97% of bearings survive to scheduled maintenance

119. A quality engineer is implementing a document control system for a pharmaceutical manufacturer. Beyond standard document control, which pharmaceutical-specific requirement applies?

- A. All pharmaceutical documents must be printed on specially watermarked tamper-evident paper
- B. Standard document control requirements are identical for pharmaceutical and nonpharmaceutical companies
- C. 21 CFR Part 11 compliance requires electronic signatures with nonrepudiation, complete audit trails, validated computer systems for document management, and batch production records linking each batch to specific procedure revisions in effect during manufacture
- D. Pharmaceutical regulations prohibit electronic document management and require all-paper systems

120. A quality engineer is reviewing a process with  $C_p = 2.35$  and  $C_{pk} = 2.30$  sustained for 24 months. The production manager proposes eliminating SPC monitoring entirely. How should the quality engineer respond?

- A. Approve elimination because  $C_{pk} > 2.0$  guarantees indefinite process stability without monitoring

- B. SPC and capability serve different functions — capability describes historical performance while SPC provides realtime surveillance; capability can degrade suddenly from tool breakage, material changes, or equipment failure; the engineer should evaluate reducing SPC frequency but not eliminating all ongoing process surveillance
- C. Approve elimination but substitute quarterly capability recalculation as the sole monitoring method
- D. Double the current SPC sampling frequency to better protect this exceptionally capable process

121. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's risk register created 3.5 years ago. Since then: 4 new products launched, 6 suppliers changed, new MES system implemented, facility expanded 35%. The register has not been updated. What is the primary concern?

- A. The 3.5yearold register accurately captures all current organizational risk conditions
- B. Risk registers are strategic documents only needing updates during certification audit preparation
- C. Only the new product risks need addition; original identified risks remain valid and current
- D. The register almost certainly does not reflect the current risk profile — new products, supplier changes, MES implementation, and facility expansion each introduce risks and alter existing ones; the outdated register provides false security while emerging threats from the changed context go unidentified

122. A quality engineer is conducting a Gage R&R for a torque measurement system on safetycritical fasteners. Results: repeatability = 3.8%, reproducibility = 1.5%, total %GRR = 4.1%, ndc = 18. Assessment?

- A. The system needs improvement because safetycritical applications require %GRR below 3.0%
- B. The ndc of 18 is excessive, indicating the system overresolves for this particular application
- C. Fully acceptable — %GRR well below 10% with ndc of 18 (far above minimum 5) indicates excellent discrimination and minimal measurement variation; suitable for all quality decisions including SPC, capability, and safetycritical accept/reject determinations

D. Repeatability and reproducibility must be exactly equal for an acceptable measurement system

123. A quality engineer is implementing a kanban system. Daily demand = 1,100 units, lead time = 0.30 days, safety factor = 15%, container size = 55 units. Using  $K = D \times L \times (1 + S) / C$ , calculate kanban cards needed.

A.  $K = 1,100 \times 0.30 \times 1.15 / 55 = 379.5/55 = 6.9$ , rounded to 7 kanban cards

B.  $K = 1,100/55 = 20$  cards based solely on daily demand divided by container size

C.  $K = 1,100 \times 2.4 \times 1.15 / 55 = 55.2$  cards using lead time in hours instead of day fraction

D.  $K = 1,100 \times 0.30 / 55 = 6.0$  cards exactly without safety factor consideration

124. A quality engineer is reviewing a GD&T feature control frame: position  $\varnothing 0.20$  mm at MMC for a hole with size 8.008.10 mm, datums A, B, C. Actual hole = 8.06 mm. For a hole, MMC = smallest = 8.00 mm. What is total positional tolerance?

A. 0.20 mm — the stated tolerance applies at all sizes without any bonus modification

B. 0.26 mm — stated tolerance (0.20) plus bonus from MMC departure ( $8.06 - 8.00 = 0.06$ ), totaling 0.26 mm; as the hole departs from MMC toward LMC, additional positional tolerance becomes available because the larger hole provides more clearance with the mating pin

C. Only 0.16 mm because larger holes reduce available position tolerance for internal features

D. The total tolerance cannot be determined without knowing the mating component dimensions

125. A quality engineer is implementing errorproofing on an electronics assembly line. The highest risk error (700 ppm) involves installing a polarized capacitor backward. The component is physically

symmetric and inserts either way. Current detection is automated electrical testing catching 96% of reversals. Which pokayoke is most effective?

- A. Improve the automated test sensitivity from 96% to 99.5% detection effectiveness
- B. Add a second automated test station to catch the 4% of reversed capacitors that escape the first
- C. Retrain all operators on correct polarity identification using enhanced colorcoded component markings
- D. Redesign the PCB pad layout or component leads to be physically asymmetric so the capacitor can only be inserted in the correct orientation — preventing the error at the source; physical prevention is fundamentally more reliable than even 96% detection

126. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's design review process. Reviews are oneway presentations by design engineers to management. No crossfunctional attendees, no structured evaluation against requirements, no formal action tracking. What improvements are needed?

- A. The presentation format meets all ISO 9001:2015 design review requirements as currently implemented
- B. Design reviews should only include design team members and senior management for confidentiality
- C. Transform into structured crossfunctional evaluations — systematic assessment against design inputs, manufacturing/quality/purchasing/service participation, documented action items with owners and deadlines, verified closure before proceeding; a presentation without structured assessment misses the review's purpose
- D. Replace design reviews entirely with design verification testing as the sole evaluation mechanism

127. A quality engineer is implementing a corrective action for contamination on optical surfaces. The 5 Whys: contamination → airborne particles → HEPA filter degraded → filter past service life → no PM schedule → clean room excluded from facility PM at installation. Systemic corrective action?

- A. Replace the current HEPA filter and return to production without further systemic changes
- B. Establish a documented PM schedule for all clean room equipment integrated into the facilitywide PM program — defined replacement intervals based on manufacturer specifications and differential pressure monitoring, assigned responsibilities, and a new equipment enrollment process at installation
- C. Retrain all operators on proper clean room gowning and garment procedures to minimize particles
- D. Add a final cleaning step after optical assembly to remove surface contamination before packaging

128. A quality engineer is reviewing management review data presentation. Quality data shown as monthly averages only — no trends, no statistical analysis. Defect rates fluctuate 2.4%–3.6%. Management cannot determine if quality is improving. What analytical improvement is needed?

- A. Present data on control charts or run charts that distinguish common cause fluctuation from real changes — enabling evidence-based statements about quality direction rather than subjective interpretation of random month-to-month variation
- B. Monthly average format adequately communicates quality performance to all management audiences
- C. Replace monthly data with annual averages to smooth random fluctuation for clearer interpretation
- D. Present only the best monthly result each quarter to demonstrate continuous improvement trajectory

129. A quality engineer is analyzing a process with positive autocorrelation ( $\rho_1 = 0.86$ ) on an IMR chart producing excessive false alarms. What alternative monitoring approaches should be considered?

- A. The excessive alarms indicate genuine instability and every signal should be fully investigated
- B. Autocorrelation has no effect on control chart performance under any data conditions
- C. Adding more data points to the chart naturally reduces autocorrelation over extended time periods
- D. EWMA charts, timeseries models that remove autocorrelation before charting, or increased sampling intervals that reduce interobservation correlation — these alternatives accommodate the correlated data that violates the independence assumption underlying standard IMR charts

130. A quality engineer is implementing a process control plan for a critical aerospace fastener. SPC uses  $\bar{X}$ bar/R with  $n = 5$  every hour. Production = 30 parts/hour. Between checks, 30 parts are unverified. If the process shifts  $1.5\sigma$  after a measurement, what is the exposure?

- A. Zero exposure because SPC provides realtime continuous protection against all process shifts
- B. Exactly 5 parts — equal to the subgroup size measured at the next scheduled SPC check
- C. The 30 parts produced between checks are the immediate exposure — while SPC will likely detect the  $1.5\sigma$  shift within 12 subgroups, the first check may not signal, meaning 3060 parts could be at risk before detection and response
- D. Approximately 150 parts representing 5 hours at the theoretical average run length for  $1.5\sigma$  shifts

131. A quality engineer is reviewing a product's design FMEA for a brake component. Severity = 10 (loss of braking), Occurrence = 2, Detection = 2. RPN = 40. Under AIAG/VDA Action Priority, what priority applies?

- A. Severity = 10 mandates high priority regardless of the low RPN — catastrophic safety consequences require verification that prevention and detection controls are genuinely effective; the AP framework recognizes that consequences of underestimating occurrence or detection for safety failures are irreversible
- B. The low RPN of 40 correctly indicates minimal risk requiring no additional action or control verification
- C. Only failure modes with RPN exceeding 100 require any form of mandatory action under the AP method
- D. The Occurrence and Detection ratings of 2 each adequately compensate for the Severity of 10

132. A quality engineer is conducting a process capability study. Specification =  $15.00 \pm 0.04$  mm. Data:  $\bar{x} = 14.98$  mm,  $\hat{\sigma} = 0.010$  mm. Calculate  $C_p$  and  $C_{pk}$ .

- A.  $C_p = 0.08/(6 \times 0.010) = 1.33$ ;  $C_{pk} = 1.33$  because the 0.02 mm offset is negligible for this tolerance
- B.  $C_p = 0.08/(6 \times 0.010) = 1.33$ ;  $C_{PU} = (15.0414.98)/(3 \times 0.010) = 2.00$ ;  $C_{PL} = (14.9814.96)/(3 \times 0.010) = 0.67$ ;  $C_{pk} = 0.67$  — constrained by LSL because the mean is shifted below nominal
- C.  $C_p$  and  $C_{pk}$  both equal 2.00 since only the upper specification is relevant for this dimension
- D.  $C_{pk}$  cannot be calculated when the process mean falls below the specification nominal value

133. A quality engineer is implementing a lean initiative. A CNC machining center has OEE = 61%. Breakdown: Availability = 74%, Performance = 90%, Quality = 92%. Primary availability losses are 90minute changeovers. Which lean tool should be applied first?

- A. Total productive maintenance to reduce equipment breakdown frequency and increase uptime
- B. Statistical process control to address the 8% quality loss component of OEE
- C. SMED to reduce the 90minute changeover — availability (74%) is the dominant OEE loss and changeovers are the primary contributor; reducing setup time directly addresses the largest loss component and simultaneously enables smaller batch sizes for improved production flexibility
- D. Value stream mapping to identify additional waste sources before targeting equipmentlevel OEE

134. A quality engineer discovers that internal auditors have classified all findings as "minor" for 4 consecutive years — no "major" findings issued despite two product recalls and three regulatory citations during the same period. What does this pattern suggest?

- A. The organization genuinely has no major quality system nonconformities despite the external events
- B. Minor classification is appropriate because internal audits are not designed to detect major issues
- C. Major nonconformity findings can only be issued by external certification body auditors
- D. Auditors may lack confidence, authority, or clear criteria to issue major findings, or management pressure discourages major classifications — recalls and citations should have corresponding major internal findings; classification criteria need review and auditors need empowerment to rate findings appropriately

135. A quality engineer discovers that the organization's labor utilization KPI requires  $\geq 93\%$  at every workstation. Operators continue producing even when downstream stations are backed up, creating WIP accumulation. What lean waste does this metric promote?

- A. High machine utilization is fully aligned with lean manufacturing principles and waste elimination
- B. The utilization metric directly promotes overproduction — operators produce to fill idle time rather than to customer demand, creating inventory the system doesn't need; lean replaces local utilization metrics with flowbased measures like takt adherence and throughput that align production with actual demand
- C. Utilization metrics only cause problems at bottleneck stations, not at unconstrained workstations
- D. The utilization target should be increased to 98% for optimal lean production performance

136. A quality engineer is reviewing a supplier's SPC data. Control limits established 2.5 years ago. Multiple process improvements since then. What is the primary concern?

- A. Twoandahalfyearold limits always remain valid as the historical process baseline reference
- B. The outdated wider limits may be unable to detect current process changes — if improvements reduced the standard deviation, the old limits cannot detect shifts that updated narrower limits would reveal; the chart's sensitivity has degraded, allowing quality changes to go unnoticed
- C. Older control limits are always more conservative and provide better quality protection
- D. Control limits should never be recalculated because updating them introduces statistical bias

137. A quality engineer is implementing a calibration program and must calculate combined standard uncertainty. Sources: instrument accuracy ( $\pm 0.005$  mm), thermal expansion ( $\pm 0.007$  mm), operator technique ( $\pm 0.003$  mm), reference standard ( $\pm 0.001$  mm). All independent.

- A. Combined =  $0.005 + 0.007 + 0.003 + 0.001 = 0.016$  mm by arithmetic addition of all sources
- B. Combined =  $\max(0.005, 0.007, 0.003, 0.001) = 0.007$  mm using only the dominant source

C. Combined =  $\sqrt{(0.005^2 + 0.007^2 + 0.003^2 + 0.001^2)} = \sqrt{0.000084} = 0.00917$  mm — thermal expansion dominates at 58% of total variance

D. Combined standard uncertainty =  $\sqrt{(0.005^2 + 0.007^2 + 0.003^2 + 0.001^2)} = \sqrt{(0.000025 + 0.000049 + 0.000009 + 0.000001)} = \sqrt{0.000084} = 0.00917$  mm — thermal expansion is the dominant contributor, identifying environmental temperature control as the highest leverage improvement

138. A quality engineer is reviewing management review outputs from the past 3 years. Every review produces identical conclusions: "continue monitoring" and "maintain efforts." No specific actions, resource allocations, or assignments are documented. What requirement is unmet?

- A. The outputs are adequate as long as the review was conducted with top management attending
- B. Management review outputs are advisory only and do not require specific documented decisions
- C. ISO 9001:2015 Clause 9.3.3 requires management review outputs to include specific decisions and actions regarding improvement opportunities, QMS changes, and resource needs — vague continuation statements fail to produce the actionable outputs that drive actual quality improvement
- D. Only financial resource allocation decisions need to be documented from management review

139. A quality engineer is implementing a visual management system. The andon system installed 7 months ago — operators rarely use yellow (warning), skipping directly to red (stop). What management change enables early warning?

- A. Remove the yellow signal since operators have demonstrated they will not use it in practice
- B. Establish positive reinforcement for early yellow signal use — leaders must respond quickly and supportively, demonstrating that early problem reporting is valued rather than criticized; operators avoid yellow signals when they perceive reporting brings negative attention or blame
- C. Discipline operators who fail to activate yellow signals at the appropriate threshold point
- D. Replace the andon system with fully automated monitoring that eliminates operator judgment entirely

140. A quality engineer is analyzing warranty data. Exponential distribution with MTTF = 50,000 miles. Warranty = 36,000 miles. Using  $R(t) = e^{-(t/MTTF)}$ , what percentage fails during warranty?

- A. 72%, calculated as  $36,000/50,000 \times 100\%$  using the simple linear ratio approximation
- B. Approximately 51.3%, calculated as  $1 - e^{-(36000/50000)} = 1 - e^{-0.72} = 1 - 0.487 = 0.513$  — over half of components fail within warranty, indicating a serious reliability problem requiring immediate improvement
- C. 50%, because exactly half of components fail before the MTTF in exponential distributions
- D. 0%, because the warranty period is shorter than the MTTF, meaning no failures should occur

141. A quality engineer is implementing TPM. OEE calculation: planned time = 480 min, breaks = 30 min, unplanned downtime = 60 min, ideal cycle = 2.0 min/part, actual output = 165 parts, rejected = 7. Calculate OEE.

- A.  $OEE = 165/480 = 34.4\%$  using actual output divided by total scheduled time
- B.  $OEE = (1657)/165 = 95.8\%$  using only the quality rate component
- C. Available time = 450 min. Availability =  $(450-60)/450 = 390/450 = 0.867$ . Performance =  $(165 \times 2.0)/390 = 330/390 = 0.846$ . Quality =  $158/165 = 0.958$ .  $OEE = 0.867 \times 0.846 \times 0.958 = 0.703$  or 70.3%
- D.  $OEE = 390/450 = 86.7\%$  using only the availability calculation

142. A quality engineer is reviewing a process validation for sterilization. Validation tested minimum cycle (worst case for sterility). The engineer asks about maximum cycle testing. The team argues longer sterilization always improves sterility. What concern should be raised?

- A. Longer sterilization always benefits both sterility and product integrity without any tradeoffs
- B. Maximum cycle testing is only required for ethylene oxide sterilization, not steam or radiation

C. The team is correct — only minimum cycle testing is ever relevant for sterilization validation

D. Excessive sterilization exposure can damage the product — material degradation, dimensional changes, seal compromise, embrittlement, or functional impairment; the maximum validated cycle must also be tested to confirm product integrity is maintained at the upper sterilization extreme

143. A quality engineer is conducting a twoproportion Ztest. Line A: 25 defectives/1,600 (1.56%). Line B: 12 defectives/1,600 (0.75%). Pooled  $\hat{p} = 37/3,200 = 0.01156$ .  $Z = 2.32$ . Critical Z at  $\alpha = 0.05$  (twosided) =  $\pm 1.96$ . Conclusion?

A. Both lines have equal rates because both are below 2% which is within acceptable industry norms

B.  $Z = 2.32$  exceeds 1.96, providing significant evidence Line A has a higher defect rate — the quality engineer should investigate equipment, operator, material, and parameter differences between lines

C. The test is invalid because both defect rates must exceed 5% for valid normal approximation

D. The result is borderline and requires larger sample sizes before any conclusions can be drawn

144. A quality engineer is reviewing a product reliability test. Fortyfive units completed 5,000 hours with zero failures. Using chisquare:  $MTBF_{lower} = 2T/\chi^2(0.10, 2)$  where  $T = 225,000$  hours. Demonstrated MTBF at 90% confidence?

A.  $MTBF_{lower} = 2(225,000)/4.605 = 450,000/4.605 = 97,720$  hours — zero failures establishes a statistical lower bound at 90% confidence, not infinite reliability; larger samples or longer tests increase this bound

B.  $MTBF = \text{infinity}$  because no units failed during the complete test period

C.  $MTBF = 5,000$  hours equal to the individual unit test duration

D.  $MTBF_{lower} = 225,000/4.605 = 48,860$  hours, incorrectly omitting the required factor of 2

145. A quality engineer is implementing a riskbased approach to incoming inspection. Material V: safetycritical, new supplier, no history. Material W: noncritical, 9year zerodeflect supplier. Material X: safetycritical, certified supplier with recent 5× defect increase. Material Y: critical, established supplier,  $C_{pk} > 2.0$ . Rank most to least intensive.

- A. All four should receive identical inspection for consistency and supplier relationship fairness
- B.  $W \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow V$ , prioritizing established suppliers regardless of current performance trends
- C. V and X (most intensive)  $\rightarrow$  Y (moderate)  $\rightarrow$  W (least intensive) — safetycritical items from unproven suppliers (V) and from suppliers with deteriorating quality (X) demand the most rigorous inspection; critical items from proven capable suppliers (Y) need moderate verification; noncritical items from longestablished zerodeflect suppliers (W) need minimal oversight
- D. Only V requires inspection; all others can receive skiplot based on their certifications alone

146. A quality engineer discovers that SPC charts for 28 characteristics are plotted daily but operators never respond to outofcontrol signals. Five charts currently show unaddressed signals present for 49 days. What is the fundamental problem?

- A. Twentyeight charts is too many and the number should be reduced to fewer than 10 for effectiveness
- B. Weekly review during quality meetings is a perfectly adequate response timeline for SPC signals
- C. The charts are functioning correctly because data points are being accurately plotted and recorded
- D. SPC without timely response provides no quality protection — plotting without acting on signals is equivalent to installing fire alarms without training anyone to evacuate; the 49 day delays mean potentially nonconforming product was produced throughout the unaddressed signal periods

147. A quality engineer is reviewing a process that has demonstrated  $C_p = 1.60$  and  $C_{pk} = 1.55$ . The nearequality provides which specific information about the process?

- A. The process has inadequate capability and must be improved to achieve  $C_{pk} > 2.0$  for acceptance

- B. Cp and Cpk values this similar always indicate a calculation error requiring investigation
- C. The nearequality provides no useful diagnostic information about the process centering condition
- D. The process is wellcentered within its specification — the nearequality confirms the mean is close to the midpoint with minimal capability lost to offcentering; this ideal condition means virtually all inherent process capability is effectively utilized

148. A quality engineer is implementing a lean initiative. Finished goods inventory = 50 days. Customer lead time = 5 days. The engineer proposes reducing to 12 days. Finance objects about demand buffer loss. How should the case be framed?

- A. Immediately reduce to 12 days regardless of finance department concerns about service disruption
- B. The 50day inventory ties up significant working capital — reducing to 12 days (2.4× customer lead time) frees substantial cash; implement gradually with demand forecasting and production flexibility improvements to maintain service levels during the transition
- C. Maintain the 50day inventory because the finance department's buffer concern has operational merit
- D. Eliminate all finished goods inventory to implement pure maketorder production immediately

149. A quality engineer is reviewing a supplier's process capability report. Cpk = 2.08 based on 95 measurements from a single 4hour shift using one material lot. Why might this overstate longterm capability?

- A. Singleshift data always provides accurate longterm capability estimates regardless of conditions
- B. Ninetyfive measurements provides a reliable estimate under all circumstances and collection periods
- C. Singleshift, singlelot data captures only shortterm variation — missing between shift operator differences, lottolot material variation, environmental changes, and equipment thermal cycling; longterm capability including all additional sources will almost certainly be lower than the favorable 2.08
- D. The 4hour collection period is the recommended standard for all capability studies

150. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's COQ structure and discovers external failure costs are excluded. The quality manager argues finance tracks warranty claims separately. Why is this exclusion a significant analytical gap?

- A. External failures tracked by finance are redundant and their exclusion avoids doublecounting
- B. External failure costs are always the smallest COQ category and can be safely excluded from analysis
- C. ISO 9001 does not require any form of cost of quality tracking, including external failures
- D. Excluding external failures presents an incomplete COQ picture — they are often the largest and most strategically important category (warranty, recalls, liability, lost sales, reputation damage); without them, the analysis understates total quality costs and may fail to justify prevention investments

151. A quality engineer is implementing a CAPA system. Average investigation time = 65 days. Average implementation time = 5 days. What does this imbalanced pattern reveal?

- A. The 65day investigation demonstrates appropriately thorough and careful root cause analysis
- B. The 65day investigation phase is the primary bottleneck — likely caused by insufficient resources, lack of RCA skills, competing priorities, or absence of urgency escalation; streamlining methods, providing training, and establishing priority protocols would dramatically reduce total cycle time
- C. Investigation should be extended to 90 days to ensure even more comprehensive root cause analysis
- D. The rapid 5day implementation confirms organizational commitment to swift corrective action

152. A quality engineer is reviewing an organization's quality objectives: "Improve customer satisfaction" and "Reduce waste." Per ISO 9001:2015 Clause 6.2, what elements must be added?

- A. Each objective must specify a measurable target, timeframe, planned actions, resources, responsible individuals, and evaluation method — "improve customer satisfaction" becomes actionable only when it defines the metric, target, deadline, action plan, resources, owner, and monitoring approach
- B. Adding numerical targets (e.g., "improve by 15%") is the only additional element needed

- C. Quality objectives require only the quality manager's approval signature for ISO compliance
- D. The standard does not specify any content requirements for quality objectives beyond general intent

153. A quality engineer is reviewing a product design incorporating GD&T. Feature control frame: total runout 0.03 mm to datum A. A colleague asks how total runout differs from circular runout. What is the key distinction?

- A. Total runout and circular runout are identical geometric controls with different terminology
- B. Circular runout is always a more restrictive control than total runout for the same tolerance value
- C. Total runout applies exclusively to flat surfaces while circular runout applies to cylindrical features
- D. Total runout controls the entire surface simultaneously during rotation, capturing both crosssectional errors (roundness) and axial errors (taper, straightness, profile); circular runout checks individual crosssections independently and cannot detect taper or waviness between measured slices

154. A quality engineer is analyzing a scatter diagram. Pearson  $r = 0.10$ , Spearman  $\rho = 0.82$ . The large discrepancy indicates which condition?

- A. The Pearson coefficient is always more accurate and the Spearman result should be disregarded
- B. Both coefficients are unreliable and a fundamentally different analytical approach is needed
- C. A sample size issue makes both correlation coefficients statistically meaningless for this dataset
- D. A strong monotonic but nonlinear relationship exists — Spearman captures consistent directional trends regardless of linearity while Pearson measures only linear association; the variables move together reliably but in a curved pattern requiring nonlinear regression modeling

155. A quality engineer is reviewing a process validation for a heat treatment furnace. Validation at 80part load. Production runs 240 parts. Why might the validation not apply?

- A. Heat treatment results are completely independent of furnace load size for all furnace types
- B. The 3× increase in thermal mass alters heatup rates, temperature distribution, soak time, and cooling uniformity — parts in the center of the larger load may not reach required temperature due to thermal shielding effects; the process must be validated at the actual production load level
- C. Validation at minimum load always represents worstcase conditions for all heat treatment processes
- D. Load size differences only affect sterilization validations, never metallurgical heat treatment processes

156. A quality engineer is implementing a lean initiative and calculates PCE for an ECO process: total lead time = 42 days, valueadded work = 5.5 hours. What is the PCE?

- A.  $PCE = 5.5 / (42 \times 8) = 5.5 / 336 = 1.64\%$  — over 98% of ECO lead time is nonvalueadded; approval queues, departmental inboxes, and interfunction transfers consume the vast majority of cycle time, revealing massive lead time reduction opportunity
- B.  $PCE = 5.5 / 42 = 13.1\%$  using hours divided by days without proper unit conversion
- C. PCE cannot be calculated for administrative/office processes because they lack material flow
- D. The 42day ECO cycle time is standard industry performance indicating no improvement opportunity

157. A quality engineer is reviewing an organization's internal audit schedule. All 12 departmental audits compressed into March. By February, processes haven't been audited for 11 months. What improvement should be recommended?

- A. Compressing audits into March is optimal for concentrated auditor focus and annual planning
- B. Move all audits to Q4 to capture yearend performance data for the annual management review

- C. Reduce the total number of audits from 12 to 6 to allow more thorough assessment of each area
- D. Distribute audits across all 12 months for continuous surveillance — ongoing assessment enables earlier problem detection, distributes auditor workload evenly, and ensures no process goes more than a few months without evaluation

158. A quality engineer is reviewing a supplier corrective action. Root cause: "Machine out of calibration." Action: "Machine recalibrated." Third identical response for the same recurring problem. What should the quality engineer require?

- A. The response is adequate — the machine was recalibrated and will produce conforming parts
- B. The supplier should immediately purchase a replacement machine to permanently resolve drift
- C. Require investigation of why the machine drifts (wear, vibration, thermal), why drift isn't detected earlier (SPC, PM schedule), and what systemic change prevents recurrence (enhanced PM, automated monitoring, tighter intervals) — three identical responses prove the calibration drift root cause is unaddressed
- D. Accept the response but triple the incoming inspection sample size for additional consumer protection

159. A quality engineer discovers that the organization's nonconforming product disposition has an 85% useasis rate with cursory "no functional impact" justifications. What two problems does this reveal?

- A. Useasis rates above 80% are standard and expected for any mature manufacturing operation
- B. All engineering signed justifications are automatically adequate regardless of analytical depth
- C. All nonconforming product should always be scrapped regardless of engineering evaluation findings
- D. Two problems: (1) specifications may be unnecessarily tight, warranting a formal specification review; (2) cursory single sentence justifications do not demonstrate thorough analysis of fit, function, reliability, downstream impact, and customer compliance — both the specification appropriateness and disposition rigor need attention

160. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's approach to handling customer complaints. Each resolved individually with no trend analysis. What improvement provides the most analytical value?

- A. Systematic trend analysis — Pareto by category, stratification by product/region/time/customer, correlation with production variables, root cause pattern identification — detecting systemic issues, prioritizing improvements, and identifying emerging patterns before they affect more customers
- B. Increase complaint response speed to reduce average resolution time below 24 hours
- C. Hire additional complaint handling staff to eliminate the backlog of unresolved complaints
- D. Individual complaint resolution fully satisfies all ISO 9001:2015 complaint handling requirements

161. A quality engineer is analyzing the economic justification for errorproofing. Current process: connector insertion error at 650 ppm. Cost per error = \$100. Annual volume = 1.6 million units. Pokayoke cost = \$40,000. Eliminates 97% of errors. Payback period?

- A. Payback exceeds 18 months, making the investment economically marginal for this error rate
- B. Cannot be calculated without knowing the product selling price per unit
- C. Current annual cost =  $1,600,000 \times 0.00065 \times \$100 = \$104,000$ . Savings at 97% = \$100,880. Payback =  $\$40,000/\$100,880 \approx 4.8$  months — the device pays for itself in under 5 months
- D. Annual savings = \$40,000 because savings always equal the investment amount for pokayoke devices

162. A quality engineer is reviewing a Gage R&R study conducted at constant 22°C. Production area temperature varies 1729°C daily. Why is this a concern?

- A. Temperature has no effect on measurement system performance regardless of instrument type
- B. The constant temperature study captured bestcase performance — production temperature variation adds thermal expansion of instruments and parts beyond what the study captured; actual %GRR will likely be higher, potentially shifting from acceptable to unacceptable

- C. Temperature effects matter only for measurements with tolerances below 0.001 mm in precision labs
- D. Gage R&R studies automatically account for temperature effects through the random error component

163. A quality engineer is implementing a measurement system for destructive peel strength testing. The standard crossed Gage R&R cannot be used. What MSA approach is appropriate?

- A. The standard crossed design can be applied by testing at reduced force to avoid specimen destruction
- B. A nested (hierarchical) Gage R&R — each operator tests unique specimens from the same population; the nested ANOVA separates operator effects from specimen variation, providing repeatability and reproducibility estimates without requiring the same specimen to be measured by multiple operators
- C. Destructive tests cannot be evaluated using any measurement system analysis methodology
- D. A linearity study completely replaces Gage R&R for all destructive adhesion testing applications

164. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's FMEA practice and discovers the team assigns Detection = 1 for every failure mode with 100% automated final inspection. Why might this be inappropriate?

- A. No automated system achieves 100% detection — every inspection has finite escape rates; Detection = 1 implies virtual certainty that overstates any real system's capability; actual effectiveness should be measured through validation studies and the rating adjusted to reflect demonstrated performance
- B. Detection = 1 is always appropriate when validated 100% automated inspection is in place
- C. Detection ratings should never consider automated systems — only manual inspection methods apply
- D. A standard onelevel adjustment to Detection = 2 should be applied for all automated systems

165. A quality engineer is implementing acceptance sampling under Z1.4. Ten consecutive lots accepted under normal, production steady, authority approves. What changes under reduced inspection?

- A. Sample size increases under reduced inspection to provide more thorough quality verification
- B. Sample size remains the same but the acceptance number is doubled for greater flexibility
- C. Reduced inspection eliminates all sampling and relies solely on supplier certification documents
- D. Sample size decreases, reflecting earned confidence — but Z1.4 requires immediate return to normal if a lot is rejected, production becomes irregular, or any adverse quality condition arises

166. A quality engineer is analyzing a control chart. The Xbar chart shows 11 consecutive points below the center line while the R chart is stable. What should be investigated?

- A. No investigation needed because the R chart stability confirms the process is unchanged
- B. The 11point run below center triggers the Western Electric run rule (8+ consecutive on one side) — the process mean has shifted downward; investigate what changed (material, settings, operator, environment) and either correct the cause or establish new limits if the shift is permanent and acceptable
- C. Recalculate limits using only the last 11 subgroups as the new baseline without investigation
- D. The run rule requires 15+ consecutive points on one side, so 11 does not trigger investigation

167. A quality engineer is reviewing a product's design FMEA not updated in 5 years. During that time: 20 customer complaints about a failure mode not in the FMEA, two design revisions, manufacturing transferred to new facility. What should the quality engineer require?

- A. The original designphase FMEA remains valid because it captured the design intent at release
- B. Only the 20 customer complaints need to be added as a minor update to the existing FMEA
- C. The FMEA should be completely recreated from scratch and the original document discarded entirely

D. Update the FMEA with field failure data (revealing unanticipated failure modes), evaluate both design revisions' impact on existing ratings, assess the facility transfer's effect on occurrence and detection, and add new failure modes from 5 years of experience — making it a living document

168. A quality engineer is implementing a supplier development program. Budget allows 5 of 48 suppliers. Which selection maximizes impact?

A. Select the 5 with the longest business relationship to reward loyalty and strengthen partnerships

B. Select 5 randomly to ensure every supplier eventually receives development program attention

C. Select based only on incoming defect rate, choosing the 5 with the highest current defect rates

D. Select based on weighted criteria: component criticality, quality trend deterioration, singlesource risk, strategic importance, and cost of poor quality — directing investment where improvement generates greatest total business impact

169. A quality engineer is reviewing a process validation for a sterilization process. Validation tested minimum cycle (worst case for sterility). The engineer asks about maximum cycle. The team argues longer is always better. What concern should be raised?

A. Longer sterilization always improves both sterility and product integrity without any tradeoffs

B. Maximum cycle testing is only required for ethylene oxide sterilization, not steam or radiation methods

C. Excessive sterilization can damage the product — material degradation, dimensional changes, embrittlement, seal compromise, discoloration, or functional impairment; maximum validated conditions must also be tested to verify product integrity at the upper sterilization extreme

D. The validation team is correct — only minimum cycle testing is ever relevant for sterilization processes

170. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's approach to management review. The review focuses exclusively on past performance (lagging indicators) with no forwardlooking analysis. ISO 9001:2015 Clause 9.3.2 requires consideration of "changes in external and internal issues." What should be added?

- A. Management review should focus exclusively on historical data because it is the only objective basis
- B. Forwardlooking analysis is only required for formal strategic planning, not management review meetings
- C. Adding only competitor quality benchmarking constitutes adequate forwardlooking review content
- D. Add analysis of emerging risks, regulatory changes, market trends, technology developments, and planned organizational changes — enabling proactive QMS adaptation rather than purely reactive response to historical data

171. A quality engineer is implementing a riskbased calibration interval program. A precision gage used 45 times daily on safetycritical aerospace parts has a 12month interval. Last 4 calibrations show bias trending toward tolerance: 0.001, 0.002, 0.003, 0.004 mm. Recommendation?

- A. Shorten the calibration interval — the progressive drift (0.001→0.004 mm) indicates the instrument will likely exceed tolerance before the next 12month calibration; for highuse safetycritical aerospace applications, the interval must be shortened to prevent outoftolerance operation
- B. Maintain the 12month interval because the instrument has passed all four calibrations
- C. Extend to 18 months because consistent passing demonstrates exceptional instrument stability
- D. Only adjust intervals after an instrument actually fails calibration, never based on drift trending

172. A quality engineer is reviewing a Weibull analysis:  $\beta = 3.5$ ,  $\eta = 35,000$  hours. The maintenance department wants replacement ensuring  $\leq 5\%$  fail before replacement. Using  $F(t) = 0.05$ :  $t = \eta \times (\ln(0.95))^{(1/\beta)}$ .

- A.  $t = 35,000 \times 0.05 = 1,750$  hours based on 5% of characteristic life
- B.  $t = 35,000 \times (0.0513)^{(1/3.5)} = 35,000 \times (0.0513)^{(0.286)} \approx 35,000 \times 0.395 \approx 13,825$  hours — replacement at this interval ensures 95% survive to scheduled maintenance
- C.  $t = 35,000/3.5 = 10,000$  hours by dividing the scale parameter by the shape parameter
- D. The replacement interval cannot be determined from Weibull parameters without failure rate tables

173. A quality engineer is analyzing a process:  $C_p = 1.75$ ,  $C_{pk} = 0.70$ . This extreme gap indicates which condition and what improvement strategy?

- A. The process standard deviation must be reduced through fundamental equipment redesign
- B. The specification limits should be widened to accommodate the current process output distribution
- C. The measurement system introduces bias that artificially depresses  $C_{pk}$  relative to  $C_p$
- D. Excellent inherent spread ( $6\sigma$  uses only 57% of tolerance) but severe offcentering — the mean has drifted far from the midpoint; recentering recovers lost capability immediately without variation reduction investment, making it the most efficient improvement strategy

174. A quality engineer is reviewing a process capability study where all data came from first shift only over 2 days. The process runs 3 shifts using material from 4 suppliers. Why might the reported  $C_{pk}$  overstate longterm capability?

- A. Two days of firstshift data always provides accurate longterm capability estimates
- B. The data collection period is irrelevant as long as total measurements exceed 100
- C. Firstshift data always produces lower  $C_{pk}$  values because morning operators are less experienced
- D. Firstshiftonly data misses second and third shift operator variation, captures only one of potentially 4 material lots, and samples limited environmental conditions — the observed variation underrepresents total longterm variation, producing an artificially high  $C_{pk}$

175. A quality engineer is reviewing the organization's COQ data. Prevention = \$75K (5%), Appraisal = \$255K (17%), Internal Failure = \$700K (47%), External Failure = \$470K (31%). Total = \$1.5M on \$18M revenue (8.3%). Strategic recommendation?

- A. Significantly increase prevention investment — with 78% in failure categories and only 5% in prevention, each prevention dollar typically eliminates 35 failure dollars; this is the highest return quality cost strategy available to the organization
- B. Reduce appraisal by 50% to lower total COQ from 8.3% to approximately 7.1% of revenue
- C. Maintain current allocation because 8.3% is within typical industry benchmark ranges
- D. Increase external failure spending to improve warranty processing speed and customer retention

This completes Practice Exam 12: all 175 questions and the entire 12exam series.

Would you like me to generate the Answer Key and Explanations for Questions 101–175 to complete the project?

## Practice Exam 12: Answer Key and Explanations

1. C — Consecutive parts from a 32cavity mold come from different cavities, mixing 32 distinct process streams within each subgroup. This inflates withinsubgroup variation, widens control limits, and masks individual cavity problems. Rational subgrouping should track cavity identity so cavityspecific issues become visible on the R chart.

2. A —  $R_{\text{actuator\_new}} = 1(10.993)^2 = 10.000049 = 0.999951$ .  $R_{\text{sys}} = 0.997 \times 0.999 \times 0.999951 = 0.9960$ . Despite dramatically improving the actuator subsystem, the system still falls short of the 0.999 target because the sensor (0.997) and controller (0.999) now limit system reliability — additional redundancy on these components is also needed.

3. D — Rotatability ( $\alpha = 1.682$ ) provides equal prediction variance at all points equidistant from the design center. The quality of the response surface estimate depends only on distance from center, not

direction. Facecentered designs ( $\alpha = 1.0$ ) do not have this equalvariance property, meaning prediction quality varies with direction from the center point.

4. B —  $Z = (\ln(10000) - 10.8)/0.65 = (9.210 - 10.8)/0.65 = 1.590/0.65 = 2.446$ .  $P(Z < 2.446) \approx 0.0072$  or approximately 0.72%. This very low warranty failure rate indicates excellent gearbox reliability during the 10,000hour warranty period, with fewer than 1 in 100 units expected to fail.

5. C — The 500part batch from stamping creates 10 hours of WIP inventory before welding, violating the flow principle. This extended inventory buffer delays defect detection, extends lead time, consumes floor space, and ties up capital. Reducing batch size through SMED on the stamping press enables better flow alignment with downstream onepiece consumption.

6. A — The measured value has associated uncertainty — the true dimension could be above or below the measured 25.00 mm within the uncertainty band. Accepting a part at exactly the specification limit without guardbanding creates significant probability that the true value exceeds the specification, passing nonconforming product as conforming.

7. D — Temporal variation at 52% is the dominant contributor. In boring operations, progressive shiftrelated causes include thermal expansion of spindle and workpiece, tool wear changing bore diameter, coolant temperature rise, and hydraulic pressure drift affecting boring bar extension. Addressing this dominant source provides the largest overall variation reduction.

8. B — Segregation and rework constitute a correction — the immediate action to eliminate the detected nonconformity. No root cause investigation was performed and no systemic changes were implemented. Corrective action would additionally require determining why the nonconformity occurred and implementing changes to prevent recurrence.

9. C — Singlelot API testing does not capture lottolot variation among 4 suppliers. Different manufacturers produce API with different particle sizes, moisture content, bulk density, and flow characteristics — even when meeting the same specification. Testing with representative lots from multiple suppliers confirms the process is robust to material variation.

10. D — If parts from two populations are mixed within subgroups, the subgroup averages tend toward the grand mean, masking the bimodal distribution. Both population means produce averages within the control limits, so the chart shows no signal. The histogram reveals the distribution shape that the sequential Xbar chart architecture cannot directly assess.

11. A — A comprehensive evaluation must include quality, delivery, financial health, service, and personnel stability. A zerodeflect supplier with 35% late delivery, ignored CAPAs, two ownership changes, and key personnel loss poses critical supply chain risk. Defectrateonly metrics provide a dangerously incomplete picture of supplier viability.

12. B — Significant effects are A ( $p=0.001$ ), B ( $p=0.003$ ), and AB ( $p=0.005$ ). Both parents of the significant interaction are individually significant, satisfying the hierarchy principle. Factor C has no significant effect or interactions, making it a free variable for nonquality optimization such as throughput or energy cost.

13. D —  $R(60000) = e^{((60000/90000)^{2.2})} = e^{(0.667)^{2.2}}$ . Calculating:  $(0.667)^{2.2} \approx 0.411$ .  $R = e^{(0.411)} \approx 0.663$ . Approximately 33.7% of transmissions fail within the 60,000mile warranty — a substantial liability requiring design improvement, manufacturing quality enhancement, or warranty cost provisioning.

14. C — The audit program must expand beyond documentation checks to evaluate process effectiveness — whether processes achieve intended outcomes, quality objectives are met, and the QMS delivers conforming products. Surfacelevel documentation auditing misses the fundamental purpose of quality system assessment.

15. A — Standard IMR charts assume independent observations. Positive autocorrelation ( $r_1 = 0.85$ ) creates correlated patterns — runs and trends — that the chart interprets as process signals. These patterns trigger rules far more frequently than the expected 0.27% rate because the independence assumption underlying the control limits is violated.

16. B — Verification confirmed engineering specifications were met, but validation revealed the design fails user needs in clinical conditions. Display confusion caused flow rate errors, and quiet alarms went unheard in noisy wards. A product can pass every specification test yet be unsafe when specifications did not capture usability and environmental requirements.

17. D — Reduced inspection uses a smaller sample size, reflecting confidence from 10 consecutive acceptances. However, Z1.4 switching rules require immediate return to normal if any lot is rejected, production becomes irregular, or adverse conditions arise. The reduced status is conditional and revocable.

18. C — Three distinct clusters with abrupt transitions indicate assignable causes at each transition point — material lot changes, operator shift changes, tool replacements, or fixture adjustments. Each cluster represents a different process condition. The stable R chart confirms within-subgroup variation remained constant while the mean shifted between levels.

19. B —  $PCE = 65 / (25 \times 8 \times 60) = 65 / 12,000 = 0.54\%$ . Over 99.4% of lead time is nonvalue-added — queue time, inventory storage, transport, and inspection waiting. This extremely low efficiency reveals massive improvement opportunity through lean waste elimination targeting the dominant nonvalue-added activities.

20. C — RSS tolerance analysis requires that all components are independently manufactured, approximately normally distributed, and centered within tolerances. If any dimension is biased toward one extreme or the assembly has critical functional consequences from exceeding the clearance limit, the statistical assumptions may not hold and worstcase or modified RSS may be more appropriate.

21. D — The register almost certainly does not reflect current risks after 5 new products, 7 supplier changes, ERP implementation, and 40% facility expansion. Each event introduces new risks and fundamentally alters existing ones. The outdated register provides false security while failing to identify emerging threats from the dramatically changed context.

22. C — With  $\%GRR = 4.0\%$  (well below 10%) and  $ndc = 17$  (far above minimum 5), the system meets both AIAG criteria with substantial margin. Excellent discrimination and minimal measurement variation make this system fully suitable for all quality decisions including SPC, capability analysis, and accept/reject on safety-critical fasteners.

23. B —  $K = 1,000 \times 0.25 \times 1.20 / 50 = 300 / 50 = 6.0$  kanban cards. Each card authorizes one container of 50 units. The 20% safety factor buffers against demand and lead time variation. Using lead time as a fraction of days (0.25) rather than hours (2.0) is essential for correct calculation.

24. A — For a hole (internal feature),  $MMC = \text{smallest size} = 12.00$  mm. The actual hole at 12.08 has departed 0.08 mm from MMC. Bonus tolerance = 0.08. Total =  $0.25 + 0.08 = 0.33$  mm. The larger hole has more clearance with the mating pin, allowing greater positional deviation.

25. D — Redesigning the connector to be physically asymmetric (keyed) makes incorrect orientation impossible. Physical prevention at the source is fundamentally more reliable than any detection method

— the error cannot occur regardless of operator attention, training, or fatigue. This is the highest level of pokayoke effectiveness.

26. C — Transform reviews into structured crossfunctional evaluations with systematic assessment against design inputs, participation by manufacturing/quality/purchasing/service, documented action items with assigned owners and deadlines, and verified closure. A oneway presentation without structured assessment misses the review's fundamental purpose.

27. A — The systemic corrective action establishes a documented PM schedule for all clean room equipment integrated into the facilitywide program. Defined filter replacement intervals based on manufacturer specifications and differential pressure monitoring, assigned responsibilities, and enrollment process for new equipment address both the immediate and systemic root causes.

28. A — Control charts or run charts distinguish common cause fluctuation from real changes using statistical rules. This enables evidencebased statements about whether quality is improving, stable, or deteriorating — replacing subjective interpretation of random monthly variation with objective statistical analysis.

29. D — EWMA charts, timeseries models that remove autocorrelation, or increased sampling intervals that reduce correlation between consecutive observations accommodate correlated data. Standard IMR charts assume independence; when this assumption is violated ( $r_1 = 0.83$ ), alternative methods that account for the data structure are required.

30. C — At 35 parts/hour, 35 parts are produced between hourly SPC checks. If the process shifts immediately after a measurement, the first check may or may not detect the  $1.5\sigma$  shift, meaning 3570 parts could be produced under shifted conditions before detection and response. This exposure quantity must be weighed against the criticality of the aerospace component.

31. B — Four escalating individualfocused corrective actions all failed — proving the root cause is systemic. The solder paste system, stencil condition, reflow profile, or equipment maintenance creates the defect regardless of which individual works. Only systemlevel changes (automated controls, errorproofing, equipment upgrades) can break the recurrence cycle.

32. A —  $C_p = 0.12/(6 \times 0.015) = 1.33$ .  $C_{PU} = (20.0619.97)/(3 \times 0.015) = 0.09/0.045 = 2.00$ .  $C_{PL} = (19.9719.94)/(3 \times 0.015) = 0.03/0.045 = 0.67$ .  $C_{pk} = 0.67$ , constrained by the LSL because the mean is 0.03 mm below nominal. Recentering toward 20.00 would dramatically improve  $C_{pk}$ .

33. D — Battery electrolyte contacting tissue is catastrophic — potentially fatal. ISO 14971 requires risk reduction as far as practicable for catastrophic consequences regardless of probability. Any nonzero probability of a fatal outcome in an implanted device demands the highest treatment priority and most robust prevention controls.

34. C — Center points detect curvature (if center response differs from factorial corner average, nonlinearity exists requiring response surface methods) and provide replicated observations for pure error estimation, enabling formal ANOVA Ftests in the unreplicated factorial. These two unique benefits cannot be obtained from the 16 factorial runs alone.

35. B — The 80% useasis rate suggests specifications may be unnecessarily tight (warranting review), and the cursory justifications do not demonstrate thorough engineering analysis of fit, function, reliability, downstream impact, and customer compliance. Both the specification appropriateness and the disposition documentation rigor require attention.

36. A — The gap between Cpk (2.00, withinsubgroup  $\sigma$ ) and Ppk (1.10, overall  $\sigma$ ) reveals substantial betweensubgroup variation from process instability. Excellent shortterm capability degrades significantly in the long term due to shifts, trends, or assignable causes occurring between subgroups that the withinsubgroup estimate does not capture.

37. B — Relocating to pointofuse addresses motion waste (30% time reclaimed) with secondary benefits: reduced WIP from less material staging, fewer handlingrelated quality defects, improved cycle time, and better operator focus on valueadded assembly. Multiple waste categories are simultaneously reduced by this single improvement.

38. A —  $MTBF_{lower} = 2(200,000)/4.605 = 400,000/4.605 = 86,862$  hours at 90% confidence. Zero failures establishes a statistical lower bound, not infinite reliability. The factor of 2 in the numerator is required by the chisquare method. Larger samples or longer testing would increase this bound.

39. B — For an isolated first occurrence from an 8year zerodefekt supplier, with an identified onetime cause already repaired and impact limited to one lot, a correction is sufficient. Monitoring subsequent deliveries confirms effectiveness. Escalation to formal corrective action is warranted only if the problem recurs.

40. A —  $C_p = 30/(6 \times 3.8) = 1.32$ .  $C_{pu} = (315306.5)/(3 \times 3.8) = 8.5/11.4 = 0.75$ .  $C_{pl} = (306.5285)/(3 \times 3.8) = 21.5/11.4 = 1.89$ .  $C_{pk} = 0.75$ . The intentional overfill provides excellent underfill protection ( $C_{pl}=1.89$ ) but severely constrains the upper side ( $C_{pu}=0.75$ ).

41. C — High measurement error (40% of response variation) adds noise that reduces the experiment's power to detect real effects. Truly significant factors may appear nonsignificant because measurement noise masks the signal. Improving the measurement system or increasing replicates would recover the lost detection capability.

42. D — Three years of identical conclusions and zero specific actions means management review has become a compliance exercise. Effective reviews should identify specific improvements, assign ownership with deadlines, allocate resources, and produce different outputs each cycle based on evolving data and organizational context.

43. B —  $Z = 2.42$  exceeds the critical value of 1.96. There is significant evidence Line A's defect rate (1.67%) exceeds Line B's (0.78%). The quality engineer should investigate equipment condition, operator training, material routing, and process parameters to identify root causes of the betweenline quality difference.

44. A — Leaders must respond quickly and supportively to yellow signals. Operators avoid early reporting when they perceive it brings criticism or unwanted attention. Positive reinforcement for proactive problem detection creates the psychological safety needed for the early warning system to function as designed.

45. C —  $F(36000) = 1 - e^{-(36000/45000)} = 1 - e^{-(0.80)} = 1 - 0.449 = 0.551$  or approximately 55.1%. Over half of components fail within warranty — a serious reliability problem. The linear approximation (80%) significantly overstates the failure rate, demonstrating why the exponential formula should be used.

46. D — Available time = 450 min. Availability =  $405/450 = 0.900$ . Performance =  $(230 \times 1.5)/405 = 345/405 = 0.852$ . Quality =  $220/230 = 0.957$ . OEE =  $0.900 \times 0.852 \times 0.957 = 0.734$  or 73.4%. Each component independently captures one category of production loss.

47. A — Method A's 36% GRR exceeds the 30% maximum, consuming over onethird of the 0.010 mm tolerance. Borderline decisions become meaningless. Method B's 5% GRR provides the accuracy required for reliable accept/reject determinations on this tighttolerance perpendicularity measurement.

48. B — The redesigned component costs \$160,000 more in material but eliminates the \$18,000 tool, dramatically reduces defect costs (5.8% improvement  $\times$  400,000 units  $\times$  rework cost), and saves 3,556 labor hours annually. The holistic analysis including quality, productivity, and tooling costs almost certainly favors the redesigned version.

49. C — The progressive drift (0.001 $\rightarrow$ 0.004 mm) toward tolerance indicates the instrument will likely exceed tolerance before the next 12month calibration. For 40 daily uses on safetycritical aerospace parts, shortening the interval prevents outoftolerance operation. Asfound trending data provides objective justification for the interval adjustment.

50. D — Quality improvement owned exclusively by the quality department violates total employee involvement. All functions interact daily with qualityaffecting processes. Without crossfunctional participation, the organization misses improvement opportunities where quality has limited expertise, influence, and direct access to process knowledge.

51. B — Decreasing failures per interval (15, 10, 5) indicate a decreasing failure rate — infant mortality. Early weak units fail first, and the surviving population becomes progressively more reliable. This pattern is consistent with Weibull  $\beta < 1$  where manufacturing defects cause early failures that thin out the vulnerable subpopulation.

52. A — Severity = 10 mandates high priority under the AIAG/VDA Action Priority method regardless of the low RPN of 40. Catastrophic safety consequences demand verification that prevention and detection controls are genuinely effective. The consequences of underestimating occurrence or detection for brake failures are irreversible.

53. C — Uploading revised procedures without notifying 42% of affected personnel creates risk that operators follow outdated practices. Effective document control requires both accessibility AND proactive communication. The notification gap is a significant deficiency with direct quality implications.

54. D — When defectives (4) equal the rejection number ( $r = 4$ ), the lot is rejected. The rule: accept if  $\leq c$  (3), reject if  $\geq r$  (4). This rejection counts toward switching rules — if 2 of the last 5 lots are rejected under normal inspection, tightened inspection is triggered.

55. B — Station 2 at 1.5 minutes exceeds the 1.4 minute takt, creating a bottleneck. The cell produces only  $420/1.5 = 280$  units, falling 20 short of demand. Stations 1, 3, and 4 have 0.2, 0.3, and 0.1 minutes of available capacity respectively — sufficient to absorb Station 2's overload through line balancing.

56. A — The constant temperature study captured best case performance. Production temperature variation (1828°C) adds thermal expansion effects on instruments and parts that the study didn't capture. The actual production %GRR will likely be higher, potentially shifting from acceptable to unacceptable.

57. D — For destructive tests, a nested Gage R&R assigns unique specimens from the same population to each operator. The nested ANOVA separates operator effects from specimen variation, providing repeatability and reproducibility estimates without requiring the same specimen to be measured by multiple operators.

58. C — The 3× thermal mass increase (75 to 225 parts) alters heatup rates, temperature distribution, soak time, and cooling uniformity. Parts in the center may not reach required temperature due to thermal shielding. The process must be validated at the actual production load.

59. B —  $PCE = 6/(45 \times 8) = 6/360 = 1.67\%$ . Over 98% of ECO lead time is nonvalue added — waiting in queues, sitting in inboxes, and transfers between departments. This extremely low efficiency reveals massive lead time reduction opportunity through workflow streamlining.

60. A — With  $n = 12$  per group, the test may have had insufficient power to detect the 3.0 mm difference (15% of tolerance). The pvalue of 0.06 may reflect a Type II error. A posthoc power analysis and consideration of the practical significance should determine whether a larger followup study is warranted.

61. D — The CAPA system shows severe systemic weaknesses: 42% ontime closure, 35% missing verification, 25% recurrence. These metrics indicate the system fails to prevent recurrence. Quarterly or semiannual audits provide monitoring frequency needed to verify improvements are implemented and sustained.

62. C — Three identical "recalibrate" responses prove the root cause remains unaddressed. The quality engineer must require investigation of why the machine drifts, why drift isn't detected earlier, and what systemic change prevents recurrence. Simply restoring calibration without addressing the drift mechanism guarantees continued recurrence.

63. B — Twentythree of 30 points above center (77%) has extremely low probability in a centered process. At least 8 consecutive points above must exist within this sequence, triggering the Western Electric run rule. The process mean has shifted upward, requiring investigation of the assignable cause.

64. A — An automated vision system with tablet identification photographs each cavity, verifies tablet identity by shape/size/color/imprint, and prevents sealing unless correct tablets are confirmed in every cavity. This provides 100% verification independent of operator attention or fatigue.

65. D —  $t = 30,000 \times (\ln(0.95))^{(1/3.2)} = 30,000 \times (0.0513)^{(0.3125)} \approx 30,000 \times 0.398 \approx 11,940$  hours. Replacement at approximately 11,940 hours ensures 95% of pumps survive to scheduled maintenance, balancing replacement cost against inservice failure risk.

66. C — The test plan must include temperature extremes, humidity cycling, EMI immunity, vibration, and power quality variations. Ambientonly testing at 25°C/50%RH provides no evidence of controller performance at the environmental extremes it will encounter in factory installations.

67. A — Voluntary standards represent minimum consensus at a specific time. The manufacturer has an independent duty to identify and mitigate productspecific risks beyond standards — including foreseeable use conditions and failure modes standards may not cover. Compliance is necessary but may not be a complete defense.

68. B —  $C_p = 10/(6 \times 1.6) = 1.04$ .  $C_{PU} = (3027.8)/(3 \times 1.6) = 2.2/4.8 = 0.46$ .  $C_{PL} = (27.820)/(3 \times 1.6) = 7.8/4.8 = 1.63$ .  $C_{pk} = 0.46$ . The process has marginal inherent capability and runs severely offcenter toward the USL. Recentering toward 25.0  $\mu\text{m}$  would dramatically improve  $C_{pk}$ .

69. A — Referenced external standards require active monitoring. The organization must evaluate the revision's impact on the internal work instruction, update the procedure if the changed criterion affects quality decisions, verify no conflicts with other documents, and retrain affected personnel.

70. C — Control charts or run charts distinguish common cause fluctuation from real changes using statistical signals. This enables definitive evidencebased statements about quality trends rather than subjective interpretation of random monthtomonth variation in the 2.53.5% range.

71. A — Leading indicators (financial health, capacity utilization, inventory versus safety stock, geopolitical risk) provide predictive early warning. Lagging indicators (delivery performance, quality rejections, disruption events) confirm outcomes. Together they enable both proactive intervention and outcome tracking for a critical 14week lead time singlesource dependency.

72. B — Combined =  $\sqrt{(0.004^2 + 0.006^2 + 0.003^2 + 0.001^2)} = \sqrt{0.000062} = 0.00787$  mm. Thermal expansion dominates at 58% of total variance. The RSS method properly combines independent sources, and the analysis identifies temperature control as the highestleverage improvement for uncertainty reduction.

73. D — While longer sterilization improves microbial kill, excessive exposure can damage the product — material degradation, dimensional changes, seal compromise, embrittlement, or functional impairment. The maximum validated cycle must be tested to confirm product integrity at the upper sterilization extreme.

74. C — Singleshift, singlelot data captures only shortterm variation — missing between shift differences, lottolot material variation, environmental changes, and equipment thermal cycling. Longterm capability including all these additional sources will almost certainly be lower than the favorable shortterm estimate.

75. A — Availability at 75% is the dominant OEE loss, and 85minute changeovers are the primary availability problem. SMED directly targets changeover reduction. Addressing the largest loss component produces the greatest OEE improvement and simultaneously enables smaller production batches.

76. B — Systematic trend analysis transforms individual complaints into actionable intelligence through Pareto analysis, stratification, production variable correlation, and root cause pattern identification. This detects systemic issues, prioritizes improvement projects, and identifies emerging failure patterns before they become widespread.

77. C — ANSI/ASQ Z1.4 requires acceptance inspection to be discontinued when 5 consecutive lots fail under tightened conditions. The organization must take action to improve quality before resuming. This rule prevents indefinite continuation of inspection when the supplier clearly cannot meet requirements.

78. D — Two distinct populations: early failures ( $\beta_1 < 1$ ) represent infant mortality from manufacturing defects addressable through screening or burnin; later failures ( $\beta_2 > 1$ ) represent wearout addressable

through design improvement or preventive maintenance. A mixedWeibull model characterizes each population independently.

79. A — Redesigning the gasket or mating surface to be physically asymmetric makes incorrect orientation impossible. The correct orientation becomes the only possible orientation, eliminating the error regardless of operator attention, training, or fatigue. Inherently safe design outperforms any detection or training approach.

80. B —  $\chi^2 = 4.5 < 9.488$  at  $\alpha = 0.05$  with 4 df. Fail to reject — the data is consistent with a Poisson distribution. Poissonbased control charts (cchart or uchart) are statistically appropriate for monitoring this defect count process.

81. D — With 78% of COQ in failure categories and only 5% in prevention, the organization is overwhelmingly reactive. Each prevention dollar typically eliminates 35 dollars of failure cost. Increasing prevention investment is the highestreturn quality cost strategy available.

82. C — For significantly rightskewed data, a BoxCox transformation achieves normality. The specification limits are transformed using the same function, and Cpk is calculated on the transformed scale. This preserves mathematical validity while properly accounting for the nonnormal distribution shape.

83. A —  $MTBF_{lower} = 2(210,000)/4.605 = 420,000/4.605 = 91,205$  hours at 90% confidence. Zero failures establishes a statistical lower bound, not infinite reliability. The factor of 2 is required by the chisquare method for this confidence bound calculation.

84. B — The 55day inventory ties up massive working capital. Reducing to 12 days (3× customer lead time) frees substantial cash. Gradual implementation with demand forecasting and production flexibility improvements maintains service levels during transition while capturing the financial benefits.

85. D — Distributing audits across all 12 months provides continuous surveillance. Some process is always being assessed, problems are detected earlier, workload is distributed, and no process goes more than a few months without evaluation. This eliminates the 11month gaps from compressed February scheduling.

86. C — Four failed individual focused actions prove the root cause is systemic. Investigate process factors — paste deposition, stencil wear, reflow parameters, equipment maintenance — that create the defect regardless of the individual. System level changes (automated control, errorproofing, equipment upgrades) are required.

87. A —  $B_{10} = 50,000 \times (\ln(0.90))^{(1/3.0)} = 50,000 \times (0.1054)^{(0.333)} \approx 50,000 \times 0.473 \approx 23,650$  miles. This is the mileage at which 10% of components are expected to have failed — a key metric for maintenance scheduling and warranty cost planning.

88. C — Systematic oscillation between two levels every 23 subgroups indicates alternating process states. Overadjustment, fixture rotation, material lot alternation, or control system overshoot create this regular pattern. The regularity definitively rules out random variation and demands investigation.

89. D — Different suppliers may produce adhesive with varying viscosity, cure behavior, and adhesion properties even when meeting the same specification. The validation should include testing with adhesive from multiple lots/suppliers to confirm consistent bond performance across the material supply base.

90. A — Balance exposure (15,000 units between checks), consequence of undetected shift (cost  $\times$  units at risk), stability history, and detection speed. If total risk exposure between samples exceeds the cost of more frequent sampling, the interval should be shortened to reduce the risk window.

91. D — The 70 day investigation is the bottleneck — the 4 day implementation proves quick execution capability. Streamlining investigation methods, providing RCA training, establishing priority escalation, and dedicating resources would dramatically reduce total CAPA cycle time.

92. B —  $F = 2.417$  exceeds the critical value of 1.98 at  $\alpha = 0.05$  (onesided). There is statistically significant evidence the process variance decreased after improvement. The ratio indicates the before variance was approximately 2.4 times the after variance.

93. D — Four years without major findings despite product recalls and regulatory citations indicates a severe disconnect. Auditors may lack confidence, authority, or criteria for major findings, or management pressure discourages them. Classification criteria should be reviewed and auditors empowered to rate findings appropriately.

94. C — The utilization metric directly promotes overproduction — operators produce to fill idle time regardless of downstream demand. Lean replaces utilization with flowbased measures (takt adherence, throughput, ontime delivery) that align production with actual customer demand rather than local machine efficiency.

95. B — No automated system achieves 100% detection. Every inspection has finite escape rates based on sensitivity, defect characteristics, and system limitations. Detection = 1 implies certainty that overstates any real system's capability. Actual detection effectiveness should be measured through validation and the rating adjusted accordingly.

96. A — ISO 9001:2015 Clause 6.2 requires each objective to specify a measurable target, timeframe, actions, resources, responsibility, and evaluation method. Objectives become actionable only with these defined elements — without them, they are aspirational statements rather than executable commitments.

97. B —  $t = 25,000 \times (0.0513)^{(1/3.5)} = 25,000 \times (0.0513)^{(0.286)} \approx 25,000 \times 0.395 \approx 9,875$  hours. Replacement at approximately 9,875 hours ensures 95% of bearings survive to scheduled maintenance, balancing maintenance cost against inservice failure risk for this wearout mechanism.

98. D — Selecting based on weighted criteria — component criticality, quality trends, singlesource risk, strategic importance, cost of poor quality — targets suppliers where development generates the greatest total business impact. This multidimensional approach maximizes return on limited development resources.

99. C — Useasis dispositions require formal engineering analysis confirming functional acceptability, documented MRB approval, and customer notification when contractually required. Operators lack the engineering authority and technical basis to determine whether outofspecification parts will function acceptably in customer applications.

100. B — Excluding external failures presents an incomplete COQ picture. External failures (warranty, recalls, liability, lost sales, reputation) are often the largest and most strategically important category. Without them, the analysis understates total quality costs and may fail to justify prevention investments that would dramatically reduce these costs.

101. D — Ridge analysis explores along the elongated ridge to identify the factor combination that optimizes the response at any given distance from the design center. When no single stationary point exists, ridge analysis systematically finds the best achievable conditions along the ridge direction where the response changes minimally.

102. C — Four escalating individual-focused corrective actions all failed, proving the root cause is systemic. The solder paste system, stencil condition, reflow parameters, or equipment maintenance creates the defect regardless of the individual. Only system-level changes — automated controls, errorproofing, or process redesign — can eliminate the recurrence.

103. A — The probability rating should consider real-world EMI environments patients actually encounter — MRI machines, industrial equipment, airport security, household appliances — which produce EMI levels and frequencies not captured in standard laboratory shielding tests. The cumulative probability across all environments over the device's implanted life may significantly exceed the "very low" laboratory-based estimate.

104. B —  $C_p = 0.050 / (6 \times 0.006) = 1.39$ .  $C_{PU} = (5.0254.992) / (3 \times 0.006) = 0.033 / 0.018 = 1.83$ .  $C_{PL} = (4.9924.975) / (3 \times 0.006) = 0.017 / 0.018 = 0.94$ .  $C_{pk} = 0.94$ , constrained by the LSL because the mean is 0.008 mm below nominal, placing it closer to the lower specification limit.

105. D — The divergence between declining findings (55%) and increasing complaints (+45%) over 5 years strongly suggests familiarity bias from same auditor-supplier pairings. Rotating auditors introduces fresh perspectives more likely to detect issues that comfortable, familiar auditors unconsciously overlook.

106. C — Station 3's 5-second overload can be absorbed by redistributing specific work elements to adjacent stations. Stations 1, 2, and 4 collectively have 20 seconds of available capacity — more than sufficient for the 5-second overload. Detailed task analysis and element redistribution resolves the constraint without adding resources.

107. B — The 96% agreement on clearly good/bad joints is expected for easy decisions. The critical finding is 55% agreement on borderline joints — barely above random chance for binary classification. Accept/reject decisions near the specification boundary are where capability matters most, and near-random performance makes the system unreliable.

108. A — Solder fatigue:  $1,560 \times \$105 = \$163,800$ . Connector:  $2,280 \times \$20 = \$45,600$ . Capacitor:  $1,200 \times \$130 = \$156,000$ . Costweighted Pareto reveals solder fatigue has the highest annual cost despite being only the second most frequent mode — demonstrating that frequency and cost rankings can differ significantly.

109. D — A mandatory firstpiece inspection and offset verification step in the tool change procedure creates a universal systemic prevention mechanism. Requiring tool measurement, offset entry, firstpiece verification against the drawing, and documented signoff before production resumes applies to all machines and operators.

110. C — Three limitations: engineering prototypes differ from production units; the laboratory doesn't replicate home environments; design engineers operate with expert knowledge, avoiding use errors that patients aged 1690 would make. Validation should use productionrepresentative devices tested by representative users in simulated home conditions.

111. A — Under Z1.4 switching rules, 5 consecutive lot acceptances under tightened inspection permit return to normal. This demonstrates the supplier has achieved sustained quality improvement under the more stringent criteria, justifying relaxation to standard inspection intensity.

112. B — For destructive tests, a nested Gage R&R assigns unique specimens from the same population to each operator. The nested ANOVA separates operator effects from specimen to specimen variation, providing repeatability and reproducibility estimates without requiring the same specimen to be measured by multiple operators.

113. D — Different suppliers may produce adhesive with varying viscosity, cure characteristics, and adhesion properties even when meeting identical specifications. Testing with adhesive from multiple lots and suppliers confirms consistent bond performance across the entire material supply base.

114. C — The plan must include temperature extremes ( $25^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $+70^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), humidity cycling, corrosive atmosphere exposure, vibration, and pressure spike testing. Ambient only testing provides no evidence of reliable performance across the harsh conditions the pressure transmitter will encounter in chemical plant installations.

115. A — The upward R chart trend indicates growing within subgroup variation — most likely grinding wheel wear causing inconsistent material removal. This must be addressed first because Xbar limits are

calculated from  $\bar{R}$ ; if variability is increasing, current  $\bar{X}$  limits may no longer accurately detect real mean shifts.

116. B — Moving inspection to the point of manufacture eliminates both transport and the 4day queue. Operator selfinspection or automated inprocess gauging provides immediate feedback, enabling realtime correction rather than delayed detection with multiday latency. The 1.04% queue efficiency confirms 99% of the time is pure waiting waste.

117. C — With 74% of COQ in failure categories and only 7% in prevention, the organization is overwhelmingly reactive. Each prevention dollar typically eliminates 35 dollars of failure cost. Increasing prevention investment in quality planning, DOE, errorproofing, and training is the highestreturn quality cost strategy.

118. D —  $t = 40,000 \times (\ln(0.97))^{1/3.0} = 40,000 \times (0.0305)^{0.333} \approx 40,000 \times 0.312 \approx 12,480$  hours. Replacement at approximately 12,500 hours ensures 97% of bearings survive to scheduled maintenance, balancing replacement cost against inservice failure risk for this wearout mechanism.

119. A — 21 CFR Part 11 requires electronic signatures with nonrepudiation, complete audit trails tracking every access and modification, validated computer systems, and batch records linking each batch to specific procedure revisions in effect during manufacture. These pharmaceuticalspecific requirements far exceed standard document management.

120. B — SPC and capability serve different functions — capability describes historical performance while SPC provides realtime surveillance. Capability can degrade suddenly from tool breakage, material changes, or equipment failure. Reducing SPC frequency may be appropriate for exceptionally capable processes, but complete elimination removes all ongoing surveillance.

121. D — The register almost certainly does not reflect current risks after 4 new products, 6 supplier changes, MES implementation, and 35% facility expansion. Each event introduces new risks and alters existing ones. The outdated register provides false security while emerging threats go unidentified.

122. C — With %GRR = 4.1% (well below 10%) and ndc = 18 (far above minimum 5), the system meets both AIAG criteria with substantial margin. Excellent discrimination and minimal measurement variation make it suitable for all quality decisions including SPC, capability, and safetycritical accept/reject.

123. A —  $K = 1,100 \times 0.30 \times 1.15 / 55 = 379.5/55 = 6.9$ , rounded up to 7 kanban cards. Each card authorizes one container of 55 units. The 15% safety factor buffers against demand and lead time variation, preventing stockouts during aboveaverage consumption.

124. B — For a hole, MMC = smallest size = 8.00 mm. Actual hole at 8.06 departs 0.06 mm from MMC. Bonus = 0.06. Total =  $0.20 + 0.06 = 0.26$  mm. The larger hole has more clearance with the mating pin, allowing greater positional deviation.

125. D — Redesigning the PCB pad or component leads to be physically asymmetric prevents incorrect orientation at the source. Physical prevention is fundamentally more reliable than even 96% detection — the error cannot occur regardless of operator attention. This is the highest level of pokayoke effectiveness.

126. C — Transform reviews into structured crossfunctional evaluations with systematic assessment against design inputs, participation by manufacturing/quality/purchasing/service, documented action items with owners and deadlines, and verified closure. A presentation without structured assessment misses the review's fundamental purpose.

127. B — The systemic corrective action establishes a documented PM schedule for all clean room equipment integrated into the facilitywide program. Defined replacement intervals, differential pressure monitoring, assigned responsibilities, and a newequipment enrollment process address both the immediate and systemic root causes.

128. A — Control charts or run charts distinguish common cause fluctuation from real changes using statistical rules. This enables definitive evidencebased statements about quality direction rather than subjective interpretation of random monthtomonth variation in the 2.43.6% range.

129. D — EWMA charts, timeseries models that remove autocorrelation, or increased sampling intervals accommodate correlated data. Standard IMR charts assume independence; when this assumption is violated ( $r_1 = 0.86$ ), alternatives that properly model the data structure are required to avoid excessive false alarms.

130. C — At 30 parts/hour, 30 parts are produced between hourly SPC checks. The first check after a  $1.5\sigma$  shift may or may not detect it, meaning 3060 parts could be produced under shifted conditions before detection. This exposure quantity must be evaluated against the criticality of the aerospace fastener application.

131. A — Severity = 10 (loss of braking — potential fatality) mandates high priority under the AIAG/VDA Action Priority method regardless of the low RPN. Catastrophic safety consequences require verification that prevention and detection controls are genuinely effective because the consequences of being wrong are irreversible.

132. B —  $C_p = 0.08/(6 \times 0.010) = 1.33$ .  $C_{PU} = (15.0414.98)/(3 \times 0.010) = 0.06/0.03 = 2.00$ .  $C_{PL} = (14.9814.96)/(3 \times 0.010) = 0.02/0.03 = 0.67$ .  $C_{pk} = 0.67$ , constrained by the LSL. The 0.02 mm belownominal mean places the process dangerously close to the lower specification. Recentering would dramatically improve  $C_{pk}$ .

133. C — Availability at 74% is the dominant OEE loss, and 90minute changeovers are the primary contributor. SMED directly targets changeover reduction, addressing the largest loss component. Reducing setup time improves both availability and production flexibility through smaller batch sizes.

134. D — Four years without major findings despite recalls and regulatory citations indicates a severe disconnect. Auditors may lack confidence, authority, or criteria to issue major findings, or management discourages them. Classification criteria should be reviewed and auditors empowered to rate findings appropriately.

135. A — The utilization metric directly promotes overproduction — operators produce to fill idle time regardless of demand. Lean replaces local utilization with flowbased measures (takt adherence, throughput, ontime delivery) that align production with actual customer demand rather than local machine efficiency.

136. B — After process improvements reduced variation, the original wider limits cannot detect shifts that updated narrower limits would reveal. The chart's detection sensitivity has degraded over 2.5 years, potentially allowing significant quality changes to go unnoticed. Periodic limit recalculation maintains appropriate sensitivity.

137. D —  $\text{Combined} = \sqrt{(0.005^2 + 0.007^2 + 0.003^2 + 0.001^2)} = \sqrt{0.000084} = 0.00917$  mm. Thermal expansion contributes 58% of total variance. The RSS combination properly reflects independent sources, and the analysis identifies temperature control as the highestleverage improvement for uncertainty reduction.

138. C — ISO 9001:2015 Clause 9.3.3 requires management review outputs to include specific decisions and actions regarding improvement opportunities, QMS changes, and resource needs. Vague

continuation statements fail to produce the actionable outputs needed to drive actual quality improvement.

139. A — Leaders must respond quickly and supportively to yellow signals, demonstrating early reporting is valued. Operators avoid yellow signals when they perceive reporting brings criticism or unwanted attention. Positive reinforcement creates the psychological safety essential for the early warning system to function.

140. B —  $F(36000) = 1 - e^{-(36000/50000)} = 1 - e^{-0.72} = 1 - 0.487 = 0.513$  or approximately 51.3%. Over half of components fail within warranty — a serious reliability problem requiring immediate design or manufacturing improvement. The exponential formula gives a significantly different result than the linear approximation (72%).

141. C — Available time = 450. Availability =  $390/450 = 0.867$ . Performance =  $(165 \times 2.0)/390 = 330/390 = 0.846$ . Quality =  $158/165 = 0.958$ . OEE =  $0.867 \times 0.846 \times 0.958 = 0.703$  or 70.3%. Each component independently quantifies one category of production loss.

142. D — While longer sterilization improves microbial kill, excessive exposure can damage the product — material degradation, dimensional changes, seal compromise, embrittlement, or functional impairment. The maximum validated cycle must be tested to confirm product integrity at the upper sterilization extreme.

143. B —  $Z = 2.32$  exceeds the critical value of 1.96. Significant evidence that Line A's defect rate (1.56%) exceeds Line B's (0.75%). The quality engineer should investigate equipment, operator, material, and parameter differences between lines to identify root causes of the quality disparity.

144. A —  $MTBF_{lower} = 2(225,000)/4.605 = 450,000/4.605 = 97,720$  hours at 90% confidence. Zero failures establishes a statistical lower bound, not infinite reliability. The factor of 2 is required by the chisquare method for this onesided confidence interval calculation.

145. C — Riskbased inspection: V (safetycritical, new supplier) and X (safetycritical, 5× defect increase) demand most intensive inspection. Y (critical,  $Cpk > 2.0$ ) needs moderate verification. W (noncritical, 9year zerodefekt) needs minimal oversight. Allocation directs resources proportional to actual risk.

146. D — SPC without timely response provides no quality protection. Five charts with signals unaddressed for 49 days means potentially nonconforming product was produced throughout each delay period. Plotting without acting defeats SPC's fundamental preventive purpose entirely.

147. A — The near equality of  $C_p$  (1.60) and  $C_{pk}$  (1.55) confirms the process is well centered. The mean is very close to the specification midpoint with minimal capability lost to off centering. This ideal condition means virtually all inherent process capability is effectively utilized.

148. B — The 50 day inventory ties up significant working capital. Reducing to 12 days ( $2.4\times$  customer lead time) frees substantial cash. Gradual implementation with demand forecasting and production flexibility improvements maintains service levels during the transition while capturing financial benefits.

149. C — Single shift, single lot data captures only short term variation — missing between shift differences, lot to lot material variation, environmental changes, and equipment thermal cycling. Long term capability including all additional variation sources will almost certainly be lower than the favorable 2.08.

150. D — Excluding external failures presents an incomplete COQ picture. They are often the largest and most strategically important category — warranty, recalls, liability, lost sales, reputation damage. Without them, the analysis understates total quality costs and may fail to justify prevention investments.

151. B — The 65 day investigation is the clear bottleneck — the rapid 5 day implementation proves the organization can execute quickly once causes are found. Streamlining investigation methods, providing RCA training, and establishing priority escalation would dramatically reduce total CAPA cycle time.

152. A — ISO 9001:2015 Clause 6.2 requires each objective to specify a measurable target, timeframe, actions, resources, responsibility, and evaluation method. Without these elements, objectives remain aspirational statements rather than executable commitments that can be tracked and verified.

153. C — Total runout controls the entire surface simultaneously, capturing both cross sectional and axial errors. Circular runout checks individual slices independently and cannot detect taper or waviness between them. Total runout is the more comprehensive control for cylindrical surface quality.

154. D — The large PearsonSpearman discrepancy (0.10 vs. 0.82) reveals a strong monotonic but nonlinear relationship. Pearson captures only linear association while Spearman detects any consistent directional trend. The variables move together reliably in a curved pattern requiring nonlinear regression.

155. B — The  $3\times$  thermal mass increase from 80 to 240 parts alters heatup rates, temperature distribution, soak time, and cooling uniformity. Parts in the center may not reach required temperature due to thermal shielding. The process must be validated at the actual production load level.

156. A —  $PCE = 5.5/(42\times 8) = 5.5/336 = 1.64\%$ . Over 98% of ECO lead time is nonvalueadded — approval queues, departmental inboxes, and interfunction transfers. This extremely low efficiency reveals massive opportunity for administrative lead time reduction.

157. B — Distributing audits across all 12 months provides continuous surveillance. Some process is always being assessed, problems are detected earlier, workload is distributed, and no process goes more than a few months without evaluation. This eliminates 11month gaps from compressed scheduling.

158. C — Three identical "recalibrate" responses prove the drift root cause remains unaddressed. The quality engineer must require investigation of why the machine drifts, why drift isn't detected earlier, and what systemic change prevents recurrence. Restoring calibration without addressing the mechanism guarantees continued recurrence.

159. A — Two problems: (1) the 85% useasis rate suggests specifications may be unnecessarily tight, warranting formal review; (2) cursory justifications don't demonstrate thorough engineering analysis of fit, function, reliability, downstream impact, and customer compliance. Both specification appropriateness and disposition rigor need attention.

160. A — Systematic trend analysis transforms individual complaints into actionable intelligence through Pareto analysis, stratification, production correlation, and root cause pattern identification. This detects systemic issues, prioritizes improvements, and identifies emerging patterns before they affect more customers.

161. C — Current annual cost =  $1,600,000 \times 0.00065 \times \$100 = \$104,000$ . Savings at 97% =  $\$100,880/\text{year}$ . Payback =  $\$40,000/\$100,880 \approx 4.8$  months. The device pays for itself in under 5 months and generates over  $\$100,000$  in annual savings thereafter.

162. D — The constant temperature study captured best case performance. Production temperature variation (1729°C) adds thermal expansion of instruments and parts beyond what the study captured. The actual production %GRR will likely be higher, potentially shifting from acceptable to unacceptable.

163. B — For destructive peel testing, a nested Gage R&R assigns unique specimens from the same population to each operator. The nested ANOVA separates operator effects from specimen variation, providing repeatability and reproducibility estimates without requiring the same specimen to be tested by multiple operators.

164. A — No automated system achieves 100% detection. Every inspection has finite escape rates based on sensitivity, defect characteristics, and system limitations. Detection = 1 implies certainty that overstates real capability. Actual effectiveness should be measured through validation and the rating adjusted.

165. C — Reduced inspection uses smaller samples reflecting earned confidence. However, Z1.4 requires immediate return to normal if a lot is rejected, production becomes irregular, or adverse conditions arise. The reduced status is conditional and can be revoked immediately upon any quality signal.

166. B — Eleven consecutive points below center triggers the Western Electric run rule (8+ on one side). The process mean has shifted downward. The stable R chart confirms only the mean changed, not the variability. Investigate what changed and either correct the cause or establish new limits if the shift is permanent.

167. A — The FMEA should incorporate 20 customer complaints revealing unanticipated failure modes, evaluate both design revisions' impact on ratings, assess the facility transfer's effect on occurrence and detection, and add failure modes from 5 years of experience. This transforms a stale document into a living risk management tool.

168. D — Selecting based on weighted criteria — component criticality, quality trends, single source risk, strategic importance, cost of poor quality — directs limited development investment where improvement generates the greatest total business impact across all evaluation dimensions.

169. C — While longer sterilization improves microbial kill, excessive exposure damages products — degradation, dimensional changes, embrittlement, seal compromise. The maximum validated cycle must be tested to confirm product integrity at the upper extreme of the sterilization parameters.

170. D — Adding analysis of emerging risks, regulatory changes, market trends, technology developments, and organizational changes enables proactive QMS adaptation. ISO 9001:2015 Clause 9.3.2 explicitly requires consideration of changes in external and internal issues that affect the QMS.

171. A — The progressive drift trend (0.001→0.004 mm) indicates the instrument will likely exceed tolerance before the next 12month calibration. For 45 daily uses on safetycritical aerospace parts, the interval must be shortened to prevent outoftolerance operation between calibrations.

172. B —  $t = 35,000 \times (0.0513)^{(1/3.5)} = 35,000 \times (0.0513)^{(0.286)} \approx 35,000 \times 0.395 \approx 13,825$  hours. Replacement at approximately 13,825 hours ensures 95% of bearings survive to scheduled maintenance, balancing replacement cost against inservice failure risk.

173. D —  $C_p = 1.75$  means  $6\sigma$  uses only 57% of the tolerance — excellent inherent capability.  $C_{pk} = 0.70$  means severe offcentering. Recentering the mean recovers lost capability immediately without any variation reduction investment, making it the most efficient improvement strategy available.

174. C — Firstshiftonly data misses second and third shift operator variation, captures only one of 4 material lots, and samples limited environmental conditions. The observed variation underrepresents total longterm variation, producing an artificially high  $C_{pk}$  that will decrease when all sources become active.

175. A — With 78% of COQ in failure categories and only 5% in prevention, the organization is overwhelmingly reactive. Each prevention dollar typically eliminates 35 dollars of failure cost. Increasing prevention in quality planning, DOE, errorproofing, and training is the highestreturn quality cost strategy.